

# LA901: International Intellectual property

[View Online](#)


Abbott, F. M. (2006). Intellectual Property Provisions of Bilateral and Regional Trade Agreements in Light of U.S. Federal Law. ICTSD.  
<http://www.iprsonline.org/unctadictsd/dialogue/2006-05-03/Frederick%20abbott%2012%200405b.pdf>

Abbott, Frederick M. (n.d.-a). The international intellectual property system : commentary and materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.1.  
<http://webcat.warwick.ac.uk/search~S1?/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System/1%2C4%2C4%2CB/frameset&FF=XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&2%2C2%2C>

Abbott, Frederick M. (n.d.-b). The international intellectual property system : commentary and materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.2.  
[http://webcat.warwick.ac.uk/search~S1?/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&1%2C1%2C](http://webcat.warwick.ac.uk/search~S1?/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System/1%2C4%2C4%2CB/frameset&FF=XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&1%2C1%2C)

Afori, O. F. (2013). The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 44(4), 392–417.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7>

Alston, P. (2005). Ships Passing in the Night: The Current State of the Human Rights and Development Debate Seen through the Lens of the Millennium Development Goals. Human Rights Quarterly, 27(3), 755–829.  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20069811>

American Intellectual Property Law Association & William S. Hein & Company. (1984). AIPLA quarterly journal [Electronic resource].  
<http://0-www.westlaw.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/search/default.wl?ForceTo=uk.westlaw.com&DB=AIPLAQJ&SP=warwicku-000&ClientID=UKLAWSCHOOLS&Action=search&RS=UKIS1.0&VR=1.0&cbhf=none>

Amy Kapczynski. (2008). The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. The Yale Law Journal, 117(5), 804–885.  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/20455812?origin=crossre>

f

Anderfelt, U. (1971). International patent-legislation and developing countries. Martinus Nijhoff.

Aoki, K., & Luvai, K. (2007). Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review*, 2007, 35–70.  
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mslr2007&collection=journals&id=41>

Arup, C. (2000). The new World Trade Organization agreements: globalizing law through services and intellectual property: Vol. Cambridge studies in law and society. Cambridge University Press.

Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Bulgaria (Issue IPDEV Work Package 6). (n.d.).

[http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_bulgaria\\_case\\_study.pdf](http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_bulgaria_case_study.pdf)

Austin, G., & Helfer, L. R. (2011). Human rights and intellectual property: mapping the global interface. Cambridge University Press.

Beier, F.-K., Schricker, G., & Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht. (1996). From GATT to TRIPs: the agreement on trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights: Vol. IIC studies. studies in industrial and copyright law. VCH.

Bellmann, C., Dutfield, G., Meléndez-Ortiz, R., & International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. (2003). Trading in knowledge: development perspectives on TRIPS, trade, and sustainability. Earthscan Publications.

Bertin, G. Y., & Wyatt, S. (1988). Multinationals and industrial property: the control of the world's technology. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Blakeneys, M. (1996). Trade related aspects of intellectual property rights: a concise guide to the TRIPS agreement: Vol. Intellectual property in practice. Sweet & Maxwell.

Boldrin, M., & Levine, D. K. (2008a). Against Intellectual Monopoly. Cambridge University Press. <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511510854>

Boldrin, M., & Levine, D. K. (2008b). Against intellectual monopoly. Cambridge University Press.

Borowiak, C. (2004a). Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics and Society*, 32(4), 511–543. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329204269979>

Borowiak, C. (2004b). Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics & Society*, 32(4), 511–543. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329204269979>

Boyle, J. (2003a). The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 66.

<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lc>

p66&div=6&collection=journals&set\_as\_cursor=clear

Boyle, J. (2003b). The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 66(1-2), 33-74.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp66&collection=journals&id=39>

Boyle, J. (2003c). The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. In *Law and contemporary problems* (Vol. 66, Issues 1-2, pp. 33-74). Duke University. <http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1273&context=lcp>

Boyle, J. (2003d). The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 66(1), 33-74.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059171>

Braga, C. A. P., Fink, C., Sepulveda, C. P., & World Bank. (2000). Intellectual property rights and economic development: Vol. World Bank discussion paper. The World Bank.

Braithwaite, J., & Drahos, P. (2000). Global business regulation. Cambridge University Press.

Brennan, D. J. (2002). The three steps test frenzy: why the TRIPs Panel might be considered per incuriam. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2, 212-225.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Broude, T. (2005a). Taking Trade and Culture Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*, 26.  
[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel26&div=24&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel26&div=24&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)

Broude, T. (2005b). Taking 'trade and culture' seriously: Geographical indications and cultural protection in WTO law. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*, 26 (4), 623-692.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel26&collection=journals&id=639>

Bygrave, L. A. (2002). The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 24(2), 51-57.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ICF96B900E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838>

Centre for Legal and Business Information & LexisNexis (Firm). (n.d.). Intellectual property newsletter [Electronic resource].  
<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/api/version1/sf?sfid=GB04STJrnlsSrch&csl=280316>

Chang, H.-J. (2001). Intellectual property rights and economics development: historical lessons and emerging issues: Vol. Intellectual property rights series. Third World Network.

Charnovitz, S. (2002a). The legal status of the Doha declarations. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 5(1), 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.1.207>

Charnovitz, S. (2002b). The Legal Status of the Doha Declarations. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 5(1), 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.1.207>

Chimni, B. S. (1992). Political Economy of the Uruguay Round of Negotiations: A Perspective. *International Studies*, 29(2), 135–158.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020881792029002001>

Chon, M. (2007). Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. *U.C. Davis Law Review*, 40(3), 803–854.  
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/davlr40&collection=journals&id=811>

Coombe, R. J. (1998). The cultural life of intellectual properties: authorship, appropriation, and the law: Vol. Post-contemporary interventions. Duke University Press.

Coombe, R. J. (2005). Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 1(1), 35–52. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1743872105lw010oa>

Copyright World. (n.d.).

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights: Vol. Classics series (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Vaver, D., & Bently, L. (2004). Intellectual property in the new millennium: essays in honour of William R. Cornish. Cambridge University Press.

Correa, C. M. (2000). Intellectual property rights, the WTO and developing countries: the TRIPS agreement and policy options. Zed.

Correa, C. M. (2010a). Research handbook on the interpretation and enforcement of intellectual property under WTO rules. Vol 2: Vol. Intellectual property in the WTO. Edward Elgar.

Correa, C. M. (2010b). Research handbook on the protection of intellectual property under WTO rules. Vol 1: Vol. Research handbooks on the WTO. Edward Elgar.

Correa, C. M. (2010c). Research handbook on the protection of intellectual property under WTO rules: Vol. Research handbooks on the WTO. Edward Elgar.

Correa, C. M., & Yusuf, A. (1998). Intellectual property and international trade: the TRIPs agreement. Kluwer Law International.

Cottier, T., & Mavroidis, P. C. (2003). Intellectual property: trade, competition, and sustainable development: Vol. World Trade Forum. University of Michigan Press.

D'Amato, A. A., & Long, D. E. (1997). International intellectual property law. Kluwer Law International.

David J. Brennan. (2002). The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014912ee97a388f087e4&docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=20&spos=20&epos=20&td=26&crumb-action=append&context=30&resolvein=true>

Davies, P. (2013). Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 35(7), 402–414.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3A11BCA0D30E11E2B5068DA33E169237>

de Beer, J. (Ed.). (2009). Implementing WIPO's Development Agenda. Wilfrid Laurier University Press. [http://web.idrc.ca/openebooks/454-3/#page\\_24](http://web.idrc.ca/openebooks/454-3/#page_24)

de Schutter, O. (2009). The right to food: Seed policies and the right to food: enhancing agrobiodiversity and encouraging innovation. United Nations.  
[http://www.srfood.org/images/stories/pdf/officialreports/20091021\\_report-ga64\\_seed-policies-and-the-right-to-food\\_en.pdf](http://www.srfood.org/images/stories/pdf/officialreports/20091021_report-ga64_seed-policies-and-the-right-to-food_en.pdf)

Dinwoodie, G. B., Hennessey, W. O., & Perlmutter, S. (2001). International intellectual property law and policy: Vol. Casebook series. LexisNexis.

Drahos, P. (1995). Global Property Rights in Information: The story of TRIPS at the GATT. *Prometheus*, 13(1), 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08109029508629187>

Drahos, P. (1996). A philosophy of intellectual property. Dartmouth.

Drahos, P. (1999). Intellectual property: Vol. The international library of essays in law and legal theory. Second series. Ashgate Dartmouth.

Drahos, P., & Braithwaite, J. (2002). Information feudalism: who owns the knowledge economy? Earthscan.

Dreyfuss, R. C., Zimmerman, D. L., & First, H. (2001). Expanding the boundaries of intellectual property: innovation policy for the knowledge society. Oxford University Press.

Duncan Matthews. (2006). From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 91–130.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&src=ri&docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=I123AF6E0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&suppsrguid=i0ad82d08000001490db9d4313f13979b&spos=7&epos=7&td=15&refer=%2Fmaf%2Fwluk%2Fapp%2Fdocument%3Ftd%3D15%26spos%3D7%26rank%3D7%26epos%3D7%26hitguid%3DI123AF6E0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838%26docguid%3DI123AF6E0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838%26resolvein%3Dtrue%26srguid%3Di0ad82d08000001490db9d4313f13979b%26crumb-action%3Dappend%26context%3D49&crumb-action=append&context=50>

Dutfield, G. (2009). Intellectual property rights and the life science industries: past, present and future (2nd ed). World Scientific.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dwijen Rangnekar. (2006). No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 41(5), 409–417.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4417764>

Edwin C. Hettinger. (1989). Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 18 (1), 31–52. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265190>

European intellectual property review. (n.d.-a). [Electronic resource].  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.l43D027A1A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&ndd=2&athens=true>

European intellectual property review. (n.d.-b).

Evans, G. E., & Blakeney, M. (2006a). The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? *Journal of International Economic Law*, 9(3), 575–614.  
<http://0-jiel.oxfordjournals.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/content/9/3/575.full?sid=d1a978ba-7e6e-4c58-ad88-658de03bd846>

Evans, G. E., & Blakeney, M. (2006b). The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? *Journal of International Economic Law*, 9(3), 575–614.  
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/jiel/jgl016>

Fawcett, J. J., & Torremans, P. (1998). *Intellectual property and private international law*: Vol. Oxford monographs in private international law. Clarendon.

Federal Republic of Germany and Kingdom of Denmark, supported by the French Republic and the United Kingdom v Commission of the European Communities, supported by the Hellenic Republic, Joined Cases C-465/02 and C-466/02. (2005). Court of Justice of the European Communities.

<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:62002CJ0465:EN:PDF>

Final Report- Impact of the IPR rules on Sustainable Development. (n.d.).  
[http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_final\\_report.pdf](http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_final_report.pdf)

Finger, J. M., & Schuler, P. (2004). Poor people's knowledge: promoting intellectual property in developing countries: Vol. Trade and development series. Copublication of the World Bank and Oxford University Press.

Fink, C., & Maskus, K. E. (Eds.). (n.d.). *Intellectual property and development: lessons from recent economic research*. World Bank.

Fischer-Lescano, A., & Teubner, G. (2004). Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 25(4), 999–1046.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil25&collection=journals&id=1013>

George Washington University, Franklin Pierce Law Center, LexisNexis (Firm), & William S. Hein & Company. (n.d.). Idea [Electronic resource].

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/idea&collection=journals>

Gervais, D. J. (2008). *The TRIPS agreement: drafting history and analysis* (3rd ed). Sweet & Maxwell/Thomson Reuters.

Goldstein, P. (2001). *International intellectual property law: cases and materials*: Vol. University casebook series. Foundation Press.

Goodin, R. E., Pettit, P., & Pogge, T. (2007). *A companion to contemporary political philosophy*: Vol. Blackwell companions to philosophy (2nd ed). Blackwell Pub.

Gosseries, A., Marciano, A., & Strowel, A. (2008a). *Intellectual property and theories of justice* [Electronic resource]. Palgrave Macmillan.

<http://0-www.palgraveconnect.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doifinder/10.1057/9780230582392>

Gosseries, A., Marciano, A., & Strowel, A. (2008b). *Intellectual property and theories of justice*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Gosseries, A., Marciano, A., & Strowel, A. (2008c). *Intellectual property and theories of justice* [Electronic resource]. Palgrave Macmillan.

<http://0-www.palgraveconnect.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doifinder/10.1057/9780230582392>

Gosseries, A., Marciano, A., & Strowel, A. (2008d). *Intellectual property and theories of justice*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Great Britain. (2002). *Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy*. CIPR. [http://www.iprcommission.org/graphic/documents/final\\_report.htm](http://www.iprcommission.org/graphic/documents/final_report.htm)

Great Britain. (2002). *Integrating intellectual property rights and development policy*. CIPR.

Hays, T. (2006). The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Parts 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 28(12), 617-624.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=157624030737B11DBBF38943498D024C4>

Hays, T. (2007). Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 29(1), 15-21.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=1FD16C74083FB11DBB44ACB7302E2F64A>

Heath, C., & Kamperman Sanders, A. (2005a). *New frontiers of intellectual property law: IP and cultural heritage, geographical indicators, enforcement, overprotection* [Electronic resource]. Hart Publishing.

<http://0-portal.igpublish.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iglibrary/search/HARTB0000356.html>

Heath, C., & Kamperman Sanders, A. (2005b). *New frontiers of intellectual property law: IP*

and cultural heritage, geographical indicators, enforcement, overprotection: Vol. IIC studies. Hart Publishing.

Helper, L. R. (2000). World Music on a U.S. Stage: A Berne/Trips and Economic Analysis of the Fairness in Music Licensing Act. *Boston University Law Review*, 80(1), 93–204.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/bur80&collection=journals&id=107>

Helper, L. R. (2004). Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law*, 29(1), 1–84.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil29&collection=journals&id=11>

Helper, L. R., & Austin, G. (2011). Human rights and intellectual property: mapping the global interface [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=302910&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Helton, M. (2006). Secondary Liability for Copyright Infringement: BitTorrent as a Vehicle for Establishing a New Copyright Definition for Staple Articles of Commerce. *Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems*, 40(1), 1–36.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/collsp40&collection=journals&id=13>

Hettinger, E. C. (1989). Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, 18(1), 31–52. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265190>

Howse, R. (n.d.). The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 3(4), 493–507. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x>

Howse, R. (2000). The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. A Dangerous Precedent in Dangerous Times. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 3(4), 493–507.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x>

Hughes, J. (1988). The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. *The Georgetown Law Journal*, 77(2), 287–366.  
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/glj77&collection=journals&id=309>

Hughes, Justin. (1988). The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. *The Georgetown Law Journal*, 77.  
[http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/glj77&dv=19&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=0&men\\_tab=srchresults#309](http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/glj77&dv=19&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults#309)

Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law. (n.d.).

Intellectual Property Institute. (n.d.). Intellectual property quarterly.

Intellectual Property Institute. (1997). Intellectual property quarterly.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.l4A579A40A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&ndd=2&athens=true>

- Ivanov, I., Yildiz, B., Tsonkova, O., & Mateeva, T. (n.d.). Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Turkey.  
[http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_turkey\\_case\\_study.pdf](http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_turkey_case_study.pdf)
- Jakkrit Kuanpoth. (2006). Patents and access to medicines in Thailand - the ddl case and beyond. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 149–158.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad82d08000001490db35ec1276c2725&docguid=I123B4501E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&hitguid=IBD9A8B50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=19&resolvein=true>
- Journal of international economic law. (n.d.).
- Journal of international economic law. (1998). [Electronic resource].  
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/jiel>
- Kapczynski, A. (2008). The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *Yale Law Journal*, 117(5), 804–885.  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/20455812>
- Keith Aoki. (2008). *Seed Wars: Cases and Materials on Intellectual Property and Plant Genetic Resources* [Hardcover]. Carolina Academic Pr.
- Kuanpoth, J. (2006). Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2, 149–158.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I123B4501E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838>
- Landes, W. M., & Posner, R. A. (2003). *The economic structure of intellectual property law*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Lange, D. (2003a). Reimagining the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 66.  
[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp66&div=17&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp66&div=17&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)
- Lange, D. (2003b). Reimagining the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 66 (1-2), 463–483.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp66&collection=journals&id=471>
- Lee A. Bygrave. (2002). The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 51–57.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001491322b0afa5b789a0&docguid=ICF96B900E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&hitguid=IB775AB10E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=37&resolvein=true>
- Lee, E. (2005). The Ethics of Innovation: P2P Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 62(2), 147–162.

<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/198095899?accountid=14888>

Lee, Edward. (2005). The Ethics of Innovation: p2p Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 62(2), 147–162.

<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/198095899?accountid=14888>

Lerner, J., & Stern, S. (Eds.). (2010). *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, Volume 10. University of Chicago Press. <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c11764.pdf>

LexisNexis (Firm). (1978). Intellectual property decisions [Electronic resource].  
<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/api/version1/sf?sfi=GB04STJrnlsSrch&csi=280314>

Lightbourne, M. (n.d.). Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Ethiopia.  
[http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_ethiopia\\_case\\_study.pdf](http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_ethiopia_case_study.pdf)

Lightbourne, M., & Dutfield, G. (n.d.). Literature Review and Commentary on Legal Regimes and Models for Protecting Plant Varieties.  
[http://www.ecologic.de/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_legal\\_literature\\_review.pdf](http://www.ecologic.de/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_legal_literature_review.pdf)

Lightbourne, M., & Muraguri, L. (n.d.). Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: China.  
[http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_china\\_case\\_study.pdf](http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_china_case_study.pdf)

Lunney, G. S. (2001). The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia Law Review*, 87(5), 813–920.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/va lr87&collection=journals&id=831>

Lunney, G. S. Jr. (2001). Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, The. *Virginia Law Review*, 87.  
[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/va lr87&div=32&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/va lr87&div=32&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)

Malbon, J., & Lawson, C. (2008). Interpreting and implementing the TRIPS agreement: is it fair? Edward Elgar.

Managing intellectual property. (n.d.-a). [Electronic resource].  
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/publication/30314>

Managing intellectual property. (n.d.-b).

Maskus, K. E. (2000a). Intellectual property rights in the global economy. Institute for International Economics.

Maskus, K. E. (2000b). Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, 53.  
[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&div=69&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=clear](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&div=69&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)

Maskus, K. E. (2000c). Lessons from studying the international economics of intellectual property rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, 53(6), 2219–2239.  
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&collection=journals&id=2247>

Maskus, K. E., & Penuharti, M. (1995a). How Trade-Related Are Intellectual Property-Rights. *Journal of International Economics*, 39(3–4), 227–248.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8)

Maskus, K. E., & Penuharti, M. (1995b). How trade-related are intellectual property rights? *Journal of International Economics*, 39(3–4), 227–248.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8)

Maskus, K. E., & Reichman, J. H. (2004a). The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 7(2), 279–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/7.2.279>

Maskus, K. E., & Reichman, J. H. (2004b). The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 7(2), 279–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/7.2.279>

Maskus, K. E., & Reichman, J. H. (2005a). International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.  
<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=42229&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Maskus, K. E., & Reichman, J. H. (2005b). International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime. Cambridge University Press.

Matthews, D. (2002). Globalizing intellectual property rights: the TRIPs agreement. Routledge.

Matthews, D. (2006). From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2, 91–130.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht. (n.d.-a). IIC: international review of industrial property and copyright law [Electronic resource].  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.IB2135AA0A70911DDA77AF52796FF0BEB&ndd=2&athens=true>

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht. (n.d.-b). International review of industrial property and copyright law.

May, C. (2008a). The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society*, 22(1), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600820701740753>

May, C. (2008b). The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society*, 22(1), 97–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600820701740753>

May, C., & Sell, S. K. (2006a). *Intellectual property rights: a critical history*: Vol. Ipolitics. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

May, C., & Sell, S. K. (2006b). *Intellectual property rights: a critical history*: Vol. Ipolitics. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Mazzoleni, R., & Nelson, R. R. (1998). Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 32(4), 1031–1052.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.1998.11506108>

Menescal, A. K. (2005a). Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 8(6), 761–796.  
[http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x/full](http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x/full)

Menescal, A. K. (2005b). Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 8(6), 761–796.  
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x/pdf>

Merges, R. P., Menell, P. S., & Lemley, M. A. (2003). *Intellectual property in the new technological age* (3rd. ed). Aspen Publishers.

Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion and individual judges' opinions. (2005). United States Supreme Court.  
[https://w2.eff.org/IP/P2P/MGM\\_v\\_Grokster/04-480.pdf](https://w2.eff.org/IP/P2P/MGM_v_Grokster/04-480.pdf)

Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion of the Court. (2005). United States Supreme Court. <http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/pdf/04-480P.ZO>

Morin, J.-F., & Gold, E. R. (2010a). Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. *European Journal of International Relations*, 16 (4), 563–587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066110366054>

Morin, J.-F., & Gold, E. R. (2010b). Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. *European Journal of International Relations*, 16 (4), 563–587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066110366054>

Novartis Ag vs Union Of India & Ors on 1 April, 2013. (n.d.).  
<http://www.indiankanoon.org/doc/165776436/>

Nowak, K. J. (2005). Staying within the Negotiated Framework: Abiding by the Non-Discrimination Clause in Trips Article 27. *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 26.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil26&collection=journals&id=917>

Oguamanam, C. (2006). Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers'

Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*, 29(2), 413–454.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/dlholwj29&collection=journals&id=417>

Oguamanam, C. (2012). Intellectual property in global governance: a development question [Electronic resource]. Routledge.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=346025&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Okediji, R. (2001). TRIPs Dispute Settlement and the Sources of (International) Copyright Law Part II. *Journal of the Copyright Society of the U.S.A.*, 49(2), 585–648.  
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jocos049&collection=journals&id=626>

Orit Fischman Afori. (2013). The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 392–417.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&src=ri&docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7&hitguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014912deb619bca2ca01&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&refer=%2Fmaf%2Fwluk%2Fapp%2Fdocument%3Ftd%3D3%26spos%3D1%26rank%3D1%26suppsrguid%3Di0ad8289e0000014912deb619bca2ca01%26epos%3D1%26hitguid%3DIF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7%26docguid%3DI3548911003BC11E3BCC6ADB745F40E91%26resolvein%3Dtrue%26crumb-action%3Dappend%26context%3D10&crumb-action=append&context=17>

Owens, R. (2003). TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 25, 49–54.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IB75D1A00E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Patel, R. (2009a). Food sovereignty. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 36(3), 663–706.  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03066150903143079>

Patel, R. (2009b). Food sovereignty. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 36(3), 663–706.  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03066150903143079>

Patent world. (n.d.).

Philippa Davies. (2013). Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 402–414.  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce&docguid=I3A11BCA0D30E11E2B5068DA33E169237&crumb-action=append&context=66>

Pogge, T. W. (2005a). Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. *Metaphilosophy*, 36(1–2), 182–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>

Pogge, T. W. (2005b). Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. *Metaphilosophy*, 36(1–2), 182–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>

Pugatch, M. P. (2004). The international political economy of intellectual property rights: Vol. New horizons in intellectual property. Edward Elgar.

Rangnekar, D. (n.d.-a). Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for

Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: India.  
[http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_india\\_case\\_study.pdf](http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_india_case_study.pdf)

Rangnekar, D. (n.d.-b). Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Kenya.  
[http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_kenya\\_case\\_study.pdf](http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_kenya_case_study.pdf)

Rangnekar, D. (n.d.-c). Review of the Economic Literature on Plant Breeders' Rights.  
[http://www.ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6\\_economic\\_literature\\_review.pdf](http://www.ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_economic_literature_review.pdf)

Rangnekar, D. (n.d.-d). The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 13(2).  
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/jwip.2010.13.issue-2/issuetoc>

Rangnekar, D. (n.d.-e). The journal of world intellectual property. 13(2).

Rangnekar, D. (2013). The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. Economic and Political Weekly, 48(32), 39-40.  
<http://0-www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

Rangnekar, D. (2003). Geographical indications: A review of proposals at the TRIPs Council – Extending article 23 to products other than wines and spirits. UNCTAD/ICTSD Capacity Building Project on Intellectual Property Rights and Sustainable Development.  
[http://www.ictsd.org/sites/default/files/research/2008/06/cs\\_rangnekar.pdf](http://www.ictsd.org/sites/default/files/research/2008/06/cs_rangnekar.pdf)

Rangnekar, D. (2004). The socio-economics of geographical indications: A review of evidence from Europe. UNCTAD/ICTSD Capacity Building Project on Intellectual Property Rights and Sustainable Development.  
<http://www.ictsd.org/sites/default/files/research/2008/07/a.pdf>

Rangnekar, D. (2006). No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. Economic and Political Weekly, 41(5), 409-417.  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4417764>

Rangnekar, D. (2007a). Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. The Journal of World Intellectual Property, 10(5), 365-387.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>

Rangnekar, D. (2007b). Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. The Journal of World Intellectual Property, 10(5), 365-387.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>

Rangnekar, D. (2009). Geographical Indications and Localisation: A Case Study of Feni.  
[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1564624](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1564624)

Rangnekar, D. (2011a). Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. Environment and Planning A, 43(9), 2043-2059.  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>

Rangnekar, D. (2011b). Remaking Place: The Social Construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*, 43(9), 2043–2059.  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>

Rangnekar, D. (2011c). Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*, 43(9), 2043–2059.  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>

Rangnekar, D. (2011d). Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*, 43(9), 2043–2059.  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>

Rangnekar, D. (2013). The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48(32), 39–40.  
<http://0-www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

Rangnekar, D. (2014a). Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*, 19(3), 359–383.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>

Rangnekar, D. (2014b). Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*, 19(3), 359–383.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>

Rangnekar, D., & Kumar, S. (2010a). Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 13(2), 202–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x>

Rangnekar, D., & Kumar, S. (2010b). Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 13(2), 202–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x>

Raustiala, K., & Munzer, S. R. (2007). The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. *European Journal of International Law*, 18(2), 337–365. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chm016>

Reichman, J. H. (1995). Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPS Component of the WTO Agreement. *International Lawyer*, 29(2), 345–388.  
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr29&collection=journals&id=355>

Reichman, J.H. (1995). Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPS Component of the WTO Agreement. *International Lawyer (ABA)*, 29.  
[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr29&div=35&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr29&div=35&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)

Research Project: Impacts of the Intellectual Property Rights Rules on Sustainable Development. (n.d.). <http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/csgr/research/projects/ipdev/>

Resnik, D. B. (2003a). A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 46(4), 319–335. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025631902384>

Resnik, D. B. (2003b). A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 46(4), 319–335. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025631902384>

Resource book on TRIPS and development. (2005a). [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.

<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Resource book on TRIPS and development. (2005b). [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.

<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Resource book on TRIPS and development. (2005c). [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.

<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Resource book on TRIPS and development. (2005d). [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.

<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Resource book on TRIPS and development. (2005e). [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.

<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Richard Owens. (2003). TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 25.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?src=toce&docguid=IB75D1A00E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&crumb-action=append&context=7>

Richards, D. (2004). Intellectual property rights and global capitalism: the political economy of the TRIPS Agreement. M.E. Sharpe.

Roberto Mazzoleni and Richard R. Nelson. (1998). Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 32(4), 1031–1052.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4227385>

Ryan, M. P. (1998). Knowledge diplomacy: global competition and the politics of intellectual property. Brookings Institution Press.

Scherer, F. M., & Watal, J. (2002a). Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 5(4), 913–939.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>

Scherer, F. M., & Watal, J. (2002b). Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 5(4), 913–939.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>

Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act, Report of the Panel. (15 C.E.). WTO Secretariat.

[http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\\_e/dispu\\_e/cases\\_e/ds160\\_e.htm](http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds160_e.htm)

Sell, S. K. (1998). Power and ideas: North-South politics of intellectual property and antitrust: Vol. SUNY series in global politics. State University of New York Press.

Sell, S. K., & Prakash, A. (2004). Using ideas strategically: The contest between business and NGO networks in intellectual property rights. *International Studies Quarterly*, 48(1), 143–175. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3693567>

Sell, S., & May, C. (2001a). Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*, 8(3), 467–500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290110055849>

Sell, S., & May, C. (2001b). Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*, 8(3), 467–500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290110055849>

Sherwood, R. M. (1990). Intellectual property and economic development: Vol. Westview special studies in science, technology, and public policy. Westview Press.

Sunder, M. (2007a). Invention of Traditional Knowledge, The. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 70.

[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp70&div=21&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp70&div=21&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)

Sunder, M. (2007b). The invention of traditional knowledge. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 70(2), 97–124.

<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp70&collection=journals&id=459>

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash. (2004). Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*, 48(1), 143–175.

[http://www.jstor.org/stable/3693567?seq=1&Search=yes&list=hide&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoAdvancedSearch%3Fq1%3D%26amp%3Bq4%3D%26amp%3Bc3%3DAND%26amp%3Bq0%3DThe%2Bcontest%2Bbetween%2Bbusiness%2Band%2BNGO%2Bnetworks%2bin%2Bintellectual%2Bproperty%2Brights%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bc5%3DAND%26amp%3Bpt%3D%26amp%3Bq6%3D%26amp%3Bc4%3DAND%26amp%3Bc1%3DAND%26amp%3Bf3%3Dall%26amp%3Bc6%3DAND%26amp%3Bf6%3Dall%26amp%3Bf4%3Dall%26amp%3Bq3%3D%26amp%3Bf2%3Dall%26amp%3Bed%3D%26amp%3Bf0%3Dall%26amp%3Bisbn%3D%26amp%3Bq2%3D%26amp%3Bsd%3D%26amp%3Bf1%3Dall%26amp%3Bq5%3D%26amp%3Bacc%3Doff%26amp%3Bla%3D%26amp%3Bf5%3Dall%26amp%3Bc2%3DAND&prevSearch=&resultsServiceName=null](http://www.jstor.org/stable/3693567?seq=1&Search=yes&list=hide&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoAdvancedSearch%3Fq1%3D%26amp%3Bq4%3D%26amp%3Bc3%3DAND%26amp%3Bq0%3DThe%2Bcontest%2Bbetween%2Bbusiness%2Band%2BNGO%2Bnetworks%2Bin%2Bintellectual%2Bproperty%2Brights%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bc5%3DAND%26amp%3Bpt%3D%26amp%3Bq6%3D%26amp%3Bc4%3DAND%26amp%3Bc1%3DAND%26amp%3Bf3%3Dall%26amp%3Bc6%3DAND%26amp%3Bf6%3Dall%26amp%3Bf4%3Dall%26amp%3Bq3%3D%26amp%3Bf2%3Dall%26amp%3Bed%3D%26amp%3Bf0%3Dall%26amp%3Bisbn%3D%26amp%3Bq2%3D%26amp%3Bsd%3D%26amp%3Bf1%3Dall%26amp%3Bq5%3D%26amp%3Bacc%3Doff%26amp%3Bla%3D%26amp%3Bf5%3Dall%26amp%3Bc2%3DAND&prevSearch=&resultsServiceName=null)

Tansey, G., & Rajotte, T. (2008). The future control of food: a guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security. Earthscan. The Journal of world intellectual property. (n.d.-a). [Electronic resource].

[http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1747-1796](http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1747-1796)

The journal of world intellectual property. (n.d.-b).

Thomas Hays. (2006). The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000014913177a772ecc76c2&docguid=I57624030737B11DBBF38943498D024C4&hitguid=I57624030737B11DBBF38943498D024C4&rank=3&spos=3&epos=3&td=15&crumb-action=append&context=22&resolvein=true>

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development & International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. (2005a). Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development & International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. (2005b). Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development & International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. (2005c). Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development & International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. (2005d). Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development & International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. (2005e). Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

United States Trademark Association, International Trademark Association, LexisNexis (Firm), & William S. Hein & Company. (n.d.). The Trade-mark reporter. <http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/api/version1/sf?sfi=GB00STGenSrch&csi=10751>

University of Georgia, LexisNexis (Firm), & William S. Hein & Company. (n.d.). The journal of intellectual property law [Electronic resource]. <http://0-www.westlaw.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/search/default.wl?ForceTo=uk.westlaw.com&DB=JIPL&SP=warwicku-000&ClientID=UKLAWSCHOOLS&Action=search&RS=UKS1.0&VR=1.0&cbhf=none>

University of Texas at Austin, State Bar of Texas, & William S. Hein & Company. (n.d.). Texas intellectual property law journal [Electronic resource]. <http://0-www.westlaw.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/search/default.wl?ForceTo=uk.westlaw.com&DB=TXIPLJ&SP=warwicku-000&ClientID=UKLAWSCHOOLS&Action=search&RS=UKS1.0&VR=1.0&cbhf=none>

Van Overwalle, G. (1998). Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. *IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology*, 39(2), 143–149. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/idea39&collection=journals&id=153>

van Wijk, J. (2004a). Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 16(1), 121–141.

<http://0-www.tandfonline.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/0953732032000175544>

van Wijk, J. (2004b). Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 16(1), 121–141.

<http://0-www.tandfonline.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/0953732032000175544>

Wade, R. H. (2003a). What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. *Review of International Political Economy*, 10(4), 621–644. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290310001601902>

Wade, R. H. (2003b). What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. *Review of International Political Economy*, 10(4), 621–644. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290310001601902>

Wadlow, C. (1998). Enforcement of intellectual property in European and international law: the new private international law of intellectual property in the United Kingdom and the European Community. Sweet & Maxwell.

Wallerstein, M. B., Mogee, M. E., Schoen, R. A., National Academy of Sciences (U.S.), & National Research Council (U.S.). (1993). Global dimensions of intellectual property rights in science and technology. National Academy Press.

Watal, J. (2003). Intellectual property rights in the WTO and developing countries. Oxford University Press.

Weissman, R. (1996). Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic*, 17(4), 1069–1125.

<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel17&collection=journals&id=1081>

Weissman, Robert. (1996). Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*, 17.

[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel17&div=43&collection=journals&set\\_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel17&div=43&collection=journals&set_cursor=clear)

WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use. (n.d.).  
<http://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/iprm/>

World Intellectual Property Organization. (2004). WIPO intellectual property handbook: policy, law and use: Vol. WIPO publication (2nd ed). WIPO.

World Trade Organisation. (2000). WTO/DSP (2000) Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products – Report of the Panel.

[http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\\_e/dispu\\_e/7428d.pdf](http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/7428d.pdf)

Yu, P. K. (2007). Intellectual property and information wealth: issues and practices in the digital age: Vol. Praeger perspectives. Praeger Publishers.

Yu, P. K. (2009). A Tale of Two Development Agendas. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*, 35(2), 465–574.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/onulr35&collection=journals&id=469>