

LA366: Global Intellectual Property Law and Policy

[View Online](#)

1.

Dutfield, Graham, Suthersanen, Uma. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

2.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

3.

Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T. A companion to contemporary political philosophy. 2nd ed. Vol. Blackwell companions to philosophy. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.

4.

Goodin, Robert E., Pettit, Philip. A Companion to contemporary political philosophy [Internet]. Vol. Blackwell companions to philosophy. Oxford, UK: Blackwell; 1998. Available from:
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=44511&site=ehost-live>

5.

Gosseries, Axel, Marciano, Alain, Strowel, Alain. Intellectual property and theories of justice. Basingstoke [England]: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

6.

Edwin C. Hettinger. Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 1989;18(1):31-52. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265190>

7.

D. B. Resnik. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics* [Internet]. 2003;46(4):319-35. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/25075110>

8.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

9.

Drahoš P. Global property rights in information: the story of TRIPS at the GATT. *Prometheus* [Internet]. 1995;13(1):6-19. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1080/08109029508629187>

10.

Fischer-Lescano, Andreas. Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law* [Internet]. 2003;25:999-1046. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil25&id=1013&collection=journals&index=journals/mjil#1013>

11.

Helfer, Laurence R. Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law* [Internet]. 2004;29:1-83. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil29&id=11&collection=journals&index=journals/yjil#11>

12.

Maskus KE, Penubarti M. How trade-related are intellectual property rights? *Journal of*

International Economics [Internet]. 1995;39(3-4):227-48. Available from: [http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8)

13.

Sell S, May C. Moments in Law: Contestation and Settlement in the History of Intellectual Property. Review of International Political Economy [Internet]. 2001;8(3):467-500. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4177394>

14.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

15.

Frederick M. Abbott. The WTO Medicines Decision: World Pharmaceutical Trade and the Protection of Public Health. The American Journal of International Law [Internet]. 2005;99(2):317-58. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1562501>

16.

Kuanpoth J. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2006;(2):149-58. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A8B50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

17.

Matthews D. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2006;91-130. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

18.

Pogge TW. Human rights and global health: a research program. Metaphilosophy [Internet]. 2005;36(1-2):182-209. Available from:

<http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>

19.

Rangnekar D. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property* [Internet]. 2007;10(5):365-87. Available from:
<http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>

20.

Dwijen Rangnekar. The Supreme Court Judgment. *Economic and Political Weekly* [Internet]. 2013;48(32):39-40. Available from:
<http://www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

21.

Scherer FM. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law* [Internet]. 2002;5(4):913-39. Available from:
<http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>

22.

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash. Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. 2004;48(1):143-75. Available from:
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3693567>

23.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

24.

Aoki, Keith. Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review* [Internet]. 2007;2007:35-70. Available from:
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mslr200>

7&id=41&collection=journals&index=journals/mslr#41

25.

Tansey G, Rajotte T. The future control of food: a guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security. London: Earthscan; 2008.

26.

Final report: Assessing the economic implications of different models for implementing the requirement to protect plant varieties Report Work Package 6 Impacts of the IPR Rules on Sustainable Development [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_final_report.pdf

27.

Muriel Lightbourne, Graham Dutfield. Review of Literature: Law [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_legal_literature_review.pdf

28.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. Review of Literature: Economics [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_economic_literature_review.pdf

29.

Country case studies: Bulgaria [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_bulgaria_case_study.pdf

30.

Muriel Lightbourne, Lois Muraguri. Country case studies: China [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_china_case_study.pdf

31.

Muriel Lightbourne. Country case studies: Ethiopia [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_ethiopia_case_study.pdf

32.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. Country case studies: India [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_india_case_study.pdf

33.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. Country case studies: Kenya [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_kenya_case_study.pdf

34.

Mr. Ivan Ivanov, Dr. Burcak Yildiz *, Mrs. Ofelia Tsonkova, Mrs. Tatiana Mateeva. Country case studies: Turkey [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_turkey_case_study.pdf

35.

Glenn E. Bugos and Daniel J. Kevles. Plants as Intellectual Property: American Practice, Law, and Policy in World Context. *Osiris* [Internet]. 1992;7:74–104. Available from:
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/301768>

36.

Oguamanam, Chidi. Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal* [Internet]. 2006;29:413–53. Available from:
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/dalholwj29&id=417&collection=journals&index=journals/dalholwj#417>

37.

Van Overwalle, Geertrui. Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. *IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology* [Internet]. 1998;39:143–94. Available from:
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/idea39&>

id=153&collection=journals&index=journals/idea#153

38.

Pottage, Alain. Organisms and Manufactures: On the History of Plant Inventions. Melbourne University Law Review [Internet]. 2007;31:539-68. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mulr31&id=545&collection=journals&index=journals/mulr#545>

39.

Rangnekar D. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. New Political Economy [Internet]. 2014;19(3):359-83. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>

40.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

41.

Orit Fischman Afori. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law [Internet]. 2013;44(4):392-417. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7>

42.

James Boyle. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems [Internet]. 2003;66(1):33-74. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059171>

43.

Brennan DJ. The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2002;212-25. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

44.

Chon, Margaret. Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. UC Davis Law Review [Internet]. 2006;40. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/davlr40&id=811&collection=journals&index=journals/davlr#811>

45.

Davies P. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2013;35(7):402-14. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IE65B9540CF9311E2BE21CEDEB7CBC1AF>

46.

David Lange. Reimagining the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems [Internet]. 2003;66(1):463-83. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059182>

47.

Lawrence Liang. Porous Legalities and Avenues of Participation [Internet]. Vol. 5, Sarai Reader. p. 6-17. Available from: http://preview.sarai.net/journal/05_pdf/01/02_lawrence.pdf

48.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

49.

Isabella Alexander. Criminalising Copyright: A Story of Publishers, Pirates and Pieces of Eight. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 2007;66(3):625-56. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4500946>

50.

Thomas Hays. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2006;28(12):617–24. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IA6B6A6B1736911DBBC5FBBC8B16DD80C>

51.

Hays T. Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2007;29(1):15–21. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I332EC29083EA11DBB3FCBE5277E55AAD>

52.

Lawrence Lessig. The Architecture of Innovation. *Duke Law Journal* [Internet]. 2002;51(6):1783–801. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1373215>

53.

Glynn S. Lunney, Jr. The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia Law Review* [Internet]. 2001;87(5):813–920. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1073857>

54.

Felix Oberholzer-Gee. File Sharing and Copyright. *Innovation Policy and the Economy* [Internet]. 2010;10(1):19–55. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.1086/605852>

55.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

56.

Raustiala K, Munzer SR. The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. *European Journal of International Law* [Internet]. 2007;18(2):337–65. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/ejil/chm016>

57.

Tomer Broude. Taking 'Trade and Culture' Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law* [Internet]. 2005;26(4):623–92. Available from: <http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1220&context=jil>

58.

Dev Gangjee. Say cheese! A sharper image of generic use through the lens of Feta. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2007;29(5):172–9. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I1336AA90E3BF11DB89BDCBD5DE2603F0>

59.

Handler, Michael. WTO Geographical Indications Dispute, The. *Modern Law Review* [Internet]. 2006;69:70–91. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/modlr69&id=72&collection=journals&index=journals/modlr#72>

60.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar, editor. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. *Journal of World Intellectual Property* [Internet]. 13(2). Available from: <http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/jwip.2010.13.issue-2/issuetoc>

61.

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A* [Internet]. 2011;43(9):2043–59. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1068/a43259>

62.

Madhavi Sunder. The Invention of Traditional Knowledge. *Law and Contemporary Problems* [Internet]. 2007;70(2):97–124. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/27592181>

63.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

64.

Schroeder, Doris. Justice and the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Ethics & International Affairs* [Internet]. 23(3):267–80. Available from: <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/200506101/78110A6A2ABD4AFAPQ/6?accountid=14888>

65.

Stephanie Bucher. The protection of genetic resources and indigenous knowledge: disclosure of origin on the international and Latin-American agenda. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* [Internet]. 2008;39(1):35–50. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7FAB7740EC9811DC86FAA1B92020162A>

66.

Buck M, Hamilton C. The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* [Internet]. 2011;20(1):47–61. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2011.00703.x>

67.

Coombe RJ. Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. *Law, Culture and the Humanities* [Internet]. 2005;1(1):35–52. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1191/1743872105lw010oa>

68.

Dutfield G. A critical analysis of the debate on traditional knowledge, drug discovery and patent-based biopiracy. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2011;33(4):238–44. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID0A36C504C5F11E0AF15C99E7468439C>

69.

Morgera E, Tsoumani E. The Evolution of Benefit Sharing: Linking Biodiversity and Community Livelihoods. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* [Internet]. 2010;19(2):150–73. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2010.00674.x>

70.

John B. Kleba, Dwijen Rangneka. Fairness and equity: interrogating the Nagoya protocol: Special issue. *Law, Environment and Development Journal* [Internet]. 2013;9(2). Available from: <http://www.lead-journal.org/content/13097.pdf>

71.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2008.

72.

Menescal AK. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property* [Internet]. 2005;8(6):761–96. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x>

73.

de Beer J. *Implementing the World Intellectual Property Organization's development agenda*. 1st edition. Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 2009;

74.

Amy Kapczynski. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *The Yale Law Journal* [Internet]. 2008;117(5):804–85. Available from:

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20455812>

75.

Maskus, Keith E. Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review* [Internet]. 2000;53:2219–39. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&id=2247&collection=journals&index=journals/vanlr#2247>

76.

May C. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society* [Internet]. 2008;22(1):97–113. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1080/13600820701740753>

77.

Yu, Peter K. Tale of Two Development Agendas, A. *Ohio Northern University Law Review* [Internet]. 2009;35:465–573. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/onulr35&id=469&collection=journals&index=journals/onulr#469>