

LA366: Global Intellectual Property Law and Policy

[View Online](#)

-
1.

Dutfield, Graham, Suthersanen, Uma. Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials. Edward Elgar; 2008.
 2.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials. Edward Elgar; 2008.
 3.

Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy. Vol Blackwell companions to philosophy. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.
 4.

Goodin, Robert E., Pettit, Philip. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy. Vol Blackwell companions to philosophy. Blackwell; 1998.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=44511&site=ehost-live>
 5.

Gosseries, Axel, Marciano, Alain, Strowel, Alain. Intellectual Property and Theories of Justice. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.
 - 6.

Edwin C. Hettinger. Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1989;18(1):31-52. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265190>

7.

D. B. Resnik. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2003;46(4):319-335. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/25075110>

8.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials*. Edward Elgar; 2008.

9.

Drahos P. Global property rights in information: the story of TRIPS at the GATT. *Prometheus*. 1995;13(1):6-19. doi:10.1080/08109029508629187

10.

Fischer-Lescano, Andreas. Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*. 2003;25:999-1046. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mjil25&id=1013&collection=journals&index=journals/mjil#1013>

11.

Helper, Laurence R. Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law*. 2004;29:1-83. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil29&id=11&collection=journals&index=journals/yjil#11>

12.

Maskus KE, Penubarti M. How trade-related are intellectual property rights? *Journal of International Economics*. 1995;39(3-4):227-248. doi:10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8

13.

Sell S, May C. Moments in Law: Contestation and Settlement in the History of Intellectual Property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2001;8(3):467-500.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4177394>

14.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials*. Edward Elgar; 2008.

15.

Frederick M. Abbott. The WTO Medicines Decision: World Pharmaceutical Trade and the Protection of Public Health. *The American Journal of International Law*. 2005;99(2):317-358. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1562501>

16.

Kuanpoth J. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2006;(2):149-158.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A8B50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

17.

Matthews D. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. Published online 2006:91-130.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBD9A3D30E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

18.

Pogge TW. Human rights and global health: a research program. *Metaphilosophy*. 2005;36(1-2):182-209. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x

19.

Rangnekar D. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending

India's Patent Act. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 2007;10(5):365-387.
doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x

20.

Dwijen Rangnekar. The Supreme Court Judgment. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 2013;48(32):39-40.
<http://0-www.epw.in.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/glivec-precedent/supreme-court-judgment.html>

21.

Scherer FM. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2002;5(4):913-939. doi:10.1093/jiel/5.4.913

22.

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash. Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2004;48(1):143-175. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3693567>

23.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials*. Edward Elgar; 2008.

24.

Aoki, Keith. Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review*. 2007;2007:35-70.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mslr2007&id=41&collection=journals&index=journals/mslr#41>

25.

Tansey G, Rajotte T. *The Future Control of Food: A Guide to International Negotiations and Rules on Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Food Security*. Earthscan; 2008.

26.

Final report: Assessing the economic implications of different models for implementing the requirement to protect plant varieties Report Work Package 6 Impacts of the IPR Rules on Sustainable Development.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_final_report.pdf

27.

Muriel Lightbourne, Graham Dutfield. Review of Literature: Law.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_legal_literature_review.pdf

28.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. Review of Literature: Economics.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_economic_literature_review.pdf

29.

Country case studies: Bulgaria.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_bulgaria_case_study.pdf

30.

Muriel Lightbourne, Lois Muraguri. Country case studies: China.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_china_case_study.pdf

31.

Muriel Lightbourne. Country case studies: Ethiopia.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_ethiopia_case_study.pdf

32.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. Country case studies: India.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_india_case_study.pdf

33.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar. Country case studies: Kenya.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_kenya_case_study.pdf

34.

Mr. Ivan Ivanov, Dr. Burcak Yildiz *, Mrs.Ofelia Tsonkova, Mrs. Tatiana Mateeva. Country case studies: Turkey.

http://www.ecologic.de/download/projekte/1800-1849/1802/wp6_turkey_case_study.pdf

35.

Glenn E. Bugos and Daniel J. Kevles. Plants as Intellectual Property: American Practice, Law, and Policy in World Context. *Osiris*. 1992;7:74-104.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/301768>

36.

Oguamanam, Chidi. Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*. 2006;29:413-453.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/dalholwj29&id=417&collection=journals&index=journals/dalholwj#417>

37.

Van Overwalle, Geertrui. Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. *IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology*. 1998;39:143-194.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/idea39&id=153&collection=journals&index=journals/idea#153>

38.

Pottage, Alain. Organisms and Manufactures: On the History of Plant Inventions. *Melbourne University Law Review*. 2007;31:539-568.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mulr31&id=545&collection=journals&index=journals/mulr#545>

39.

Rangnekar D. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 2014;19(3):359-383.
doi:10.1080/13563467.2013.796445

40.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials*. Edward Elgar; 2008.

41.

Orit Fischman Afori. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 2013;44(4):392-417.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF22AC1A0003211E3B164951DE82E22A7>

42.

James Boyle. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 2003;66(1):33-74.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059171>

43.

Brennan DJ. The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. Published online 2002:212-225.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBDA3DA20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

44.

Chon, Margaret. *Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education*. UC Davis Law Review. 2006;40.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/davlr40&id=811&collection=journals&index=journals/davlr#811>

45.

Davies P. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2013;35(7):402-414. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IE65B9540CF9311E2BE21CEDEB7CBC1AF>

46.

David Lange. Reimagining the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 2003;66(1):463-483. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20059182>

47.

Lawrence Liang. Porous Legalities and Avenues of Participation. *Sarai Reader*. 5:6-17. http://preview.sarai.net/journal/05_pdf/01/02_lawrence.pdf

48.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials*. Edward Elgar; 2008.

49.

Isabella Alexander. Criminalising Copyright: A Story of Publishers, Pirates and Pieces of Eight. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2007;66(3):625-656. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4500946>

50.

Thomas Hays. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2006;28(12):617-624. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IA6B6A6B1736911DBBC5FBBC8B16DD80C>

51.

Hays T. Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2007;29(1):15-21. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app>

/document?docguid=I332EC29083EA11DBB3FCBE5277E55AAD

52.

Lawrence Lessig. The Architecture of Innovation. Duke Law Journal. 2002;51(6):1783-1801.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1373215>

53.

Glynn S. Lunney, Jr. The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. Virginia Law Review. 2001;87(5):813-920.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1073857>

54.

Felix Oberholzer-Gee. File Sharing and Copyright. Innovation Policy and the Economy. 2010;10(1):19-55.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/10.1086/605852>

55.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials. Edward Elgar; 2008.

56.

Raustiala K, Munzer SR. The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. European Journal of International Law. 2007;18(2):337-365. doi:10.1093/ejil/chm016

57.

Tomer Broude. Taking 'Trade and Culture' Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law. 2005;26(4):623-692.
<http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1220&context=jil>

58.

Dev Gangjee. Say cheese! A sharper image of generic use through the lens of Feta. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2007;29(5):172-179.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I1336AA90E3BF11DB89BDCBD5DE2603F0>

59.

Handler, Michael. WTO Geographical Indications Dispute, The. *Modern Law Review*. 2006;69:70-91.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/modlr69&id=72&collection=journals&index=journals/modlr#72>

60.

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar, ed. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. *Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13(2).
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/jwip.2010.13.issue-2/issuetoc>

61.

Rangnekar D. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 2011;43(9):2043-2059. doi:10.1068/a43259

62.

Madhavi Sunder. The Invention of Traditional Knowledge. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 2007;70(2):97-124. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/27592181>

63.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. *Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials*. Edward Elgar; 2008.

64.

Schroeder, Doris. Justice and the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 23(3):267-280.
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/200506101/78110A6A2A>

BD4AFAPQ/6?accountid=14888

65.

Stephanie Bucher. The protection of genetic resources and indigenous knowledge: disclosure of origin on the international and Latin-American agenda. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 2008;39(1):35-50.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7FAB7740EC9811DC86FAA1B92020162A>

66.

Buck M, Hamilton C. The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*. 2011;20(1):47-61. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9388.2011.00703.x

67.

Coombe RJ. Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*. 2005;1(1):35-52. doi:10.1191/1743872105lw010oa

68.

Dutfield G. A critical analysis of the debate on traditional knowledge, drug discovery and patent-based biopiracy. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 2011;33(4):238-244.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID0A36C504C5F11E0AF15C99E7468439C>

69.

Morgera E, Tsoumani E. The Evolution of Benefit Sharing: Linking Biodiversity and Community Livelihoods. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*. 2010;19(2):150-173. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9388.2010.00674.x

70.

John B. Kleba, Dwijen Rangneka. Fairness and equity: interrogating the Nagoya protocol: Special issue. *Law, Environment and Development Journal*. 2013;9(2).

<http://www.lead-journal.org/content/13097.pdf>

71.

Dutfield G, Suthersanen U. Global Intellectual Property Law: Commentary and Materials. Edward Elgar; 2008.

72.

Menescal AK. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. 2005;8(6):761-796. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x

73.

de Beer J. Implementing the World Intellectual Property Organization's Development Agenda. 1st edition.

74.

Amy Kapczynski. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. The Yale Law Journal. 2008;117(5):804-885. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20455812>

75.

Maskus, Keith E. Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. Vanderbilt Law Review. 2000;53:2219-2239. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vanlr53&id=2247&collection=journals&index=journals/vanlr#2247>

76.

May C. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. Global Society. 2008;22(1):97-113. doi:10.1080/13600820701740753

77.

Yu, Peter K. Tale of Two Development Agendas, A. Ohio Northern University Law Review. 2009;35:465-573.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/onulr35&id=469&collection=journals&index=journals/onulr#469>