

LA901: International Intellectual property

[View Online](#)

-
1.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials. Edward Elgar; 2008.
 2.

WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use.
<http://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/iprm/>
 3.

World Intellectual Property Organization. WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use. Vol WIPO publication. 2nd ed. WIPO; 2004.
 4.

Dinwoodie GB, Hennessey WO, Perlmutter S. International Intellectual Property Law and Policy. Vol Casebook series. LexisNexis; 2001.
 5.

Goldstein P. International Intellectual Property Law: Cases and Materials. Vol University casebook series. Foundation Press; 2001.
 6.

Gervais DJ. The TRIPS Agreement: Drafting History and Analysis. 3rd ed. Sweet & Maxwell/Thomson Reuters; 2008.

7.

Drahos P, Braithwaite J. Information Feudalism: Who Owns the Knowledge Economy? Earthscan; 2002.

8.

Chang HJ. Intellectual Property Rights and Economics Development: Historical Lessons and Emerging Issues. Vol Intellectual property rights series. Third World Network; 2001.

9.

Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

10.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

11.

Malbon J, Lawson C. Interpreting and Implementing the TRIPS Agreement: Is It Fair? Edward Elgar; 2008.

12.

Great Britain. Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy. Published 2002. http://www.iprcommission.org/graphic/documents/final_report.htm

13.

Great Britain. Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy. CIPR; 2002.

14.

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual Property and Theories of Justice. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.
<http://0-www.palgraveconnect.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1057/9780230582392>

15.

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual Property and Theories of Justice. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

16.

Helfer LR, Austin G. Human Rights and Intellectual Property: Mapping the Global Interface. Cambridge University Press; 2011.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=302910&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

17.

Austin G, Helfer LR. Human Rights and Intellectual Property: Mapping the Global Interface. Cambridge University Press; 2011.

18.

Abbott, Frederick M. The International Intellectual Property System : Commentary and Materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.1.
<http://webcat.warwick.ac.uk/search~S1?/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System/1%2C4%2C4%2CB/frameset&FF=XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&2%2C2%2C>

19.

Abbott, Frederick M. The International Intellectual Property System : Commentary and Materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.2.
<http://webcat.warwick.ac.uk/search~S1?/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ/XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System/1%2C4%2C4%2CB/frameset&FF=XCottier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&2%2C2%2C>

al+Intellectual+Property+System&searchscope=1&SORT=DZ&extended=0&SUBKEY=Cot
tier+The+International+Intellectual+Property+System&1%2C1%2C

20.

Anderfelt U. International Patent-Legislation and Developing Countries. Martinus Nijhoff; 1971.

21.

Keith Aoki. Seed Wars: Cases and Materials on Intellectual Property and Plant Genetic Resources. Carolina Academic Pr; 2008.

22.

Arup C. The New World Trade Organization Agreements: Globalizing Law through Services and Intellectual Property. Vol Cambridge studies in law and society. Cambridge University Press; 2000.

23.

Beier FK, Schricker G, Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht. From GATT to TRIPs: The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights. Vol IIC studies. studies in industrial and copyright law. VCH; 1996.

24.

Bertin GY, Wyatt S. Multinationals and Industrial Property: The Control of the World's Technology. Harvester Wheatsheaf; 1988.

25.

Bellmann C, Dutfield G, Meléndez-Ortiz R, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Trading in Knowledge: Development Perspectives on TRIPS, Trade, and Sustainability. Earthscan Publications; 2003.

26.

Blakeney M. Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights: A Concise Guide to the TRIPS Agreement. Vol Intellectual property in practice. Sweet & Maxwell; 1996.

27.

Boldrin M, Levine DK. Against Intellectual Monopoly. Cambridge University Press; 2008.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511510854>

28.

Boldrin M, Levine DK. Against Intellectual Monopoly. Cambridge University Press; 2008.

29.

Braga CAP, Fink C, Sepulveda CP, World Bank. Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development. Vol World Bank discussion paper. The World Bank; 2000.

30.

Coombe RJ. The Cultural Life of Intellectual Properties: Authorship, Appropriation, and the Law. Vol Post-contemporary interventions. Duke University Press; 1998.

31.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyright, Trade Marks and Allied Rights. Vol Classics series. Eighth edition. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

32.

Correa CM. Intellectual Property Rights, the WTO and Developing Countries: The TRIPS Agreement and Policy Options. Zed; 2000.

33.

Correa CM, Yusuf A. Intellectual Property and International Trade: The TRIPs Agreement. Kluwer Law International; 1998.

34.

Correa CM. Research Handbook on the Protection of Intellectual Property under WTO Rules. Vol 1. Vol Research handbooks on the WTO. Edward Elgar; 2010.

35.

Correa CM. Research Handbook on the Interpretation and Enforcement of Intellectual Property under WTO Rules. Vol 2. Vol Intellectual property in the WTO. Edward Elgar; 2010.

36.

Cottier T, Mavroidis PC. Intellectual Property: Trade, Competition, and Sustainable Development. Vol World Trade Forum. University of Michigan Press; 2003.

37.

D'Amato AA, Long DE. International Intellectual Property Law. Kluwer Law International; 1997.

38.

Drahos P. A Philosophy of Intellectual Property. Dartmouth; 1996.

39.

Drahos P. Intellectual Property. Vol The international library of essays in law and legal theory. Second series. Ashgate Dartmouth; 1999.

40.

Dreyfuss RC, Zimmerman DL, First H. Expanding the Boundaries of Intellectual Property: Innovation Policy for the Knowledge Society. Oxford University Press; 2001.

41.

Dutfield G. Intellectual Property Rights and the Life Science Industries: Past, Present and

Future. 2nd ed. World Scientific; 2009.

42.

Fawcett JJ, Torremans P. Intellectual Property and Private International Law. Vol Oxford monographs in private international law. Clarendon; 1998.

43.

Finger JM, Schuler P. Poor People's Knowledge: Promoting Intellectual Property in Developing Countries. Vol Trade and development series. Copublication of the World Bank and Oxford University Press; 2004.

44.

Fink C, Maskus KE, eds. Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research. World Bank

45.

Heath C, Kamperman Sanders A. New Frontiers of Intellectual Property Law: IP and Cultural Heritage, Geographical Indicators, Enforcement, Overprotection. Hart Publishing; 2005.
<http://0-portal.igpublish.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iglibrary/search/HARTB0000356.html>

46.

Heath C, Kamperman Sanders A. New Frontiers of Intellectual Property Law: IP and Cultural Heritage, Geographical Indicators, Enforcement, Overprotection. Vol IIC studies. Hart Publishing; 2005.

47.

Landes WM, Posner RA. The Economic Structure of Intellectual Property Law. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2003.

48.

Maskus KE. Intellectual Property Rights in the Global Economy. Institute for International Economics; 2000.

49.

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. International Public Goods and Transfer of Technology under a Globalized Intellectual Property Regime. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=42229&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

50.

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. International Public Goods and Transfer of Technology under a Globalized Intellectual Property Regime. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

51.

Matthews D. Globalizing Intellectual Property Rights: The TRIPs Agreement. Routledge; 2002.

52.

May C, Sell SK. Intellectual Property Rights: A Critical History. Vol I politics. Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2006.

53.

Merges RP, Menell PS, Lemley MA. Intellectual Property in the New Technological Age. 3rd. ed. Aspen Publishers; 2003.

54.

Oguamanam C. Intellectual Property in Global Governance: A Development Question. Routledge; 2012.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=346025&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

55.

Pugatch MP. The International Political Economy of Intellectual Property Rights. Vol New horizons in intellectual property. Edward Elgar; 2004.

56.

Richards D. Intellectual Property Rights and Global Capitalism: The Political Economy of the TRIPS Agreement. M.E. Sharpe; 2004.

57.

Ryan MP. Knowledge Diplomacy: Global Competition and the Politics of Intellectual Property. Brookings Institution Press; 1998.

58.

Sell SK. Power and Ideas: North-South Politics of Intellectual Property and Antitrust. Vol SUNY series in global politics. State University of New York Press; 1998.

59.

Sherwood RM. Intellectual Property and Economic Development. Vol Westview special studies in science, technology, and public policy. Westview Press; 1990.

60.

Cornish WR, Vaver D, Bently L. Intellectual Property in the New Millennium: Essays in Honour of William R. Cornish. Cambridge University Press; 2004.

61.

Wallerstein MB, Mogue ME, Schoen RA, National Academy of Sciences (U.S.), National Research Council (U.S.). Global Dimensions of Intellectual Property Rights in Science and Technology. National Academy Press; 1993.

62.

Wadlow C. Enforcement of Intellectual Property in European and International Law: The New Private International Law of Intellectual Property in the United Kingdom and the

European Community. Sweet & Maxwell; 1998.

63.

Watal J. Intellectual Property Rights in the WTO and Developing Countries. Oxford University Press; 2003.

64.

European intellectual property review.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.I43D027A1A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&ndd=2&athens=true>

65.

European intellectual property review.

66.

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht. IIC: international review of industrial property and copyright law.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.IB2135AA0A70911DDA77AF52796FF0BEB&ndd=2&athens=true>

67.

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht. International review of industrial property and copyright law.

68.

Intellectual Property Institute. Intellectual property quarterly. Published online 1997.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.I4A579A40A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&ndd=2&athens=true>

69.

Intellectual Property Institute. Intellectual property quarterly.

70.

The Journal of world intellectual property.

[http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1747-1796](http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1747-1796)

71.

The journal of world intellectual property.

72.

Managing intellectual property.

<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/publication/30314>

73.

Managing intellectual property.

74.

American Intellectual Property Law Association, William S. Hein & Company. AIPLA quarterly journal. Published online 1984.

<http://0-www.westlaw.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/search/default.wl?ForceTo=uk.westlaw.com&DB=AIPLAQJ&SP=warwicku-000&ClientID=UKLAWSCHOOLS&Action=search&RS=UKIS1.0&VR=1.0&cbhf=none>

75.

Copyright World.

76.

George Washington University, Franklin Pierce Law Center, LexisNexis (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. Idea.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/idea&collection=journals>

77.

LexisNexis (Firm). Intellectual property decisions. Published online 1978.

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/api/version1/sf?sfi=GB04STJrnlsSrch&csi=280314>

78.

Centre for Legal and Business Information, LexisNexis (Firm). Intellectual property newsletter.

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/api/version1/sf?sfi=GB04STJrnlsSrch&csi=280316>

79.

Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law.

80.

University of Georgia, LexisNexis (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The journal of intellectual property law.

<http://0-www.westlaw.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/search/default.wl?ForceTo=uk.westlaw.com&DB=JPL&SP=warwicku-000&ClientID=UKLAWSCHOOLS&Action=search&RS=UKLS1.0&VR=1.0&cbhf=none>

81.

Journal of international economic law. Published online 1998.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/jiel>

82.

Journal of international economic law.

83.

Patent world.

84.

University of Texas at Austin, State Bar of Texas, William S. Hein & Company. Texas intellectual property law journal.

<http://0-www.westlaw.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/search/default.wl?ForceTo=uk.westlaw.com&DB=TXIPLJ&SP=warwicku-000&ClientID=UKLAWSCHOOLS&Action=search&RS=UKIS1.0&VR=1.0&cbhf=none>

85.

United States Trademark Association, International Trademark Association, LexisNexis (Firm), William S. Hein & Company. The Trade-mark reporter.

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/api/version1/sf?sfi=GB00STGenSrch&csi=10751>

86.

Edwin C. Hettinger. Justifying Intellectual Property. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1989;18(1):31-52. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265190>

87.

Hettinger EC. Justifying Intellectual Property. Philosophy and Public Affairs.

1989;18(1):31-52. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265190>

88.

Roberto Mazzoleni and Richard R. Nelson. Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. Journal of Economic Issues. 1998;32(4):1031-1052.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4227385>

89.

Mazzoleni R, Nelson RR. Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents.

Journal of Economic Issues. 1998;32(4):1031-1052. doi:10.1080/00213624.1998.11506108

90.

Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy. Vol Blackwell companions to philosophy. 2nd ed. Blackwell Pub; 2007.

91.

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual Property and Theories of Justice. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.
<http://0-www.palgraveconnect.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1057/9780230582392>

92.

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual Property and Theories of Justice. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

93.

Hughes, Justin. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. The Georgetown law journal. 1988;77.
http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/glj77&div=19&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults#309

94.

Hughes J. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. The Georgetown law journal. 1988;77(2):287-366.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/glj77&collection=journals&id=309>

95.

May C, Sell SK. Intellectual Property Rights: A Critical History. Vol Ipolitics. Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2006.

96.

Resnik DB. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. Journal of Business Ethics. 2003;46(4):319-335. doi:10.1023/A:1025631902384

97.

Resnik DB. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2003;46(4):319-335. doi:10.1023/A:1025631902384

98.

Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

99.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

100.

World Trade Organisation. WTO/DSP (2000) Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products – Report of the Panel. Published online 2000.
http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/7428d.pdf

101.

Howse R. The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property* . 3(4):493-507. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x

102.

Howse R. The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. A Dangerous Precedent in Dangerous Times. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2000;3(4):493-507.
doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x

103.

Nowak KJ. Staying within the Negotiated Framework: Abiding by the Non-Discrimination Clause in Trips Article 27. *Michigan Journal of International Law*. 2005;26.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil26&collection=journals&id=917>

104.

Reichman, J.H. Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPS Component of the WTO Agreement. *International Lawyer (ABA)*. 1995;29.
http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr29&div=35&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

105.

Reichman JH. Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPs Component of the WTO Agreement. *International lawyer*. 1995;29(2):345-388.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr29&collection=journals&id=355>

106.

Weissman, Robert. Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*. 1996;17.
http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel17&div=43&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

107.

Weissman R. Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic*. 1996;17(4):1069-1125.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel17&collection=journals&id=1081>

108.

Novartis Ag vs Union Of India & Ors on 1 April, 2013.
<http://www.indiankanoon.org/doc/165776436/>

109.

Scherer FM, Watal J. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing

Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2002;5(4):913-939. doi:10.1093/jiel/5.4.913

110.

Scherer FM, Watal J. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of international economic law*. 2002;5(4):913-939. doi:10.1093/jiel/5.4.913

111.

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash. Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2004;48(1):143-175.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3693567?seq=1&Search=yes&list=hide&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoAdvancedSearch%3Fq1%3D%26amp%3Bq4%3D%26amp%3Bc3%3DAND%26amp%3Bq0%3DThe%2Bcontest%2Bbetween%2Bbusiness%2Band%2BNGO%2Bnetworks%2Bin%2Bintellectual%2Bproperty%2Brights%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bc5%3DAND%26amp%3Bpt%3D%26amp%3Bq6%3D%26amp%3Bc4%3DAND%26amp%3Bc1%3DAND%26amp%3Bf3%3Dall%26amp%3Bc6%3DAND%26amp%3Bf6%3Dall%26amp%3Bf4%3Dall%26amp%3Bq3%3D%26amp%3Bf2%3Dall%26amp%3Bed%3D%26amp%3Bf0%3Dall%26amp%3Bisbn%3D%26amp%3Bq2%3D%26amp%3Bsd%3D%26amp%3Bf1%3Dall%26amp%3Bq5%3D%26amp%3Bacc%3Doff%26amp%3Bla%3D%26amp%3Bf5%3Dall%26amp%3Bc2%3DAND&prevSearch=&resultsServiceName=null>

112.

Sell SK, Prakash A. Using ideas strategically: The contest between business and NGO networks in intellectual property rights. *International studies quarterly*. 2004;48(1):143-175. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3693567>

113.

Charnovitz S. The Legal Status of the Doha Declarations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2002;5(1):207-211. doi:10.1093/jiel/5.1.207

114.

Charnovitz S. The legal status of the Doha declarations. *Journal of international economic law*. 2002;5(1):207-211. doi:10.1093/jiel/5.1.207

115.

Jakkrit Kuanpoth. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand - the ddl case and beyond. Intellectual Property Quarterly. Published online 2006:149-158.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad82d08000001490db35ec1276c2725&docguid=I123B4501E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&hitguid=IBD9A8B50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=19&resolvein=true>

116.

Kuanpoth J. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand - the DDI case and beyond. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 2006;(2):149-158.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I123B4501E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838>

117.

Duncan Matthews. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? Intellectual Property Quarterly. Published online 2006:91-130.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&src=ri&docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=I123AF6E0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838&suppsrguid=i0ad82d08000001490db9d4313f13979b&spos=7&epos=7&td=15&refer=%2Fmaf%2Fwluk%2Fapp%2Fdocument%3Ftd%3D15%26spos%3D7%26rank%3D7%26epos%3D7%26hitguid%3DI123AF6E0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838%26docguid%3DI123AF6E0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838%26resolvein%3Dtrue%26srguid%3Di0ad82d08000001490db9d4313f13979b%26crumb-action%3Dappend%26context%3D49&crumb-action=append&context=50>

118.

Matthews D. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? Intellectual Property Quarterly. 2006;(2):91-130.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

119.

Morin JF, Gold ER. Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. European Journal of International Relations. 2010;16(4):563-587. doi:10.1177/1354066110366054

120.

Morin JF, Gold ER. Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. *European journal of international relations*. 2010;16(4):563-587. doi:10.1177/1354066110366054

121.

Pogge TW. Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. *Metaphilosophy*. 2005;36(1-2):182-209. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x

122.

Pogge TW. Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. *Metaphilosophy*. 2005;36(1-2):182-209. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x

123.

Dwijen Rangnekar. No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 2006;41(5):409-417. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4417764>

124.

Rangnekar D. No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and political weekly*. 2006;41(5):409-417. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4417764>

125.

Rangnekar D. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2007;10(5):365-387. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x

126.

Rangnekar D. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The journal of world intellectual property*. 2007;10(5):365-387.

doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x

127.

Rangnekar D. The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and political weekly*. 2013;48(32):39-40.
<http://0-www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

128.

Rangnekar D. The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 2013;48(32):39-40.
<http://0-www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

129.

Aoki K, Luvai K. Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review*. 2007;2007:35-70.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mslr2007&collection=journals&id=41>

130.

Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

131.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. *Resource Book on TRIPS and Development*. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

132.

van Wijk J. Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*. 2004;16(1):121-141.
<http://0-www.tandfonline.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/0953732032000>

175544

133.

van Wijk J. Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology analysis & strategic management*. 2004;16(1):121-141. <http://0-www.tandfonline.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/0953732032000175544>

134.

Tansey G, Rajotte T. *The Future Control of Food: A Guide to International Negotiations and Rules on Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Food Security*. Earthscan; 2008.

135.

Research Project: Impacts of the Intellectual Property Rights Rules on Sustainable Development. <http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/csgr/research/projects/ipdev/>

136.

Final Report- Impact of the IPR rules on Sustainable Development. http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_final_report.pdf

137.

Lightbourne M, Dutfield G. Literature Review and Commentary on Legal Regimes and Models for Protecting Plant Varieties. http://www.ecologic.de/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_legal_literature_review.pdf

138.

Rangnekar D. Review of the Economic Literature on Plant Breeders' Rights. http://www.ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_economic_literature_review.pdf

139.

Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Bulgaria. (IPDEV Work Package 6).

http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_bulgaria_case_study.pdf

140.

Lightbourne M, Muraguri L. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: China.

http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_china_case_study.pdf

141.

Lightbourne M. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Ethiopia.

http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_ethiopia_case_study.pdf

142.

Rangnekar D. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: India.

http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_india_case_study.pdf

143.

Rangnekar D. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Kenya.

http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_kenya_case_study.pdf

144.

Ivanov I, Yildiz B, Tsonkova O, Mateeva T. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Turkey.

http://ecologic.eu/sites/files/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_turkey_case_study.pdf

145.

Borowiak C. Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics & Society*. 2004;32(4):511-543. doi:10.1177/0032329204269979

146.

Borowiak C. Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics and Society*. 2004;32(4):511-543. doi:10.1177/0032329204269979

147.

Oguamanam C. Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*. 2006;29(2):413-454. <http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/dalholwj29&collection=journals&id=417>

148.

Van Overwalle G. Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. *IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology*. 1998;39(2):143-149. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/idea39&collection=journals&id=153>

149.

Patel R. Food sovereignty. *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2009;36(3):663-706. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03066150903143079>

150.

Patel R. Food sovereignty. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2009;36(3):663-706. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03066150903143079>

151.

Rangnekar D. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 2014;19(3):359-383.

doi:10.1080/13563467.2013.796445

152.

Rangnekar D. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 2014;19(3):359-383.
doi:10.1080/13563467.2013.796445

153.

de Schutter O. The right to food: Seed policies and the right to food: enhancing agrobiodiversity and encouraging innovation. Published online 2009.
http://www.srfood.org/images/stories/pdf/officialreports/20091021_report-ga64_seed-policies-and-the-right-to-food_en.pdf

154.

Federal Republic of Germany and Kingdom of Denmark, supported by the French Republic and the United Kingdom v Commission of the European Communities, supported by the Hellenic Republic, Joined Cases C-465/02 and C-466/02. Published online 25 October 2005.
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:62002CJ0465:EN:PDF>

155.

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 2011;43(9):2043-2059. doi:10.1068/a43259

156.

Rangnekar D. Remaking Place: The Social Construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning*. 2011;43(9):2043-2059. doi:10.1068/a43259

157.

Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

158.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

159.

Rangnekar D. Geographical indications: A review of proposals at the TRIPs Council – Extending article 23 to products other than wines and spirits. Published online 2003. http://www.ictsd.org/sites/default/files/research/2008/06/cs_rangnekar.pdf

160.

Rangnekar D. The socio-economics of geographical indications: A review of evidence from Europe. Published online 2004. <http://www.ictsd.org/sites/default/files/research/2008/07/a.pdf>

161.

Yu PK. Intellectual Property and Information Wealth: Issues and Practices in the Digital Age. Vol Praeger perspectives. Praeger Publishers; 2007.

162.

Rangnekar D. Geographical Indications and Localisation: A Case Study of Feni. Published online 2009. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1564624

163.

Rangnekar D. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 13(2). <http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/jwip.2010.13.issue-2/issuetoc>

164.

Rangnekar D. The journal of world intellectual property. 13(2).

165.

Correa CM. Research Handbook on the Protection of Intellectual Property under WTO Rules . Vol Research handbooks on the WTO. Edward Elgar; 2010.

166.

Broude T. Taking Trade and Culture Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law. 2005;26.

http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel26&div=24&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

167.

Broude T. Taking 'trade and culture' seriously: Geographical indications and cultural protection in WTO law. University of Pennsylvania journal of international law. 2005;26(4):623-692.

<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel26&collection=journals&id=639>

168.

Coombe RJ. Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. Law, Culture and the Humanities. 2005;1(1):35-52. doi:10.1191/1743872105lw010oa

169.

Evans GE, Blakeney M. The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? Journal of international economic law. 2006;9(3):575-614.

<http://0-jiel.oxfordjournals.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/content/9/3/575.full?sid=d1a978ba-7e6e-4c58-ad88-658de03bd846>

170.

Evans GE, Blakeney M. The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? Journal of International Economic Law. 2006;9(3):575-614.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/jiel/jgl016>

171.

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 2011;43(9):2043-2059. doi:10.1068/a43259

172.

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and planning*. 2011;43(9):2043-2059. doi:10.1068/a43259

173.

Rangnekar D, Kumar S. Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2010;13(2):202-230. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x

174.

Rangnekar D, Kumar S. Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2010;13(2):202-230. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x

175.

Raustiala K, Munzer SR. The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. *European Journal of International Law*. 2007;18(2):337-365. doi:10.1093/ejil/chm016

176.

Sunder M. Invention of Traditional Knowledge, The. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 2007;70.
http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp70&div=21&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

177.

Sunder M. The invention of traditional knowledge. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 2007;70(2):97-124.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp70&collection=journals&id=459>

178.

Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act, Report of the Panel. Published online 15AD.
http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds160_e.htm

179.

Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.mylibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41624&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

180.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Resource Book on TRIPS and Development. Cambridge University Press; 2005.

181.

Orit Fischman Afori. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. Published online 2013:392-417.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&src=ri&docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7&hitguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014912deb619bca2ca01&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&refer=%2Fmaf%2Fwluk%2Fapp%2Fdocument%3Ftd%3D3%26spos%3D1%26rank%3D1%26suppsrguid%3Di0ad8289e0000014912deb619bca2ca01%26epos%3D1%26hitguid%3DIF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7%26docguid%3DI3548911003BC11E3BCC6ADB745F40E91%26resolvein%3Dtrue%26crumb-action%3Dappend%26context%3D10&crumb-action=append&context=17>

182.

Afori OF. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 2013;44(4):392-417.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7>

183.

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law

and Contemporary Problems. 2003;66.

[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lc
p66&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lc
p66&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear)

184.

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 2003;66(1-2):33-74.

[http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lc
p66&collection=journals&id=39](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lc
p66&collection=journals&id=39)

185.

David J. Brennan. The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly. Published online 2002.

[http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014912ee97a
388f087e4&docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IBDA3DA20E721
11DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=20&spos=20&epos=20&td=26&crumb-action=append&
context=30&resolvein=true](http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014912ee97a
388f087e4&docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IBDA3DA20E721
11DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=20&spos=20&epos=20&td=26&crumb-action=append&
context=30&resolvein=true)

186.

Brennan DJ. The three steps test frenzy: why the TRIPs Panel might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 2002;(2):212-225.

[http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app
/document?docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028](http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app
/document?docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028)

187.

Chon M. Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. UC Davis Law Review. 2007;40(3):803-854.

[http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/davlr40
&collection=journals&id=811](http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/davlr40
&collection=journals&id=811)

188.

Philippa Davies. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. European Intellectual Property Review. Published online 2013:402-414.

[http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce&docgui
d=I3A11BCA0D30E11E2B5068DA33E169237&crumb-action=append&context=66](http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce&docgui
d=I3A11BCA0D30E11E2B5068DA33E169237&crumb-action=append&context=66)

189.

Davies P. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2013;35(7):402-414.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3A11BCA0D30E11E2B5068DA33E169237>

190.

Helfer LR. World Music on a U.S. Stage: A Berne/Trips and Economic Analysis of the Fairness in Music Licensing Act. *Boston University Law Review*. 2000;80(1):93-204.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/bu1r80&collection=journals&id=107>

191.

Lange D. Reimagining the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 2003;66.
http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp66&div=17&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

192.

Lange D. Reimagining the Public Domain. *Law and contemporary problems*. 2003;66(1-2):463-483.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lcp66&collection=journals&id=471>

193.

Okediji R. TRIPs Dispute Settlement and the Sources of (International) Copyright Law Part II. *Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA*. 2001;49(2):585-648.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jocoso49&collection=journals&id=626>

194.

Richard Owens. TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2003;25.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?src=toce&docguid=IB75D1A00E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&crumb-action=append&context=7>

195.

Owens R. TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. *European intellectual property review*. 2003;25:49-54.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IB75D1A00E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

196.

Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion of the Court. Published online 2005. <http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/pdf/04-480P.ZO>

197.

Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion and individual judges' opinions. Published online 2005. https://w2.eff.org/IP/P2P/MGM_v_Grokster/04-480.pdf

198.

Thomas Hays. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. Published online 2006.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000014913177a772ecc76c2&docguid=I57624030737B11DBBF38943498D024C4&hitguid=I57624030737B11DBBF38943498D024C4&rank=3&spos=3&epos=3&td=15&crumb-action=append&context=22&resolvein=true>

199.

Hays T. Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2007;29(1):15-21.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IFD16C74083FB11DBB44ACB7302E2F64A>

200.

Hays T. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Parts 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2006;28(12):617-624.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app>

/document?docguid=I57624030737B11DBBF38943498D024C4

201.

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 2003;66(1-2):33-74.

<http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1273&context=lcp>

202.

Boyle J. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 2003;66(1):33-74.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059171>

203.

Lee A. Bygrave. The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. European Intellectual Property Review. Published online 2002:51-57.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001491322b0afa5b789a0&docguid=ICF96B900E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838&hitguid=IB775AB10E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=37&resolvein=true>

204.

Bygrave LA. The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. European Intellectual Property Review. 2002;24(2):51-57.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ICF96B900E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838>

205.

Helton M. Secondary Liability for Copyright Infringement: BitTorrent as a Vehicle for Establishing a New Copyright Definition for Staple Articles of Commerce. Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems. 2006;40(1):1-36.

<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/collsp40&collection=journals&id=13>

206.

Lee, Edward. The Ethics of Innovation: p2p Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2005;62(2):147-162.
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/198095899?accountid=14888>

207.

Lee E. The Ethics of Innovation: P2P Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2005;62(2):147-162.
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/198095899?accountid=14888>

208.

Lunney GSJr. Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, The. *Virginia Law Review*. 2001;87.
http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/va1r87&div=32&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

209.

Lunney GS. The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia law review*. 2001;87(5):813-920.
<http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/va1r87&collection=journals&id=831>

210.

Lerner J, Stern S, eds. *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, Volume 10. University of Chicago Press; 2010. <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c11764.pdf>

211.

Maskus KE. Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review*. 2000;53.
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/van1r53&div=69&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=clear

212.

Maskus KE. Lessons from studying the international economics of intellectual property rights. *Vanderbilt law review*. 2000;53(6):2219-2239.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&collection=journals&id=2247>

213.

Yu PK. A Tale of Two Development Agendas. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*. 2009;35(2):465-574.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/onulr35&collection=journals&id=469>

214.

Alston P. Ships Passing in the Night: The Current State of the Human Rights and Development Debate Seen through the Lens of the Millennium Development Goals. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 2005;27(3):755-829.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20069811>

215.

de Beer J, ed. *Implementing WIPO's Development Agenda*. Wilfrid Laurier University Press; 2009. http://web.idrc.ca/openebooks/454-3/#page_24

216.

Amy Kapczynski. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *The Yale Law Journal*. 2008;117(5):804-885.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/20455812?origin=crossref>

217.

Kapczynski A. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *Yale law journal*. 2008;117(5):804-885.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/20455812>

218.

Maskus KE, Penubarti M. How trade-related are intellectual property rights? Journal of International Economics. 1995;39(3-4):227-248. doi:10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8

219.

Maskus KE, Penubarti M. How Trade-Related Are Intellectual Property-Rights. Journal of international economics. 1995;39(3-4):227-248. doi:10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8

220.

May C. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. Global Society. 2008;22(1):97-113. doi:10.1080/13600820701740753

221.

May C. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. Global Society. 2008;22(1):161-170. doi:10.1080/13600820701740753

222.

Menescal AK. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 2005;8(6):761-796. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x/pdf>

223.

Menescal AK. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 2005;8(6):761-796. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x/full>

224.

Wade RH. What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. Review of International Political Economy. 2003;10(4):621-644. doi:10.1080/09692290310001601902

225.

Wade RH. What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. *Review of international political economy*. 2003;10(4):621-644. doi:10.1080/09692290310001601902

226.

Braithwaite J, Drahos P. *Global Business Regulation*. Cambridge University Press; 2000.

227.

Helfer LR. Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law*. 2004;29(1):1-84. <http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil29&collection=journals&id=11>

228.

Abbott FM. Intellectual Property Provisions of Bilateral and Regional Trade Agreements in Light of U.S. Federal Law. *ICTSD*; 2006. <http://www.iprsonline.org/unctadictsd/dialogue/2006-05-03/Frederick%20abbott%2012%200405b.pdf>

229.

Chimni BS. Political Economy of the Uruguay Round of Negotiations: A Perspective. *International Studies*. 1992;29(2):135-158. doi:10.1177/0020881792029002001

230.

Drahos P. *Global Property Rights in Information: The story of TRIPS at the GATT*. Prometheus. 1995;13(1):6-19. doi:10.1080/08109029508629187

231.

Fischer-Lescano A, Teubner G. Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*. 2004;25(4):999-1046. <http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil25&collection=journals&id=1013>

232.

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2004;7(2):279-320. doi:10.1093/jiel/7.2.279

233.

Maskus KE, Reichman JH. The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of international economic law*. 2004;7(2):279-320. doi:10.1093/jiel/7.2.279

234.

Sell S, May C. Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2001;8(3):467-500. doi:10.1080/09692290110055849

235.

Sell S, May C. Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2001;8(3):467-500. doi:10.1080/09692290110055849