

LA901: International Intellectual property

[View Online](#)

1

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar 2008.

2

WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use.
<http://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/iprm/>

3

World Intellectual Property Organization. WIPO intellectual property handbook: policy, law and use. 2nd ed. Geneva: WIPO 2004.

4

Dinwoodie GB, Hennessey WO, Perlmutter S. International intellectual property law and policy. Newark, N.J.: LexisNexis 2001.

5

Goldstein P. International intellectual property law: cases and materials. New York: Foundation Press 2001.

6

Gervais DJ. The TRIPS agreement: drafting history and analysis. 3rd ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell/Thomson Reuters 2008.

7

Drahos P, Braithwaite J. Information feudalism: who owns the knowledge economy? London: Earthscan 2002.

8

Chang H-J. Intellectual property rights and economics development: historical lessons and emerging issues. Penang, Malaysia: Third World Network 2001.

9

Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

10

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

11

Malbon J, Lawson C. Interpreting and implementing the TRIPS agreement: is it fair? Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar 2008.

12

Great Britain. Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy. 2002. http://www.iprcommission.org/graphic/documents/final_report.htm

13

Great Britain. Integrating intellectual property rights and development policy. London: CIPR 2002.

14

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

15

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Basingstoke [England]: Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

16

Helfer LR, Austin G. Human rights and intellectual property: mapping the global interface. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2011.

17

Austin G, Helfer LR. Human rights and intellectual property: mapping the global interface. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2011.

18

Abbott, Frederick M. The international intellectual property system : commentary and materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.1.

19

Abbott, Frederick M. The international intellectual property system : commentary and materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.2.

20

Anderfelt U. International patent-legislation and developing countries. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff 1971.

21

Keith Aoki. Seed Wars: Cases and Materials on Intellectual Property and Plant Genetic

Resources. Carolina Academic Pr 2008.

22

Arup C. The new World Trade Organization agreements: globalizing law through services and intellectual property. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2000.

23

Beier F-K, Schricker G, Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht. From GATT to TRIPs: the agreement on trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights. Weinheim: VCH 1996.

24

Bertin GY, Wyatt S. Multinationals and industrial property: the control of the world's technology. Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf 1988.

25

Bellmann C, Dutfield G, Meléndez-Ortiz R, et al. Trading in knowledge: development perspectives on TRIPS, trade, and sustainability. London: Earthscan Publications 2003.

26

Blakeney M. Trade related aspects of intellectual property rights: a concise guide to the TRIPS agreement. London: Sweet & Maxwell 1996.

27

Boldrin M, Levine DK. Against Intellectual Monopoly. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2008.

28

Boldrin M, Levine DK. Against intellectual monopoly. New York: Cambridge University Press 2008.

29

Braga CAP, Fink C, Sepulveda CP, et al. Intellectual property rights and economic development. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank 2000.

30

Coombe RJ. The cultural life of intellectual properties: authorship, appropriation, and the law. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press 1998.

31

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters 2013.

32

Correa CM. Intellectual property rights, the WTO and developing countries: the TRIPS agreement and policy options. London: Zed 2000.

33

Correa CM, Yusuf A. Intellectual property and international trade: the TRIPs agreement. London: Kluwer Law International 1998.

34

Correa CM. Research handbook on the protection of intellectual property under WTO rules. Vol 1. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar 2010.

35

Correa CM. Research handbook on the interpretation and enforcement of intellectual property under WTO rules. Vol 2. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar 2010.

36

Cottier T, Mavroidis PC. Intellectual property: trade, competition, and sustainable development. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press 2003.

37

D'Amato AA, Long DE. International intellectual property law. London: Kluwer Law International 1997.

38

Drahos P. A philosophy of intellectual property. Aldershot: Dartmouth 1996.

39

Drahos P. Intellectual property. Aldershot: Ashgate Dartmouth 1999.

40

Dreyfuss RC, Zimmerman DL, First H. Expanding the boundaries of intellectual property: innovation policy for the knowledge society. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2001.

41

Dutfield G. Intellectual property rights and the life science industries: past, present and future. 2nd ed. Singapore: World Scientific 2009.

42

Fawcett JJ, Torremans P. Intellectual property and private international law. Oxford: Clarendon 1998.

43

Finger JM, Schuler P. Poor people's knowledge: promoting intellectual property in developing countries. [Washington, D.C.]: Copublication of the World Bank and Oxford University Press 2004.

44

Fink C, Maskus KE, editors. Intellectual property and development: lessons from recent economic research. Washington, DC: World Bank .

45

Heath C, Kamperman Sanders A. New frontiers of intellectual property law: IP and cultural heritage, geographical indicators, enforcement, overprotection. Oxford: Hart Publishing 2005.

46

Heath C, Kamperman Sanders A. New frontiers of intellectual property law: IP and cultural heritage, geographical indicators, enforcement, overprotection. Oxford: Hart Publishing 2005.

47

Landes WM, Posner RA. The economic structure of intellectual property law. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 2003.

48

Maskus KE. Intellectual property rights in the global economy. Washington, D.C.: Institute for International Economics 2000.

49

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press 2005.

50

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press 2005.

51

Matthews D. Globalizing intellectual property rights: the TRIPs agreement. London: Routledge 2002.

52

May C, Sell SK. Intellectual property rights: a critical history. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers 2006.

53

Merges RP, Menell PS, Lemley MA. Intellectual property in the new technological age. 3rd. ed. New York: Aspen Publishers 2003.

54

Oguamanam C. Intellectual property in global governance: a development question. Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge 2012.

55

Pugatch MP. The international political economy of intellectual property rights. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar 2004.

56

Richards D. Intellectual property rights and global capitalism: the political economy of the TRIPS Agreement. Armonk, N.Y.: M.E. Sharpe 2004.

57

Ryan MP. Knowledge diplomacy: global competition and the politics of intellectual property. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press 1998.

58

Sell SK. Power and ideas: North-South politics of intellectual property and antitrust.

Albany, New York: State University of New York Press 1998.

59

Sherwood RM. Intellectual property and economic development. Boulder: Westview Press 1990.

60

Cornish WR, Vaver D, Bently L. Intellectual property in the new millennium: essays in honour of William R. Cornish. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press 2004.

61

Wallerstein MB, Mogue ME, Schoen RA, et al. Global dimensions of intellectual property rights in science and technology. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press 1993.

62

Wadlow C. Enforcement of intellectual property in European and international law: the new private international law of intellectual property in the United Kingdom and the European Community. London: Sweet & Maxwell 1998.

63

Watal J. Intellectual property rights in the WTO and developing countries. New Delhi: Oxford University Press 2003.

64

European intellectual property review.

65

European intellectual property review.

66

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht. IIC: international review of industrial property and copyright law.

67

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht. International review of industrial property and copyright law.

68

Intellectual Property Institute. Intellectual property quarterly. Published Online First: 1997.

69

Intellectual Property Institute. Intellectual property quarterly.

70

The Journal of world intellectual property.

71

The journal of world intellectual property.

72

Managing intellectual property.

73

Managing intellectual property.

74

American Intellectual Property Law Association, William S. Hein & Company. AIPLA quarterly journal. Published Online First: 1984.

75

Copyright World.

76

George Washington University, Franklin Pierce Law Center, LexisNexis (Firm), et al. Idea.

77

LexisNexis (Firm). Intellectual property decisions. Published Online First: 1978.

78

Centre for Legal and Business Information, LexisNexis (Firm). Intellectual property newsletter.

79

Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law.

80

University of Georgia, LexisNexis (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The journal of intellectual property law.

81

Journal of international economic law. Published Online First: 1998.

82

Journal of international economic law.

83

Patent world.

84

University of Texas at Austin, State Bar of Texas, William S. Hein & Company. Texas intellectual property law journal.

85

United States Trademark Association, International Trademark Association, LexisNexis (Firm), et al. The Trade-mark reporter.

86

Edwin C. Hettinger. Justifying Intellectual Property. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1989;18:31-52.

87

Hettinger EC. Justifying Intellectual Property. Philosophy and Public Affairs. 1989;18:31-52.

88

Roberto Mazzoleni and Richard R. Nelson. Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. Journal of Economic Issues. 1998;32:1031-52.

89

Mazzoleni R, Nelson RR. Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. Journal of Economic Issues. 1998;32:1031-52. doi: 10.1080/00213624.1998.11506108

90

Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T. A companion to contemporary political philosophy. 2nd ed. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Pub 2007.

91

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

92

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Basingstoke [England]: Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

93

Hughes, Justin. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. The Georgetown law journal. 1988;77.

94

Hughes J. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. The Georgetown law journal. 1988;77:287-366.

95

May C, Sell SK. Intellectual property rights: a critical history. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers 2006.

96

Resnik DB. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. Journal of Business Ethics. 2003;46:319-35. doi: 10.1023/A:1025631902384

97

Resnik DB. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. Journal of Business Ethics.

2003;46:319–35. doi: 10.1023/A:1025631902384

98

Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

99

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

100

World Trade Organisation. WTO/DSP (2000) Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products – Report of the Panel. 2000.

101

Howse R. The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. The Journal of World Intellectual Property . ;3:493–507. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x

102

Howse R. The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. A Dangerous Precedent in Dangerous Times. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 2000;3:493–507. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x

103

Nowak KJ. Staying within the Negotiated Framework: Abiding by the Non-Discrimination Clause in Trips Article 27. Michigan Journal of International Law. 2005;26.

104

Reichman, J.H. Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPS Component of the WTO Agreement. International Lawyer (ABA). 1995;29.

105

Reichman JH. Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPs Component of the WTO Agreement. *International lawyer*. 1995;29:345–88.

106

Weissman, Robert. Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*. 1996;17.

107

Weissman R. Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic*. 1996;17:1069–125.

108

Novartis Ag vs Union Of India & Ors on 1 April, 2013.
<http://www.indiankanoon.org/doc/165776436/>

109

Scherer FM, Watal J. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2002;5:913–39. doi: 10.1093/jiel/5.4.913

110

Scherer FM, Watal J. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of international economic law*. 2002;5:913–39. doi: 10.1093/jiel/5.4.913

111

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash. Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2004;48:143–75.

112

Sell SK, Prakash A. Using ideas strategically: The contest between business and NGO networks in intellectual property rights. *International studies quarterly*. 2004;48:143–75.

113

Charnovitz S. The Legal Status of the Doha Declarations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2002;5:207–11. doi: 10.1093/jiel/5.1.207

114

Charnovitz S. The legal status of the Doha declarations. *Journal of international economic law*. 2002;5:207–11. doi: 10.1093/jiel/5.1.207

115

Jakkrit Kuanpoth. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand - the ddl case and beyond. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2006;149–58.

116

Kuanpoth J. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2006;149–58.

117

Duncan Matthews. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2006;91–130.

118

Matthews D. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2006;91-130.

119

Morin J-F, Gold ER. Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2010;16:563-87. doi: 10.1177/1354066110366054

120

Morin J-F, Gold ER. Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. *European journal of international relations*. 2010;16:563-87. doi: 10.1177/1354066110366054

121

Pogge TW. Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. *Metaphilosophy*. 2005;36:182-209. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x

122

Pogge TW. Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. *Metaphilosophy*. 2005;36:182-209. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x

123

Dwijen Rangnekar. No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 2006;41:409-17.

124

Rangnekar D. No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and political weekly*. 2006;41:409-17.

125

Rangnekar D. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2007;10:365–87. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x

126

Rangnekar D. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The journal of world intellectual property*. 2007;10:365–87. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x

127

Rangnekar D. The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and political weekly*. 2013;48:39–40.

128

Rangnekar D. The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 2013;48:39–40.

129

Aoki K, Luvai K. Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review*. 2007;2007:35–70.

130

Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

131

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

132

van Wijk J. Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*. 2004;16:121-41.

133

van Wijk J. Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology analysis & strategic management*. 2004;16:121-41.

134

Tansey G, Rajotte T. *The future control of food: a guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security*. London: Earthscan 2008.

135

Research Project: Impacts of the Intellectual Property Rights Rules on Sustainable Development. <http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/csgr/research/projects/ipdev/>

136

Final Report- Impact of the IPR rules on Sustainable Development.

137

Lightbourne M, Dutfield G. *Literature Review and Commentary on Legal Regimes and Models for Protecting Plant Varieties*.

138

Rangnekar D. *Review of the Economic Literature on Plant Breeders' Rights*.

139

Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Bulgaria.

140

Lightbourne M, Muraguri L. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: China.

141

Lightbourne M. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Ethiopia.

142

Rangnekar D. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: India.

143

Rangnekar D. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Kenya.

144

Ivanov I, Yildiz B, Tsonkova O, et al. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Turkey.

145

Borowiak C. Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics & Society*. 2004;32:511–43. doi: 10.1177/0032329204269979

146

Borowiak C. Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics and Society*. 2004;32:511–43. doi: 10.1177/0032329204269979

147

Oguamanam C. Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*. 2006;29:413–54.

148

Van Overwalle G. Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. *IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology*. 1998;39:143–9.

149

Patel R. Food sovereignty. *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2009;36:663–706.

150

Patel R. Food sovereignty. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2009;36:663–706.

151

Rangnekar D. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 2014;19:359–83. doi: 10.1080/13563467.2013.796445

152

Rangnekar D. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 2014;19:359–83. doi: 10.1080/13563467.2013.796445

153

de Schutter O. The right to food: Seed policies and the right to food: enhancing agrobiodiversity and encouraging innovation. 2009.

154

Federal Republic of Germany and Kingdom of Denmark, supported by the French Republic and the United Kingdom v Commission of the European Communities, supported by the Hellenic Republic, Joined Cases C-465/02 and C-466/02. 2005.

155

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 2011;43:2043–59. doi: 10.1068/a43259

156

Rangnekar D. Remaking Place: The Social Construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning*. 2011;43:2043–59. doi: 10.1068/a43259

157

Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

158

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

159

Rangnekar D. Geographical indications: A review of proposals at the TRIPs Council – Extending article 23 to products other than wines and spirits. 2003.

160

Rangnekar D. The socio-economics of geographical indications: A review of evidence from Europe. 2004.

161

Yu PK. Intellectual property and information wealth: issues and practices in the digital age. Westport, Conn: Praeger Publishers 2007.

162

Rangnekar D. Geographical Indications and Localisation: A Case Study of Feni. 2009.

163

Rangnekar D. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. ;13.

164

Rangnekar D. The journal of world intellectual property. ;13.

165

Correa CM. Research handbook on the protection of intellectual property under WTO rules. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar 2010.

166

Broude T. Taking Trade and Culture Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law. 2005;26.

167

Broude T. Taking 'trade and culture' seriously: Geographical indications and cultural protection in WTO law. University of Pennsylvania journal of international law. 2005;26:623-92.

168

Coombe RJ. Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. Law, Culture and the Humanities. 2005;1:35-52. doi: 10.1191/1743872105lw010oa

169

Evans GE, Blakeney M. The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis?

Journal of international economic law. 2006;9:575–614.

170

Evans GE, Blakeney M. The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? Journal of International Economic Law. 2006;9:575–614.

171

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. Environment and Planning A. 2011;43:2043–59. doi: 10.1068/a43259

172

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. Environment and planning. 2011;43:2043–59. doi: 10.1068/a43259

173

Rangnekar D, Kumar S. Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 2010;13:202–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x

174

Rangnekar D, Kumar S. Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 2010;13:202–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x

175

Raustiala K, Munzer SR. The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. European Journal of International Law. 2007;18:337–65. doi: 10.1093/ejil/chm016

176

Sunder M. Invention of Traditional Knowledge, The. Law and Contemporary Problems. 2007;70.

177

Sunder M. The invention of traditional knowledge. Law and Contemporary Problems. 2007;70:97-124.

178

Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act, Report of the Panel. 15 AD.

179

Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

180

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.

181

Orit Fischman Afori. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 2013;392-417.

182

Afori OF. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 2013;44:392-417.

183

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 2003;66.

184

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 2003;66:33–74.

185

David J. Brennan. The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly. Published Online First: 2002.

186

Brennan DJ. The three steps test frenzy: why the TRIPs Panel might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 2002;212–25.

187

Chon M. Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. UC Davis Law Review. 2007;40:803–54.

188

Philippa Davies. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. European Intellectual Property Review. 2013;402–14.

189

Davies P. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. European Intellectual Property Review. 2013;35:402–14.

190

Helfer LR. World Music on a U.S. Stage: A Berne/Trips and Economic Analysis of the Fairness in Music Licensing Act. Boston University Law Review. 2000;80:93–204.

191

Lange D. Reimagining the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 2003;66.

192

Lange D. Reimagining the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 2003;66:463–83.

193

Okediji R. TRIPs Dispute Settlement and the Sources of (International) Copyright Law Part II. Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA. 2001;49:585–648.

194

Richard Owens. TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. European Intellectual Property Review. 2003;25.

195

Owens R. TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. European intellectual property review. 2003;25:49–54.

196

Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion of the Court. 2005.

197

Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion and individual judges' opinions. 2005.

198

Thomas Hays. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review. Published Online First: 2006.

199

Hays T. Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. European Intellectual Property Review. 2007;29:15–21.

200

Hays T. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Parts 1. European Intellectual Property Review. 2006;28:617–24.

201

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 2003;66:33–74.

202

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 2003;66:33–74.

203

Lee A. Bygrave. The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. European Intellectual Property Review. 2002;51–7.

204

Bygrave LA. The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. European Intellectual Property Review. 2002;24:51–7.

205

Helton M. Secondary Liability for Copyright Infringement: BitTorrent as a Vehicle for Establishing a New Copyright Definition for Staple Articles of Commerce. Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems. 2006;40:1–36.

206

Lee, Edward. The Ethics of Innovation: p2p Software Developers and Designing Substantial

Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2005;62:147–62.

207

Lee E. The Ethics of Innovation: P2P Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2005;62:147–62.

208

Lunney GSJr. Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, The. *Virginia Law Review*. 2001;87.

209

Lunney GS. The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia law review*. 2001;87:813–920.

210

Lerner J, Stern S, editors. *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, Volume 10. University of Chicago Press 2010.

211

Maskus KE. Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review*. 2000;53.

212

Maskus KE. Lessons from studying the international economics of intellectual property rights. *Vanderbilt law review*. 2000;53:2219–39.

213

Yu PK. A Tale of Two Development Agendas. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*. 2009;35:465–574.

214

Alston P. Ships Passing in the Night: The Current State of the Human Rights and Development Debate Seen through the Lens of the Millennium Development Goals. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 2005;27:755–829.

215

de Beer J, editor. *Implementing WIPO's Development Agenda*. Wilfrid Laurier University Press 2009.

216

Amy Kapczynski. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *The Yale Law Journal*. 2008;117:804–85.

217

Kapczynski A. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *Yale law journal*. 2008;117:804–85.

218

Maskus KE, Penubarti M. How trade-related are intellectual property rights? *Journal of International Economics*. 1995;39:227–48. doi: 10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8

219

Maskus KE, Penubarti M. How Trade-Related Are Intellectual Property-Rights. *Journal of international economics*. 1995;39:227–48. doi: 10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8

220

May C. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society*. 2008;22:97–113. doi: 10.1080/13600820701740753

221

May C. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society*. 2008;22:161–70. doi: 10.1080/13600820701740753

222

Menescal AK. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2005;8:761–96.

223

Menescal AK. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2005;8:761–96.

224

Wade RH. What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2003;10:621–44. doi: 10.1080/09692290310001601902

225

Wade RH. What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. *Review of international political economy*. 2003;10:621–44. doi: 10.1080/09692290310001601902

226

Braithwaite J, Drahos P. *Global business regulation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2000.

227

Helfer LR. Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law*. 2004;29:1–84.

228

Abbott FM. Intellectual Property Provisions of Bilateral and Regional Trade Agreements in Light of U.S. Federal Law. ICTSD 2006.

229

Chimni BS. Political Economy of the Uruguay Round of Negotiations: A Perspective. *International Studies*. 1992;29:135–58. doi: 10.1177/0020881792029002001

230

Draho P. Global Property Rights in Information: The story of TRIPS at the GATT. *Prometheus*. 1995;13:6–19. doi: 10.1080/08109029508629187

231

Fischer-Lescano A, Teubner G. Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*. 2004;25:999–1046.

232

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2004;7:279–320. doi: 10.1093/jiel/7.2.279

233

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of international economic law*. 2004;7:279–320. doi: 10.1093/jiel/7.2.279

234

Sell S, May C. Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2001;8:467–500. doi: 10.1080/09692290110055849

235

Sell S, May C. Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2001;8:467–500. doi: 10.1080/09692290110055849