

LA366: Global Intellectual Property Law and Policy

[View Online](#)

Amy Kapczynski. (2008). The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *The Yale Law Journal*, 117(5), 804–885.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20455812>

Aoki, Keith. (2007). Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review*, 2007, 35–70.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mslr2007&id=41&collection=journals&index=journals/mslr#41>

Brennan, D. J. (2002). The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 212–225.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Buck, M., & Hamilton, C. (2011). The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 20(1), 47–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2011.00703.x>

Chon, Margaret. (2006). Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. *U.C. Davis Law Review*, 40.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/davlr40&id=811&collection=journals&index=journals/davlr#811>

Coombe, R. J. (2005). Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 1(1), 35–52. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1743872105lw010oa>

Country case studies: Bulgaria. (n.d.).

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_bulgaria_case_study.pdf

D. B. Resnik. (2003). A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 46(4), 319–335.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/25075110>

David Lange. (2003). Reimagining the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 66(1), 463–483. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059182>

Davies, P. (2013). Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 35(7), 402–414.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app>

/document?docguid=IE65B9540CF9311E2BE21CEDEB7CBC1AF

de Beer, J. (n.d.). Implementing the World Intellectual Property Organization's development agenda (1st edition).

Dev Gangjee. (2007). Say cheese! A sharper image of generic use through the lens of Feta. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 29(5), 172–179.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I1336AA90E3BF11DB89BDCBD5DE2603F0>

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. (n.d.-a). Country case studies: India.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_india_case_study.pdf

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. (n.d.-b). Country case studies: Kenya.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_kenya_case_study.pdf

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. (n.d.-c). Review of Literature: Economics.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_economic_literature_review.pdf

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar (Ed.). (n.d.-d). The Journal of World Intellectual Property. *Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 13(2).

<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com/pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/jwip.2010.13.issue-2/issuetoc>

Drahos, P. (1995). Global property rights in information: the story of TRIPS at the GATT. *Prometheus*, 13(1), 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08109029508629187>

Dutfield, G. (2011). A critical analysis of the debate on traditional knowledge, drug discovery and patent-based biopiracy. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 33(4), 238–244.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID0A36C504C5F11E0AF15C99E7468439C>

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008a). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008b). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008c). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008d). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008e). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008f). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008g). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008h). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, G., & Suthersanen, U. (2008i). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dutfield, Graham & Suthersanen, Uma. (2008). *Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials*. Edward Elgar.

Dwijen Rangnekar. (2013). The Supreme Court Judgment. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48(32), 39-40.
<http://0-www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

Edwin C. Hettinger. (1989). Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 18 (1), 31-52. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265190>

Felix Oberholzer-Gee. (2010). File Sharing and Copyright. *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, 10(1), 19-55.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.1086/605852>

Final report: Assessing the economic implications of different models for implementing the requirement to protect plant varieties Report Work Package 6 Impacts of the IPR Rules on Sustainable Development. (n.d.).
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_final_report.pdf

Fischer-Lescano, Andreas. (2003). Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 25, 999-1046.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil25&id=1013&collection=journals&index=journals/mjil#1013>

Frederick M. Abbott. (2005). The WTO Medicines Decision: World Pharmaceutical Trade and the Protection of Public Health. *The American Journal of International Law*, 99(2), 317-358. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1562501>

Glenn E. Bugos and Daniel J. Kevles. (1992). Plants as Intellectual Property: American Practice, Law, and Policy in World Context. *Osiris*, 7, 74-104.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/301768>

Glynn S. Lunney, Jr. (2001). The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia Law Review*, 87(5), 813-920.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1073857>

Goodin, R. E., Pettit, P., & Pogge, T. (2012). *A companion to contemporary political philosophy: Vol. Blackwell companions to philosophy* (2nd ed). Wiley-Blackwell.

Goodin, Robert E. & Pettit, Philip. (1998). *A Companion to contemporary political philosophy: Vol. Blackwell companions to philosophy* [Electronic resource]. Blackwell.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nleb>

k&AN=44511&site=ehost-live

Gosseries, Axel, Marciano, Alain, & Strowel, Alain. (2008). Intellectual property and theories of justice. Palgrave Macmillan.

Handler, Michael. (2006). WTO Geographical Indications Dispute, The. Modern Law Review, 69, 70–91.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/modlr69&id=72&collection=journals&index=journals/modlr#72>

Hays, T. (2007). Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. European Intellectual Property Review, 29(1), 15–21.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I332EC29083EA11DBB3FCBE5277E55AAD>

Helfer, Laurence R. (2004). Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. Yale Journal of International Law, 29, 1–83.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil29&id=11&collection=journals&index=journals/yjil#11>

Isabella Alexander. (2007). Criminalising Copyright: A Story of Publishers, Pirates and Pieces of Eight. The Cambridge Law Journal, 66(3), 625–656.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4500946>

James Boyle. (2003). The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems, 66(1), 33–74.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059171>

John B. Kleba & Dwijen Rangneka. (2013). Fairness and equity: interrogating the Nagoya protocol: Special issue. Law, Environment and Development Journal, 9(2).

<http://www.lead-journal.org/content/13097.pdf>

Kuanpoth, J. (2006). Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2, 149–158.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A8B50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Lawrence Lessig. (2002). The Architecture of Innovation. Duke Law Journal, 51(6), 1783–1801. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1373215>

Lawrence Liang. (n.d.). Porous Legalities and Avenues of Participation. In Sarai Reader (Vol. 5, pp. 6–17). http://preview.sarai.net/journal/05_pdf/01/02_lawrence.pdf

Madhavi Sunder. (2007). The Invention of Traditional Knowledge. Law and Contemporary Problems, 70(2), 97–124.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/27592181>

Maskus, K. E., & Penubarti, M. (1995). How trade-related are intellectual property rights? Journal of International Economics, 39(3–4), 227–248.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8)

Maskus, Keith E. (2000). Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual

- Property Rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, 53, 2219–2239.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&id=2247&collection=journals&index=journals/vanlr#2247>
- Matthews, D. (2006). From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 91–130.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>
- May, C. (2008). The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society*, 22(1), 97–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600820701740753>
- Menescal, A. K. (2005). Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 8(6), 761–796.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x>
- Morgera, E., & Tsioumani, E. (2010). The Evolution of Benefit Sharing: Linking Biodiversity and Community Livelihoods. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 19(2), 150–173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2010.00674.x>
- Mr. Ivan Ivanov, Dr. Burcak Yildiz *, Mrs.Ofelia Tsonkova, & Mrs. Tatiana Mateeva. (n.d.). Country case studies: Turkey.
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_turkey_case_study.pdf
- Muriel Lightbourne. (n.d.). Country case studies: Ethiopia.
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_ethiopia_case_study.pdf
- Muriel Lightbourne & Graham Dufield. (n.d.). Review of Literature: Law.
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_legal_literature_review.pdf
- Muriel Lightbourne & Lois Muraguri. (n.d.). Country case studies: China.
http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_china_case_study.pdf
- Oguamanam, Chidi. (2006). Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*, 29, 413–453.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/dalholwj29&id=417&collection=journals&index=journals/dalholwj#417>
- Orit Fischman Afori. (2013). The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 44(4), 392–417.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7>
- Pogge, T. W. (2005). Human rights and global health: a research program. *Metaphilosophy*, 36(1–2), 182–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>
- Pottage, Alain. (2007). Organisms and Manufactures: On the History of Plant Inventions. *Melbourne University Law Review*, 31, 539–568.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mulr31&id=545&collection=journals&index=journals/mulr#545>

- Rangnekar, D. (2007). Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 10(5), 365–387. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>
- Rangnekar, D. (2011). Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*, 43(9), 2043–2059. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>
- Rangnekar, D. (2014). Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*, 19(3), 359–383. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>
- Raustiala, K., & Munzer, S. R. (2007). The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. *European Journal of International Law*, 18(2), 337–365. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chm016>
- Scherer, F. M. (2002). Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 5(4), 913–939. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>
- Schroeder, Doris. (n.d.). Justice and the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Ethics & International Affairs*, 23(3), 267–280. <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/200506101/78110A6A2ABD4AFAPQ/6?accountid=14888>
- Sell, S., & May, C. (2001). Moments in Law: Contestation and Settlement in the History of Intellectual Property. *Review of International Political Economy*, 8(3), 467–500. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4177394>
- Stephanie Bucher. (2008). The protection of genetic resources and indigenous knowledge: disclosure of origin on the international and Latin-American agenda. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 39(1), 35–50. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7FAB7740EC9811DC86FAA1B92020162A>
- Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash. (2004). Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*, 48(1), 143–175. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3693567>
- Tansey, G., & Rajotte, T. (2008). The future control of food: a guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security. Earthscan.
- Thomas Hays. (2006). The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 28(12), 617–624. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IA6B6A6B1736911DBBC5FBBC8B16DD80C>
- Tomer Broude. (2005). Taking 'Trade and Culture' Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*, 26(4), 623–692. <http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1220&context=jil>
- Van Overwalle, Geertrui. (1998). Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American

and European Approaches. IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology, 39, 143–194.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/idea39&id=153&collection=journals&index=journals/idea#153>

Yu, Peter K. (2009). Tale of Two Development Agendas, A. Ohio Northern University Law Review, 35, 465–573.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/onulr35&id=469&collection=journals&index=journals/onulr#469>