

PO233: Core Issues in Comparative Politics

View Online



[1]

Abdelal, R. et al. eds 2009. Measuring identity: a guide for social scientists. Cambridge University Press.

[2]

Abdelal, R. ed. 2009. Measuring identity: a guide for social scientists. Cambridge University Press.

[3]

Adcock, R. and Collier, D. 2001. Measurement validity: A shared standard for qualitative and quantitative research. *The American Political Science Review*. 95, 3 (2001), 529-546.

[4]

Addison, T. and Murshed, S.M. 2003. UNU/WIDER Special issue on conflict. Explaining violent conflict: going beyond greed versus grievance. *Journal of International Development*. 15, 4 (2003), 391-396. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.991>.

[5]

Afrobarometer: <http://www.afrobarometer.org/>.

[6]

After the financial crisis we were all Keynesians - but not for long enough: 2013.

<http://www.theguardian.com/business/economics-blog/2013/oct/10/financial-crisis-keynesians-eurozone-recession>.

[7]

Alter, P. 1994. Nationalism. Edward Arnold.

[8]

Anderson, B. 2006. Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism. Verso.

[9]

Anderson, B. 2006. Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism. Verso.

[10]

Anderson, B.R.O. 2006. Introduction. Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism. Verso. 1-7.

[11]

APSA Newsletters for Qualitative Methods:
<http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/moynihan/cqrm/Newsletters/>.

[12]

APSA-CP newsletters (1990-now): http://comparativenewsletter.com/newsletter_archives.

[13]

APSA-CP newsletters (1990-now): http://comparativenewsletter.com/newsletter_archives.

[14]

APSA's Comparative Democratization Section: <https://connect.apsanet.org/s35/>.

[15]

APSA's Comparative Democratization Section: <https://connect.apsanet.org/s35/>.

[16]

APSA's Comparative Politics Section: <http://www.apsanet.org/section20>.

[17]

APSA's Qualitative and Multi-methods Research Section:
<http://www.maxwell.syr.edu/cqmi.aspx>.

[18]

Babbie, E. Practice of Social Research[electronic resource].

[19]

Babbie, E. 2015. The practice of social research. Cengage Learning, Inc.

[20]

Babbie, E. 2013. The practice of social research. Wadsworth/Cengage Learning.

[21]

Babbie, E.R. Practice of social research.

[22]

Bermeo, N. 2003. What the Democratization Literature Says--or Doesn't Say--About

Postwar Democratization. *Global Governance*. 9, 2 (2003), 159–178.

[23]

Blais, A. 2006. What Affects Voter Turnout? *Annual Review of Political Science*. 9, 1 (2006), 111–125. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.9.070204.105121>.

[24]

Blais, A. and Carty, R. 1990. Does proportional representation foster voter turnout? *European Journal of Political Research*. 18, 2 (1990), 167–181. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.1990.tb00227.x>.

[25]

Bollen, K. 1983. World System Position, Dependency, and Democracy: The Cross-National Evidence. *American Sociological Review*. 48, 4 (1983), 468–479.

[26]

Bratton, M. 2005. Ch.2 Studying public opinion in Africa. *Public opinion, democracy, and market reform in Africa*. Cambridge University Press. 34–61.

[27]

Bratton, M. et al. 2004. *Public opinion, democracy, and market reform in Africa*. Cambridge University Press.

[28]

Brown, M. 2001. Ch.1 The causes of internal conflict: an overview. *Nationalism and ethnic conflict*. M. Brown et al., eds. MIT Press. 3–25.

[29]

Bryman, A. 2007. Barriers to Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*. 1, 1 (2007), 8–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2345678906290531>.

[30]

Burnham, P. et al. 2008. Research methods in politics. Palgrave Macmillan.

[31]

Burnham, P. et al. 2008. Research methods in politics. Palgrave Macmillan.

[32]

C. Kaufmann 2012. Possible and Impossible Solutions to Ethnic Civil Wars. 4 (2012), 136-175.

[33]

Camp, R.A. 2001. Citizen views of democracy in Latin America. University of Pittsburgh Press.

[34]

Caramani, D. 2008. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[35]

Caramani, D. 2011. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[36]

Caramani, D. 2014. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[37]

Caramani, D. 2008. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[38]

Caramani, D. 2011. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[39]

Caramani, D. 2014. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[40]

Caramani, D. 2008. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[41]

Caramani, D. 2011. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[42]

Caramani, D. 2014. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[43]

Caramani, D. 2008. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[44]

Caramani, D. 2011. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[45]

Caramani, D. 2014. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[46]

Caramani, D. 2008. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[47]

Caramani, D. 2011. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[48]

Caramani, D. 2014. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[49]

Caramani, D. 2008. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[50]

Caramani, D. 2011. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[51]

Caramani, D. 2014. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[52]

Caramani, D. 2008. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[53]

Caramani, D. 2011. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[54]

Caramani, D. 2014. *Comparative politics*. Oxford University Press.

[55]

Caramani, D. 2008. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[56]

Caramani, D. 2011. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[57]

Caramani, D. 2014. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[58]

Caramani, D. 2008. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[59]

Caramani, D. 2011. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[60]

Caramani, D. 2014. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[61]

Caramani, D. 2008. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[62]

Caramani, D. 2011. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[63]

Caramani, D. 2014. Comparative politics. Oxford University Press.

[64]

Carnegie Endowment for International Peace: <http://carnegieendowment.org/about/>.

[65]

Castles, F. 1994. The Policy Consequences of Proportional Representation: A Sceptical Commentary. *Political Science*. 46, 2 (1994), 161–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003231879404600202>.

[66]

Center for Voting and Democracy: <http://www.fairvote.org/>.

[67]

Centre for Studies in Democratisation (CSD) at the University of Warwick: <http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/pais/research/csd/>.

[68]

Chandra, K. ed. 2001. Cumulative Findings in the Study of Ethnic Politics. 12, 1 (2001).

[69]

Chandra, K. 2005. Ethnic Parties and Democratic Stability. *Perspectives on Politics*. 3, 02 (2005), 235–252. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592705050188>.

[70]

Chandra, K. 2006. What Is Ethnic Identity and Does It Matter? *Annual Review of Political Science*. 9, 1 (2006), 397–424. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.9.062404.170715>.

[71]

Chilcote, R. 1994. *Theories of comparative politics: the search for a paradigm reconsidered*. Westview Press.

[72]

Clark, T. et al. 2021. *Bryman's social research methods*. Oxford University Press.

[73]

Cohen, F. 1997. Proportional Versus Majoritarian Ethnic Conflict Management in Democracies. *Comparative Political Studies*. 30, 5 (1997), 607–630.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414097030005004>.

[74]

Collier, D. and Levitsky, S. 1997. Democracy with Adjectives: Conceptual Innovation in Comparative Research. *World Politics*. 49, 03 (1997), 430–451.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.1997.0009>.

[75]

Collier, D. and Mahoney, J. 1996. Insights and Pitfalls: Selection Bias in Qualitative Research. *World Politics*. 49, 1 (1996), 56–91.

[76]

Collier, P. and Hoeffler, A. 2004. Greed and grievance in civil war. *Oxford Economic Papers*. 56, 4 (2004), 563–595. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oep/gpf064>.

[77]

Committee on Concepts and Methods: <http://www.concepts-methods.org/>.

[78]

COMPASSS: Welcome: <http://www.compassss.org/>.

[79]

Creswell, J. 2014. Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. SAGE.

[80]

Dahl, R. 1971. Polyarchy: participation and opposition. Yale University Press.

[81]

Dahl, R.A. Polyarchy : Participation and Opposition [electronic resource].

[82]

Department for International Development (DFID):
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-for-international-development>.

[83]

Department of Politics and International Studies Research Ethics Procedure:
<http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/pais/currentstudents/undergrad/modules/po233/schedule/>.

[84]

Diamond, L.J. 1999. Ch.5 Political Culture. Developing democracy: toward consolidation. Johns Hopkins University Press. 161–217.

[85]

Donsbach, W. and Traugott, M. eds 2008. The SAGE handbook of public opinion research. SAGE Pub.

[86]

Donsbach, W. and Traugott, M. eds 2007. The SAGE Handbook of Public Opinion Research. SAGE Publications.

[87]

Donsbach, W. and Traugott, M. eds 2008. The SAGE handbook of public opinion research. SAGE Pub.

[88]

Donsbach, W. and Traugott, M. eds 2007. The SAGE Handbook of Public Opinion Research. SAGE Publications.

[89]

Doorenspleet, R. 2005. Democratic transitions: exploring the structural sources of the fourth wave. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[90]

Doorenspleet, R. 2005. Democratic transitions: exploring the structural sources of the fourth wave. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[91]

Doorenspleet, R. Democratic Transitions: Exploring the Structural Sources of the Fourth Wave[electronic resource].

[92]

Downs, A. 1985. An economic theory of democracy. Addison-Wesley.

[93]

Downs, A. 1957. An economic theory of democracy. Harper & Row.

[94]

Dunleavy, P. 2003. Authoring a PhD: how to plan, draft, write, and finish a doctoral thesis

or dissertation. Palgrave Macmillan.

[95]

Dunleavy, P. 2003. *Authoring a PhD: how to plan, draft, write, and finish a doctoral thesis or dissertation*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[96]

Eatwell, J. *Fall and rise of Keynesian economics*.

[97]

Eatwell, J. and Milgate, M. 2011. *The fall and rise of Keynesian economics*. Oxford University Press.

[98]

ECPR's standing groups: <http://www.ecpr.eu/>.

[99]

ECPR's standing groups: <http://www.ecpr.eu/>.

[100]

Farrell, D. 2011. *Electoral systems: a comparative introduction*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[101]

Fattah, M. 2006. *Democratic values in the Muslim world*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[102]

Fearon, J. and Laitin, D. 2000. Violence and the Social Construction of Ethnic Identity. *International Organization*. 54, 4 (2000), 845–877.

<https://doi.org/10.1162/002081800551398>.

[103]

Freedom House: <https://freedomhouse.org/>.

[104]

Freedom House: <https://freedomhouse.org/>.

[105]

Friedman, M. 1962. *Capitalism and freedom*. University of Chicago Pr.

[106]

Geddes, B. 1990. How the Cases You Choose Affect the Answers You Get: Selection Bias in Comparative Politics. *Political Analysis*. 2, 1 (1990), 131–150.

[107]

Geddes, B. 2003. *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. University of Michigan Press.

[108]

Geddes, B. 2003. *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. University of Michigan Press.

[109]

Geddes, B. 2003. *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. University of Michigan Press.

[110]

Geddes, B. 2003. Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics. University of Michigan Press.

[111]

Gellner, E. 2008. Nations and nationalism. Cornell University Press.

[112]

di Gennaro, C. and Dutton, W. 2006. The Internet and the Public: Online and Offline Political Participation in the United Kingdom. Parliamentary Affairs. 59, 2 (2006), 299–313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsl004>.

[113]

George, A. and Bennett, A. 2004. Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. MIT.

[114]

Giliomee, H. and Simkins, C. eds 2005. The awkward embrace: one-party domination and democracy. Taylor & Francis e-Library.

[115]

Goertz, G. 2006. Social science concepts: a user's guide. Princeton University Press.

[116]

Goertz, G. 2006. Social science concepts: a user's guide. Princeton University Press.

[117]

Goodin, R. and Klingemann, H. eds 1996. A new handbook of political science. Oxford University Press.

[118]

Goodin, R. and Klingemann, H. eds 1996. A new handbook of political science. Oxford University Press.

[119]

Greetham, B. 2001. How to write better essays. Palgrave.

[120]

Greetham, B. 2008. How to write better essays. Palgrave Macmillan.

[121]

Greetham, B. 2018. How to write better essays. Macmillan Education.

[122]

Gurr, T. 1993. Why Minorities Rebel: A Global Analysis of Communal Mobilization and Conflict since 1945. *International Political Science Review*. 14, 2 (1993), 161–201. <https://doi.org/10.1177/019251219301400203>.

[123]

Haerpfer, C. et al. eds 2009. Democratization. Oxford University Press.

[124]

Haggard, S. and Kaufman, R. 1995. The political economy of democratic transitions. Princeton University Press.

[125]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2010. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[126]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2013. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[127]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2010. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[128]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2013. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[129]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2010. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[130]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2013. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[131]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2010. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[132]

Hague, R. and Harrop, M. 2013. Comparative government and politics: an introduction. Palgrave Macmillan.

[133]

Hartley, P. and Dawson, M. 2010. Success in groupwork. Palgrave Macmillan.

[134]

Hegre, H. et al. Toward a Democratic civil peace? Democracy, political change, and civil war, 1816-1992. *The American Political Science Review*. 95, 1, 33-48.

[135]

Horowitz, D. 2000. *Ethnic groups in conflict*. University of California Press.

[136]

Huntington, S.P. 1991. Ch.1 What? The third wave: democratization in the late twentieth century. University of Oklahoma Press. 3-30.

[137]

IFES: <http://www.ifes.org/>.

[138]

Inglehart, R. 2003. *Human values and social change: findings from the values surveys*. Brill.

[139]

Inglehart, R. 2003. *Human values and social change: findings from the values surveys*. Brill.

[140]

Inglehart, R. and Welzel, C. 2005. *Modernization, cultural change, and democracy: the human development sequence*. Cambridge University Press.

[141]

Inglehart, R. and Welzel, C. 2005. *Modernization, Cultural Change, and Democracy: The*

Human Development Sequence. Cambridge University Press.

[142]

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA): <http://www.idea.int/>.

[143]

International Peace Research Institute (PRIO): <https://www.prio.org/>.

[144]

Inter-Parliamentary Union: <http://www.ipu.org/parline/>.

[145]

J. Fearon 1991. Counterfactuals and Hypothesis Testing in Political Science. *World Politics*. 43, 2 (1991), 169–195.

[146]

Jackson, R. 2007. Towards an Understanding of Contemporary Intrastate War. *Government and Opposition*. 42, 1 (2007), 121–128.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2007.00215_1.x.

[147]

Katz, R. and Mair, P. eds 1994. *How parties organize: change and adaptation in party organizations in Western democracies*. Sage.

[148]

Katz, R.S. and Mair, P. 1994. *How parties organize: change and adaptation in party organizations in Western democracies*. SAGE.

[149]

Katznelson, I. and Milner, H. 2002. Political science: state of the discipline. W.W. Norton.

[150]

Keynes, J.M. 2007. The general theory of employment, interest, and money. Palgrave Macmillan for the Royal Economic Society.

[151]

Keynes, J.M. 1936. The general theory of employment interest and money. Macmillan.

[152]

Keynes offers us the best way to think about the financial crisis: 2008.
<http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/be2dbf2c-d113-11dd-8cc3-000077b07658.html#axzz3dUxGtwvd>.

[153]

King, G. et al. 1994. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton University Press.

[154]

King, G. et al. 1994. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton University Press.

[155]

King, G. et al. 1994. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton University Press.

[156]

King, G. et al. 1994. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research.

Princeton University Press.

[157]

Kitschelt, H. 1995. Formation of Party Cleavages in Post-Communist Democracies: Theoretical Propositions. *Party Politics*. 1, 4 (1995), 447-472.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001004002>.

[158]

Kohli, A. et al. 1995. The Role of Theory in Comparative Politics: A Symposium. *World Politics*. 48, 1 (1995), 1-49.

[159]

Lagos, M. 2008. Ch.54 International Comparative Surveys: Their Purpose, Content and Methodological Challenges. *The SAGE handbook of public opinion research*. SAGE Pub. 580-593.

[160]

Landman, T. 2008. *Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction*. Routledge.

[161]

Landman, T. 2008. *Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction*. Routledge.

[162]

Landman, T. 2008. *Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction*. Routledge.

[163]

Landman, T. 2008. *Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction*. Routledge.

[164]

Landman, T. 2008. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[165]

Landman, T. 2008. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[166]

Landman, T. 2008. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[167]

Landman, T. 2008. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[168]

Landman, T. 2008. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[169]

Landman, T. 2008. Issues and methods in comparative politics: an introduction. Routledge.

[170]

LaPalombara, J. et al. 1966. Political parties and political development. Princeton University Press.

[171]

Levy, J. 2007. Qualitative Methods and Cross-Method Dialogue in Political Science. *Comparative Political Studies*. 40, 2 (2007), 196–214.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414006296348>.

[172]

Lichbach, M. 1989. An Evaluation of 'Does Economic Inequality Breed Political Conflict?' *Studies. World Politics*. 41, 4 (1989), 431–470.

[173]

Lieberman, E. 2005. Nested Analysis as a Mixed-Method Strategy for Comparative Research. *The American Political Science Review*. 99, 3 (2005), 435–452.

[174]

Lijphart, A. 1971. Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. *The American Political Science Review*. 65, 3 (1971), 682–693.

[175]

Lijphart, A. 1984. *Democracies: patterns of majoritarian and consensus government in twenty-one countries*. Yale University Press.

[176]

Lijphart, A. 1994. *Electoral systems and party systems: a study of twenty-seven democracies, 1945-1990*. Oxford University Press.

[177]

Lijphart, A. 1994. *Electoral systems and party systems: a study of twenty-seven democracies, 1945-1990*. Oxford University Press.

[178]

Lijphart, A. 1994. *Electoral systems and party systems: a study of twenty-seven democracies, 1945-1990*. Oxford University Press.

[179]

Lijphart, A. 1994. *Electoral systems and party systems: a study of twenty-seven democracies, 1945-1990*. Oxford University Press.

[180]

Lijphart, A. 2012. *Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries*. Yale University Press.

[181]

Lijphart, A. 2012. *Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries*. Yale University Press.

[182]

Lijphart, A. 1975. The Comparable-Cases Strategy in Comparative Research. *Comparative Political Studies*. 8, 2 (1975), 158–177. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001041407500800203>.

[183]

Links to other Democratisation Centres in the world:
<https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/pais/research/csd/>.

[184]

Lipset, S. 1959. Some Social Requisites of Democracy: Economic Development and Political Legitimacy. *The American Political Science Review*. 53, 1 (1959), 69–105.

[185]

de M, G. and Berg-Schlosser, D. 1996. Conditions of Authoritarianism, Fascism, and Democracy in Interwar Europe: Systematic Matching and Contrasting of Cases for 'Small N' Analysis. *Comparative Political Studies*. 29, 4 (1996), 423–468.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414096029004003>.

[186]

Maalouf, A. 2001. *In the name of identity: violence and the need to belong*. Arcade Pub.

[187]

Mack, A. 2002. Civil War: Academic Research and the Policy Community. *Journal of Peace Research*. 39, 5 (2002), 515-525. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343302039005001>.

[188]

Mahoney, J. 2007. Qualitative Methodology and Comparative Politics. *Comparative Political Studies*. 40, 2 (2007), 122-144. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414006296345>.

[189]

Mahoney, J. and Goertz, G. 2006. A Tale of Two Cultures: Contrasting Quantitative and Qualitative Research. *Political Analysis*. 14, 3 (2006), 227-249.

[190]

Mair, P. ed. 1990. *The West European party system*. Oxford University Press.

[191]

Mansfield, E. and Snyder, J. 2004. *Electing to Fight: Why Emerging Democracies go to War*. MIT.

[192]

Mansfield, E. and Snyder, J. 2005. *Electing to Fight: Why Emerging Democracies go to War*. MIT Press.

[193]

Mansfield, E.D. and Snyder, J. Democratic Transitions, Institutional Strength, and War. *International Organisation*. 56, 2, 297-337.

[194]

Mattes, R. 2008. Ch.11 Public opinion research in emerging democracies. The SAGE handbook of public opinion research. SAGE Pub. 113-122.

[195]

Munck, G. and Snyder, R. 2007. Debating the Direction of Comparative Politics: An Analysis of Leading Journals. *Comparative Political Studies*. 40, 1 (2007), 5-31.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414006294815>.

[196]

Munck, G. and Snyder, R. 2007. *Passion, craft, and method in comparative politics*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

[197]

Munck, G. and Snyder, R. 2007. Who Publishes in Comparative Politics? Studying the World from the United States. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 40, 02 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096507070552>.

[198]

Onwuegbuzie, A. and Leech, N. 2005. Taking the "Q" Out of Research: Teaching Research Methodology Courses Without the Divide Between Quantitative and Qualitative Paradigms. *Quality & Quantity*. 39, 3 (2005), 267-295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-004-1670-0>.

[199]

Orvis, S. 2015. Ch.5 States and Markets. *Introducing comparative politics: concepts and cases in context*. Sage. 196-257.

[200]

Parties and Elections in Europe: <http://www.parties-and-elections.eu/>.

[201]

Pierson, P. 2000. Increasing returns, path dependence, and the study of politics. *American political science review*. 94, 2 (2000), 251–268.

[202]

Political Organizations and Parties Section: <http://www.apsanet.org/section5>.

[203]

Polity IV Project: <http://www.systemicpeace.org/polity/polity4.htm>.

[204]

Polity IV Project: <http://www.systemicpeace.org/polity/polity4.htm>.

[205]

Program on Intrastate Conflict: <http://www.belfercenter.org/programs>.

[206]

Przeworski, A. and Teune, H. 1970. *The logic of comparative social inquiry*. Wiley-Interscience.

[207]

Ragin, C. 1987. *The comparative method: moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. University of California Press.

[208]

Ragin, C. 1989. *The Comparative method: moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. University of California Press.

[209]

Rueschemeyer, D. et al. 1992. Capitalist development and democracy. Polity.

[210]

Rustow, D. and Erickson, K. 1991. Comparative political dynamics: global research perspectives. HarperCollins.

[211]

Schedler, A. 1998. How should we study democratic consolidation? Democratization. 5, 4 (1998), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510349808403582>.

[212]

Schedler, A. 1998. What is Democratic Consolidation? Journal of Democracy. 9, 2 (1998), 91-107. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1998.0030>.

[213]

Schlesinger, J. 1984. On the Theory of Party Organization. The Journal of Politics. 46, 2 (1984), 369-400.

[214]

Silverman, D. 2017. Doing qualitative research. SAGE.

[215]

Silverman, D. 2005. Doing qualitative research: a practical handbook. Sage Publications.

[216]

Silverman, D. 2010. Doing qualitative research: a practical handbook. SAGE.

[217]

Simkins, C. Awkward embrace : one-party domination and democracy.

[218]

Skills4study.com: <http://www.skills4studycampus.com/Palgrave/>.

[219]

Snyder, J. 2000. From Voting to Violence: Democratization and Nationalist Conflict. Norton.

[220]

Tarrow, S. 1995. Bridging the quantitative-qualitative divide in political science -- Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research by Gary King, Robert O. Keohane and Sidney Verba. The American Political Science Review. 89, 2 (1995).

[221]

The Economist: <http://www.economist.com/>.

[222]

The Financial Times: <http://www.ft.com/home/uk>.

[223]

The Guardian: <http://www.theguardian.com/uk>.

[224]

The Observer: <http://www.theguardian.com/observer>.

[225]

The Society for Political Methodology: <http://polmeth.wustl.edu/>.

[226]

The Times: <http://www.thetimes.co.uk/tto/news/>.

[227]

The World Factbook:
<https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/references/guide-to-country-profiles/>.

[228]

Tolbert, C. and Mcneal, R. 2003. Unraveling the Effects of the Internet on Political Participation? *Political Research Quarterly*. 56, 2 (2003), 175–185.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/106591290305600206>.

[229]

Transparency International - The Global Anti-Corruption Coalition:
<http://www.transparency.org/>.

[230]

Walliman, N. 2011. *Your research project: designing and planning your work*. Sage Publications.

[231]

Walliman, N. 2011. *Your research project: designing and planning your work*. Sage Publications.

[232]

Walliman, N. 2011. *Your research project: designing and planning your work*. Sage Publications.

[233]

Ware, A. 1996. Political parties and party systems. Oxford University Press.

[234]

Weisberg, H. 2008. Ch.20 The methodological strengths and weaknesses of survey research. The SAGE handbook of public opinion research. SAGE Pub. 223–231.

[235]

Writing skills: <http://www.skills4studycampus.com/Palgrave/Info/Modules>.

[236]

Yin, R.K. 2014. Case study research: design and methods. SAGE.

[237]

Zakaria, F. 1997. The Rise of Illiberal Democracy. Foreign Affairs. 76, 6 (1997), 22–43.

[238]

American Political Science Review.

[239]

British Journal of Political Science.

[240]

Comparative Political Studies.

[241]

Comparative Politics.

[242]

2003. Debate on concept formation. (2003).

[243]

Democratization.

[244]

Electoral Studies.

[245]

Foreign Affairs.

[246]

International Political Science Review.

[247]

2007. Journal of Democracy. 18, (2007).

[248]

Political Studies.

[249]

Project MUSE - Journal of Democracy.

[250]

The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science.

[251]

The British Journal of Politics & International Relations.

[252]

The Independent.

[253]

World Politics.