

# LA901: International Intellectual property

[View Online](#)

---

[1]

Abbott, F.M. 2006. Intellectual Property Provisions of Bilateral and Regional Trade Agreements in Light of U.S. Federal Law. ICTSD.

[2]

Abbott, Frederick M. The international intellectual property system : commentary and materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.1.

[3]

Abbott, Frederick M. The international intellectual property system : commentary and materials / by Frederick Abbott, Thomas Cottier & Francis Gurry. Pt.2.

[4]

Afori, O.F. 2013. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 44, 4 (2013), 392-417.

[5]

Alston, P. 2005. Ships Passing in the Night: The Current State of the Human Rights and Development Debate Seen through the Lens of the Millennium Development Goals. Human Rights Quarterly. 27, 3 (2005), 755-829.

[6]

American Intellectual Property Law Association and William S. Hein & Company 1984. AIPLA quarterly journal. (1984).

[7]

Amy Kapczynski 2008. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. The Yale Law Journal. 117, 5 (2008), 804–885.

[8]

Anderfelt, U. 1971. International patent-legislation and developing countries. Martinus Nijhoff.

[9]

Aoki, K. and Luvai, K. 2007. Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. Michigan State Law Review. 2007, (2007), 35–70.

[10]

Arup, C. 2000. The new World Trade Organization agreements: globalizing law through services and intellectual property. Cambridge University Press.

[11]

Austin, G. and Helfer, L.R. 2011. Human rights and intellectual property: mapping the global interface. Cambridge University Press.

[12]

de Beer, J. ed. 2009. Implementing WIPO's Development Agenda. Wilfrid Laurier University Press.

[13]

Beier, F.-K. et al. 1996. From GATT to TRIPs: the agreement on trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights. VCH.

[14]

Bellmann, C. et al. 2003. Trading in knowledge: development perspectives on TRIPS, trade, and sustainability. Earthscan Publications.

[15]

Bertin, G.Y. and Wyatt, S. 1988. Multinationals and industrial property: the control of the world's technology. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

[16]

Blakeney, M. 1996. Trade related aspects of intellectual property rights: a concise guide to the TRIPS agreement. Sweet & Maxwell.

[17]

Boldrin, M. and Levine, D.K. 2008. Against Intellectual Monopoly. Cambridge University Press.

[18]

Boldrin, M. and Levine, D.K. 2008. Against intellectual monopoly. Cambridge University Press.

[19]

Borowiak, C. 2004. Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics & Society*. 32, 4 (Dec. 2004), 511-543.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329204269979>.

[20]

Borowiak, C. 2004. Farmers' Rights: Intellectual Property Regimes and the Struggle over Seeds. *Politics and Society*. 32, 4 (2004), 511-543.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329204269979>.

[21]

Boyle, J. 2003. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 66, (2003).

[22]

Boyle, J. 2003. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 66, 1-2 (2003), 33-74.

[23]

Boyle, J. 2003. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. Duke University.

[24]

Boyle, J. 2003. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 66, 1 (2003), 33-74.

[25]

Braga, C.A.P. et al. 2000. Intellectual property rights and economic development. The World Bank.

[26]

Braithwaite, J. and Drahos, P. 2000. Global business regulation. Cambridge University Press.

[27]

Brennan, D.J. 2002. The three steps test frenzy: why the TRIPs Panel might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 2 (2002), 212-225.

[28]

Broude, T. 2005. Taking Trade and Culture Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural

Protection in WTO Law. University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law. 26, (2005).

[29]

Broude, T. 2005. Taking 'trade and culture' seriously: Geographical indications and cultural protection in WTO law. University of Pennsylvania journal of international law. 26, 4 (2005), 623–692.

[30]

Bygrave, L.A. 2002. The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. European Intellectual Property Review. 24, 2 (2002), 51–57.

[31]

Centre for Legal and Business Information and LexisNexis (Firm) Intellectual property newsletter.

[32]

Chang, H.-J. 2001. Intellectual property rights and economics development: historical lessons and emerging issues. Third World Network.

[33]

Charnovitz, S. 2002. The Legal Status of the Doha Declarations. Journal of International Economic Law. 5, 1 (Mar. 2002), 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.1.207>.

[34]

Charnovitz, S. 2002. The legal status of the Doha declarations. Journal of international economic law. 5, 1 (2002), 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.1.207>.

[35]

Chimni, B.S. 1992. Political Economy of the Uruguay Round of Negotiations: A Perspective. International Studies. 29, 2 (1992), 135–158.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020881792029002001>.

[36]

Chon, M. 2007. Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. *U.C. Davis Law Review*. 40, 3 (2007), 803-854.

[37]

Coombe, R.J. 2005. Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*. 1, 1 (2005), 35-52. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1743872105lw010oa>.

[38]

Coombe, R.J. 1998. *The cultural life of intellectual properties: authorship, appropriation, and the law*. Duke University Press.

[39]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2004. *Intellectual property in the new millennium: essays in honour of William R. Cornish*. Cambridge University Press.

[40]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[41]

Correa, C.M. 2000. *Intellectual property rights, the WTO and developing countries: the TRIPS agreement and policy options*. Zed.

[42]

Correa, C.M. 2010. *Research handbook on the interpretation and enforcement of intellectual property under WTO rules*. Vol 2. Edward Elgar.

[43]

Correa, C.M. 2010. Research handbook on the protection of intellectual property under WTO rules. Edward Elgar.

[44]

Correa, C.M. 2010. Research handbook on the protection of intellectual property under WTO rules. Vol 1. Edward Elgar.

[45]

Correa, C.M. and Yusuf, A. 1998. Intellectual property and international trade: the TRIPs agreement. Kluwer Law International.

[46]

Cottier, T. and Mavroidis, P.C. 2003. Intellectual property: trade, competition, and sustainable development. University of Michigan Press.

[47]

D'Amato, A.A. and Long, D.E. 1997. International intellectual property law. Kluwer Law International.

[48]

David J. Brennan 2002. The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2002).

[49]

Davies, P. 2013. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. European Intellectual Property Review. 35, 7 (2013), 402–414.

[50]

Dinwoodie, G.B. et al. 2001. International intellectual property law and policy. LexisNexis.

[51]

Drahos, P. 1996. A philosophy of intellectual property. Dartmouth.

[52]

Drahos, P. 1995. Global Property Rights in Information: The story of TRIPS at the GATT. Prometheus. 13, 1 (1995), 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08109029508629187>.

[53]

Drahos, P. 1999. Intellectual property. Ashgate Dartmouth.

[54]

Drahos, P. and Braithwaite, J. 2002. Information feudalism: who owns the knowledge economy?. Earthscan.

[55]

Dreyfuss, R.C. et al. 2001. Expanding the boundaries of intellectual property: innovation policy for the knowledge society. Oxford University Press.

[56]

Duncan Matthews. 2006. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2006), 91–130.

[57]

Dutfield, G. 2009. Intellectual property rights and the life science industries: past, present and future. World Scientific.



[58]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[59]

Dwijen Rangnekar 2006. No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 41, 5 (2006), 409–417.

[60]

Edwin C. Hettinger 1989. Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 18, 1 (1989), 31–52.

[61]

Evans, G.E. and Blakeney, M. 2006. The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? *Journal of international economic law*. 9, 3 (2006), 575–614.

[62]

Evans, G.E. and Blakeney, M. 2006. The Protection of Geographical Indications After Doha: Quo Vadis? *Journal of International Economic Law*. 9, 3 (2006), 575–614.

[63]

Fawcett, J.J. and Torremans, P. 1998. Intellectual property and private international law. Clarendon.

[64]

Finger, J.M. and Schuler, P. 2004. Poor people's knowledge: promoting intellectual property in developing countries. Copublication of the World Bank and Oxford University Press.

[65]

Fink, C. and Maskus, K.E. eds Intellectual property and development: lessons from recent economic research. World Bank.

[66]

Fischer-Lescano, A. and Teubner, G. 2004. Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. Michigan Journal of International Law. 25, 4 (2004), 999–1046.

[67]

George Washington University et al. Idea.

[68]

Gervais, D.J. 2008. The TRIPS agreement: drafting history and analysis. Sweet & Maxwell/Thomson Reuters.

[69]

Goldstein, P. 2001. International intellectual property law: cases and materials. Foundation Press.

[70]

Goodin, R.E. et al. 2007. A companion to contemporary political philosophy. Blackwell Pub.

[71]

Gosseries, A. et al. 2008. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Palgrave Macmillan.

[72]

Gosseries, A. et al. 2008. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Palgrave Macmillan.

[73]

Gosseries, A. et al. 2008. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Palgrave Macmillan.

[74]

Gosseries, A. et al. 2008. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Palgrave Macmillan.

[75]

Great Britain 2002. Integrating intellectual property rights and development policy. CIPR.

[76]

Hays, T. 2007. Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. European Intellectual Property Review. 29, 1 (2007), 15–21.

[77]

Hays, T. 2006. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Parts 1. European Intellectual Property Review. 28, 12 (2006), 617–624.

[78]

Heath, C. and Kamperman Sanders, A. 2005. New frontiers of intellectual property law: IP and cultural heritage, geographical indicators, enforcement, overprotection. Hart Publishing.

[79]

Heath, C. and Kamperman Sanders, A. 2005. New frontiers of intellectual property law: IP and cultural heritage, geographical indicators, enforcement, overprotection. Hart Publishing.

[80]

Helfer, L.R. 2004. Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law*. 29, 1 (2004), 1-84.

[81]

Helfer, L.R. 2000. World Music on a U.S. Stage: A Berne/Trips and Economic Analysis of the Fairness in Music Licensing Act. *Boston University Law Review*. 80, 1 (2000), 93-204.

[82]

Helfer, L.R. and Austin, G. 2011. *Human rights and intellectual property: mapping the global interface*. Cambridge University Press.

[83]

Helton, M. 2006. Secondary Liability for Copyright Infringement: BitTorrent as a Vehicle for Establishing a New Copyright Definition for Staple Articles of Commerce. *Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems*. 40, 1 (2006), 1-36.

[84]

Hettinger, E.C. 1989. Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*. 18, 1 (1989), 31-52.

[85]

Howse, R. The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 3, 4, 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x>.

[86]

Howse, R. 2000. The Canadian Generic Medicines Panel. A Dangerous Precedent in Dangerous Times. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 3, 4 (2000), 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2000.tb00139.x>.

[87]

Hughes, J. 1988. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. The Georgetown law journal. 77, 2 (1988), 287–366.

[88]

Hughes, Justin 1988. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. The Georgetown law journal. 77, (1988).

[89]

Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy: 2002.  
[http://www.iprcommission.org/graphic/documents/final\\_report.htm](http://www.iprcommission.org/graphic/documents/final_report.htm).

[90]

Intellectual Property Institute 1997. Intellectual property quarterly. (1997).

[91]

Intellectual Property Institute Intellectual property quarterly.

[92]

Ivanov, I. et al. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Turkey.

[93]

Jakkrit Kuanpoth. 2006. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand - the ddl case and beyond. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2006), 149–158.

[94]

Kapczynski, A. 2008. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of

Intellectual Property. Yale law journal. 117, 5 (2008), 804-885.

[95]

Keith Aoki 2008. Seed Wars: Cases and Materials on Intellectual Property and Plant Genetic Resources. Carolina Academic Pr.

[96]

Kuanpoth, J. 2006. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. Intellectual Property Quarterly. 2 (2006), 149-158.

[97]

Landes, W.M. and Posner, R.A. 2003. The economic structure of intellectual property law. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

[98]

Lange, D. 2003. Reimagining the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 66, (2003).

[99]

Lange, D. 2003. Reimagining the Public Domain. Law and contemporary problems. 66, 1-2 (2003), 463-483.

[100]

Lee A. Bygrave. 2002. The technologisation of copyright: implications for privacy and related interests. European Intellectual Property Review. (2002), 51-57.

[101]

Lee, E. 2005. The Ethics of Innovation: P2P Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. Journal of Business Ethics. 62, 2 (2005), 147-162.

[102]

Lee, Edward 2005. The Ethics of Innovation: p2p Software Developers and Designing Substantial Noninfringing Uses Under the Sony Doctrine. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 62, 2 (2005), 147–162.

[103]

Lerner, J. and Stern, S. eds 2010. *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, Volume 10. University of Chicago Press.

[104]

LexisNexis (Firm) 1978. *Intellectual property decisions*. (1978).

[105]

Lightbourne, M. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Ethiopia.

[106]

Lightbourne, M. and Dutfield, G. Literature Review and Commentary on Legal Regimes and Models for Protecting Plant Varieties.

[107]

Lightbourne, M. and Muraguri, L. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: China.

[108]

Lunney, G.S. 2001. The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia law review*. 87, 5 (2001), 813–920.

[109]

Lunney, G.S.Jr. 2001. Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, The. Virginia Law Review. 87, (2001).

[110]

Malbon, J. and Lawson, C. 2008. Interpreting and implementing the TRIPS agreement: is it fair?. Edward Elgar.

[111]

Maskus, K.E. 2000. Intellectual property rights in the global economy. Institute for International Economics.

[112]

Maskus, K.E. 2000. Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. Vanderbilt Law Review. 53, (2000).

[113]

Maskus, K.E. 2000. Lessons from studying the international economics of intellectual property rights. Vanderbilt law review. 53, 6 (2000), 2219-2239.

[114]

Maskus, K.E. and Penubarti, M. 1995. How trade-related are intellectual property rights? Journal of International Economics. 39, 3-4 (Nov. 1995), 227-248.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8).

[115]

Maskus, K.E. and Penubarti, M. 1995. How Trade-Related Are Intellectual Property-Rights. Journal of international economics. 39, 3-4 (1995), 227-248.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8).

[116]



Maskus, K.E. and Reichman, J.H. 2005. International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime. Cambridge University Press.

[117]

Maskus, K.E. and Reichman, J.H. 2005. International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime. Cambridge University Press.

[118]

Maskus, K.E. and Reichman, J.H. 2004. The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 7, 2 (2004), 279–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/7.2.279>.

[119]

Maskus, K.E. and Reichman, J.H. 2004. The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods. *Journal of international economic law*. 7, 2 (2004), 279–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/7.2.279>.

[120]

Matthews, D. 2006. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2 (2006), 91–130.

[121]

Matthews, D. 2002. Globalizing intellectual property rights: the TRIPs agreement. Routledge.

[122]

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht IIC: international review of industrial property and copyright law.

[123]

Max-Planck-Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Patent-, Urheber- und Wettbewerbsrecht International review of industrial property and copyright law.

[124]

May, C. 2008. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. Global Society. 22, 1 (Jan. 2008), 97–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600820701740753>.

[125]

May, C. 2008. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. Global Society. 22, 1 (2008), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600820701740753>.

[126]

May, C. and Sell, S.K. 2006. Intellectual property rights: a critical history. Lynne Rienners Publishers.

[127]

May, C. and Sell, S.K. 2006. Intellectual property rights: a critical history. Lynne Rienners Publishers.

[128]

Mazzoleni, R. and Nelson, R.R. 1998. Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. Journal of Economic Issues. 32, 4 (1998), 1031–1052. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.1998.11506108>.

[129]

Menescal, A.K. 2005. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 8, 6 (Nov. 2005), 761–796.

[130]

Menescal, A.K. 2005. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 8, 6 (2005), 761–796.

[131]

Merges, R.P. et al. 2003. Intellectual property in the new technological age. Aspen Publishers.

[132]

Morin, J.-F. and Gold, E.R. 2010. Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. European Journal of International Relations. 16, 4 (Dec. 2010), 563–587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066110366054>.

[133]

Morin, J.-F. and Gold, E.R. 2010. Consensus-seeking, distrust and rhetorical entrapment: The WTO decision on access to medicines. European journal of international relations. 16, 4 (2010), 563–587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066110366054>.

[134]

Novartis Ag vs Union Of India & Ors on 1 April, 2013:  
<http://www.indiankanoon.org/doc/165776436/>.

[135]

Nowak, K.J. 2005. Staying within the Negotiated Framework: Abiding by the Non-Discrimination Clause in Trips Article 27. Michigan Journal of International Law. 26, (2005).

[136]

Oguamanam, C. 2012. Intellectual property in global governance: a development question. Routledge.

[137]

Oguamanam, C. 2006. Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*. 29, 2 (2006), 413-454.

[138]

Okediji, R. 2001. TRIPs Dispute Settlement and the Sources of (International) Copyright Law Part II. *Journal of the Copyright Society of the U.S.A.* 49, 2 (2001), 585-648.

[139]

Orit Fischman Afori. 2013. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. (2013), 392-417.

[140]

Owens, R. 2003. TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. *European intellectual property review*. 25, (2003), 49-54.

[141]

Patel, R. 2009. Food sovereignty. *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 36, 3 (2009), 663-706.

[142]

Patel, R. 2009. Food sovereignty. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*. 36, 3 (2009), 663-706.

[143]

Philippa Davies. 2013. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2013), 402-414.

[144]

Pogge, T.W. 2005. *Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme*.

Metaphilosophy. 36, 1-2 (Jan. 2005), 182-209.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>.

[145]

Pogge, T.W. 2005. Human Rights and Global Health: A Research Programme. Metaphilosophy. 36, 1-2 (2005), 182-209.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>.

[146]

Pugatch, M.P. 2004. The international political economy of intellectual property rights. Edward Elgar.

[147]

Rangnekar, D. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: India.

[148]

Rangnekar, D. Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Kenya.

[149]

Rangnekar, D. 2007. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 10, 5 (Dec. 2007), 365-387. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>.

[150]

Rangnekar, D. 2007. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. The journal of world intellectual property. 10, 5 (2007), 365-387. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>.

[151]

Rangnekar, D. 2014. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 19, 3 (May 2014), 359–383. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>.

[152]

Rangnekar, D. 2014. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 19, 3 (2014), 359–383. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>.

[153]

Rangnekar, D. 2003. Geographical indications: A review of proposals at the TRIPs Council – Extending article 23 to products other than wines and spirits. UNCTAD/ICTSD Capacity Building Project on Intellectual Property Rights and Sustainable Development.

[154]

Rangnekar, D. 2009. Geographical Indications and Localisation: A Case Study of Feni.

[155]

Rangnekar, D. 2006. No Pills for Poor People? Understanding the Disembowelment of India's Patent Regime. *Economic and political weekly*. 41, 5 (2006), 409–417.

[156]

Rangnekar, D. 2011. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 43, 9 (2011), 2043–2059. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>.

[157]

Rangnekar, D. 2011. Remaking Place: The Social Construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 43, 9 (2011), 2043–2059. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>.

[158]

Rangnekar, D. 2011. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 43, 9 (2011), 2043–2059.  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>.

[159]

Rangnekar, D. 2011. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and planning*. 43, 9 (2011), 2043–2059.  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>.

[160]

Rangnekar, D. Review of the Economic Literature on Plant Breeders' Rights.

[161]

Rangnekar, D. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 2.

[162]

Rangnekar, D. *The journal of world intellectual property*. 13, 2.

[163]

Rangnekar, D. 2004. The socio-economics of geographical indications: A review of evidence from Europe. UNCTAD/ICTSD Capacity Building Project on Intellectual Property Rights and Sustainable Development.

[164]

Rangnekar, D. 2013. The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and political weekly*. 48, 32 (2013), 39–40.

[165]

Rangnekar, D. 2013. The Supreme Court Judgment: Lawmaking in the South. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 48, 32 (2013), 39–40.

[166]

Rangnekar, D. and Kumar, S. 2010. Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 2 (Mar. 2010), 202–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x>.

[167]

Rangnekar, D. and Kumar, S. 2010. Another Look at Basmati: Genericity and the Problems of a Transborder Geographical Indication. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 2 (2010), 202–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00391.x>.

[168]

Raustiala, K. and Munzer, S.R. 2007. The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. *European Journal of International Law*. 18, 2 (2007), 337–365. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chm016>.

[169]

Reichman, J.H. 1995. Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPS Component of the WTO Agreement. *International Lawyer (ABA)*. 29, (1995).

[170]

Reichman, J.H. 1995. Universal Minimum Standards of Intellectual Property Protection under the TRIPS Component of the WTO Agreement. *International lawyer*. 29, 2 (1995), 345–388.

[171]

Research Project: Impacts of the Intellectual Property Rights Rules on Sustainable Development: <http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/csgr/research/projects/ipdev/>.

[172]

Resnik, D.B. 2003. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*.



46, 4 (2003), 319–335. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025631902384>.

[173]

Resnik, D.B. 2003. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 46, 4 (2003), 319–335. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025631902384>.

[174]

Richard Owens 2003. TRIPs and the fairness in music arbitration: the repercussions. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 25, (2003).

[175]

Richards, D. 2004. Intellectual property rights and global capitalism: the political economy of the TRIPS Agreement. M.E. Sharpe.

[176]

Roberto Mazzoleni and Richard R. Nelson 1998. Economic Theories about the Benefits and Costs of Patents. *Journal of Economic Issues*. 32, 4 (1998), 1031–1052.

[177]

Ryan, M.P. 1998. Knowledge diplomacy: global competition and the politics of intellectual property. Brookings Institution Press.

[178]

Scherer, F.M. and Watal, J. 2002. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 5, 4 (Dec. 2002), 913–939. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>.

[179]

Scherer, F.M. and Watal, J. 2002. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of international economic law*. 5, 4 (2002), 913–939. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>.

[180]

de Schutter, O. 2009. The right to food: Seed policies and the right to food: enhancing agrobiodiversity and encouraging innovation. United Nations.

[181]

Sell, S. and May, C. 2001. Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 8, 3 (Jan. 2001), 467-500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290110055849>.

[182]

Sell, S. and May, C. 2001. Moments in law: contestation and settlement in the history of intellectual property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 8, 3 (2001), 467-500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290110055849>.

[183]

Sell, S.K. 1998. *Power and ideas: North-South politics of intellectual property and antitrust*. State University of New York Press.

[184]

Sell, S.K. and Prakash, A. 2004. Using ideas strategically: The contest between business and NGO networks in intellectual property rights. *International studies quarterly*. 48, 1 (2004), 143-175.

[185]

Sherwood, R.M. 1990. *Intellectual property and economic development*. Westview Press.

[186]

Sunder, M. 2007. *Invention of Traditional Knowledge, The. Law and Contemporary Problems*. 70, (2007).

[187]

Sunder, M. 2007. The invention of traditional knowledge. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 70, 2 (2007), 97–124.

[188]

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash 2004. Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 48, 1 (2004), 143–175.

[189]

Tansey, G. and Rajotte, T. 2008. The future control of food: a guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security. Earthscan.

[190]

Thomas Hays 2006. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2006).

[191]

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development 2005. *Resource book on TRIPS and development*. Cambridge University Press.

[192]

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development 2005. *Resource book on TRIPS and development*. Cambridge University Press.

[193]

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and International Centre for Trade

and Sustainable Development 2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[194]

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development 2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[195]

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development 2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[196]

United States Trademark Association et al. The Trade-mark reporter.

[197]

University of Georgia et al. The journal of intellectual property law.

[198]

University of Texas at Austin et al. Texas intellectual property law journal.

[199]

Van Overwalle, G. 1998. Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology. 39, 2 (1998), 143–149.

[200]

Wade, R.H. 2003. What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. Review of International Political Economy. 10, 4 (Nov. 2003), 621–644.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290310001601902>.

[201]

Wade, R.H. 2003. What strategies are viable for developing countries today? The World Trade Organization and the shrinking of 'development space'. *Review of international political economy*. 10, 4 (2003), 621–644.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290310001601902>.

[202]

Wadlow, C. 1998. *Enforcement of intellectual property in European and international law: the new private international law of intellectual property in the United Kingdom and the European Community*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[203]

Wallerstein, M.B. et al. 1993. *Global dimensions of intellectual property rights in science and technology*. National Academy Press.

[204]

Watal, J. 2003. *Intellectual property rights in the WTO and developing countries*. Oxford University Press.

[205]

Weissman, R. 1996. Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic*. 17, 4 (1996), 1069–1125.

[206]

Weissman, Robert 1996. Long, Strange Trips: The Pharmaceutical Industry Drive to Harmonize Global Intellectual Property Rules, and the Remaining WTO Legal Alternatives Available to Third World Countries. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*. 17, (1996).

[207]

van Wijk, J. 2004. Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*. 16, 1 (2004), 121–141.

[208]

van Wijk, J. 2004. Terminating piracy or legitimate seed saving? The use of copy-protection technology in seeds. *Technology analysis & strategic management*. 16, 1 (2004), 121–141.

[209]

WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use:  
<http://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/iprm/>.

[210]

World Intellectual Property Organization 2004. *WIPO intellectual property handbook: policy, law and use*. WIPO.

[211]

World Trade Organisation 2000. *WTO/DSP (2000) Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products – Report of the Panel*.

[212]

Yu, P.K. 2009. A Tale of Two Development Agendas. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*. 35, 2 (2009), 465–574.

[213]

Yu, P.K. 2007. *Intellectual property and information wealth: issues and practices in the digital age*. Praeger Publishers.

[214]

Assessing the Economic Implications of Different Models for Implementing the Requirement to Protect Plant Varieties: Country case studies: Bulgaria.

[215]

Copyright World.

[216]

European intellectual property review.

[217]

European intellectual property review.

[218]

2005. Federal Republic of Germany and Kingdom of Denmark, supported by the French Republic and the United Kingdom v Commission of the European Communities, supported by the Hellenic Republic, Joined Cases C-465/02 and C-466/02. Court of Justice of the European Communities.

[219]

Final Report- Impact of the IPR rules on Sustainable Development.

[220]

Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law.

[221]

1998. Journal of international economic law. (1998).

[222]

Journal of international economic law.

[223]

Managing intellectual property.

[224]

Managing intellectual property.

[225]

2005. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion and individual judges' opinions. United States Supreme Court.

[226]

2005. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc v. Grokster. 545 US 913. Opinion of the Court. United States Supreme Court.

[227]

Patent world.

[228]

2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[229]

2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[230]

2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.



[231]

2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[232]

2005. Resource book on TRIPS and development. Cambridge University Press.

[233]

15 AD. Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act, Report of the Panel. WTO Secretariat.

[234]

The Journal of world intellectual property.

[235]

The journal of world intellectual property.