

# LA366: Global Intellectual Property Law and Policy

[View Online](#)

---

[1]

Amy Kapczynski 2008. The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property. *The Yale Law Journal*. 117, 5 (2008), 804–885.

[2]

Aoki, Keith 2007. Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex. *Michigan State Law Review*. 2007, (2007), 35–70.

[3]

de Beer, J. Implementing the World Intellectual Property Organization's development agenda.

[4]

Brennan, D.J. 2002. The three step test frenzy - why the TRIPs panel decision might be considered per incuriam. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2002), 212–225.

[5]

Buck, M. and Hamilton, C. 2011. The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*. 20, 1 (2011), 47–61. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2011.00703.x>.

[6]

Chon, Margaret 2006. Intellectual Property from Below: Copyright and Capability for Education. U.C. Davis Law Review. 40, (2006).

[7]

Coombe, R.J. 2005. Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference. Law, Culture and the Humanities. 1, 1 (2005), 35–52. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1191/1743872105lw010oa>.

[8]

D. B. Resnik 2003. A Pluralistic Account of Intellectual Property. Journal of Business Ethics. 46, 4 (2003), 319–335.

[9]

David Lange 2003. Reimagining the Public Domain. Law and Contemporary Problems. 66, 1 (2003), 463–483.

[10]

Davies, P. 2013. Access v contract: competing freedoms in the context of copyright limitations and exceptions for libraries. European Intellectual Property Review. 35, 7 (2013), 402–414.

[11]

Dev Gangjee 2007. Say cheese! A sharper image of generic use through the lens of Feta. European Intellectual Property Review. 29, 5 (2007), 172–179.

[12]

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar Country case studies: India.

[13]

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar Country case studies: Kenya.

[14]

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar Review of Literature: Economics.

[15]

Dr Dwijen Rangnekar ed. The Journal of World Intellectual Property. Journal of World Intellectual Property. 13, 2.

[16]

Drahos, P. 1995. Global property rights in information: the story of TRIPS at the GATT. Prometheus. 13, 1 (1995), 6–19. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/08109029508629187>.

[17]

Dutfield, G. 2011. A critical analysis of the debate on traditional knowledge, drug discovery and patent-based biopiracy. European Intellectual Property Review. 33, 4 (2011), 238–244.

[18]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[19]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[20]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[21]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[22]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[23]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[24]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[25]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[26]

Dutfield, G. and Suthersanen, U. 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[27]

Dutfield, Graham and Suthersanen, Uma 2008. Global intellectual property law: commentary and materials. Edward Elgar.

[28]

Dwijen Rangnekar 2013. The Supreme Court Judgment. Economic and Political Weekly. 48, 32 (2013), 39-40.

[29]

Edwin C. Hettinger 1989. Justifying Intellectual Property. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 18, 1 (1989), 31–52.

[30]

Felix Oberholzer-Gee 2010. File Sharing and Copyright. *Innovation Policy and the Economy*. 10, 1 (2010), 19–55.

[31]

Fischer-Lescano, Andreas 2003. Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*. 25, (2003), 999–1046.

[32]

Frederick M. Abbott 2005. The WTO Medicines Decision: World Pharmaceutical Trade and the Protection of Public Health. *The American Journal of International Law*. 99, 2 (2005), 317–358.

[33]

Glenn E. Bugos and Daniel J. Kevles 1992. Plants as Intellectual Property: American Practice, Law, and Policy in World Context. *Osiris*. 7, (1992), 74–104.

[34]

Glynn S. Lunney, Jr. 2001. The Death of Copyright: Digital Technology, Private Copying, and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *Virginia Law Review*. 87, 5 (2001), 813–920.

[35]

Goodin, R.E. et al. 2012. *A companion to contemporary political philosophy*. Wiley-Blackwell.

[36]

Goodin, Robert E. and Pettit, Philip 1998. *A Companion to contemporary political philosophy*. Blackwell.

[37]

Gosseries, Axel et al. 2008. *Intellectual property and theories of justice*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[38]

Handler, Michael 2006. WTO Geographical Indications Dispute, *The Modern Law Review*. 69, (2006), 70–91.

[39]

Hays, T. 2007. Secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 2. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 29, 1 (2007), 15–21.

[40]

Helfer, Laurence R. 2004. Regime Shifting: The TRIPs Agreement and New Dynamics of International Intellectual Property Lawmaking. *Yale Journal of International Law*. 29, (2004), 1–83.

[41]

Isabella Alexander 2007. Criminalising Copyright: A Story of Publishers, Pirates and Pieces of Eight. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 66, 3 (2007), 625–656.

[42]

James Boyle 2003. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 66, 1 (2003), 33–74.

[43]

John B. Kleba and Dwijen Rangneka 2013. Fairness and equity: interrogating the Nagoya protocol: Special issue. *Law, Environment and Development Journal*. 9, 2 (2013).

[44]

Kuanpoth, J. 2006. Patents and access to medicines in Thailand – the DDI case and beyond. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2 (2006), 149–158.

[45]

Lawrence Lessig 2002. The Architecture of Innovation. *Duke Law Journal*. 51, 6 (2002), 1783–1801.

[46]

Lawrence Liang Porous Legalities and Avenues of Participation. *Sarai Reader*.

[47]

Madhavi Sunder 2007. The Invention of Traditional Knowledge. *Law and Contemporary Problems*. 70, 2 (2007), 97–124.

[48]

Maskus, K.E. and Penubarti, M. 1995. How trade-related are intellectual property rights? *Journal of International Economics*. 39, 3–4 (1995), 227–248.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996\(95\)01377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1996(95)01377-8).

[49]

Maskus, Keith E. 2000. Lessons from Studying the International Economics of Intellectual Property Rights. *Vanderbilt Law Review*. 53, (2000), 2219–2239.

[50]

Matthews, D. 2006. From the August 30, 2003 WTO decision to the December 6, 2005 agreement on an amendment to TRIPS: improving access to medicines in developing countries? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2006), 91–130.

[51]

May, C. 2008. The World Intellectual Property Organisation and the Development Agenda. *Global Society*. 22, 1 (2008), 97–113. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13600820701740753>.

[52]

Menescal, A.K. 2005. Changing WIPO'S Ways?. The 2004 Development Agenda in Historical Perspective. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 8, 6 (2005), 761–796. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2005.tb00277.x>.

[53]

Morgera, E. and Tsioumani, E. 2010. The Evolution of Benefit Sharing: Linking Biodiversity and Community Livelihoods. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*. 19, 2 (2010), 150–173. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2010.00674.x>.

[54]

Mr. Ivan Ivanov et al. Country case studies: Turkey.

[55]

Muriel Lightbourne Country case studies: Ethiopia.

[56]

Muriel Lightbourne and Graham Dutfield Review of Literature: Law.

[57]

Muriel Lightbourne and Lois Muraguri Country case studies: China.

[58]



Oguamanam, Chidi 2006. Regime Tension in the Intellectual Property Rights Arena: Farmers' Rights and Post-TRIPS Counter Regime Trends. *Dalhousie Law Journal*. 29, (2006), 413–453.

[59]

Orit Fischman Afori 2013. The battle over public e-libraries - taking stock and moving ahead. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 44, 4 (2013), 392–417.

[60]

Pogge, T.W. 2005. Human rights and global health: a research program. *Metaphilosophy*. 36, 1–2 (2005), 182–209. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2005.00362.x>.

[61]

Pottage, Alain 2007. Organisms and Manufactures: On the History of Plant Inventions. *Melbourne University Law Review*. 31, (2007), 539–568.

[62]

Rangnekar, D. 2007. Context and Ambiguity in the Making of Law: A Comment on Amending India's Patent Act. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 10, 5 (2007), 365–387. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2007.00327.x>.

[63]

Rangnekar, D. 2014. Geneva Rhetoric, National Reality: The Political Economy of Introducing Plant Breeders' Rights in Kenya. *New Political Economy*. 19, 3 (2014), 359–383. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.796445>.

[64]

Rangnekar, D. 2011. Remaking place: the social construction of a Geographical Indication for Feni. *Environment and Planning A*. 43, 9 (2011), 2043–2059. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43259>.

[65]

Raustiala, K. and Munzer, S.R. 2007. The Global Struggle over Geographic Indications. *European Journal of International Law*. 18, 2 (2007), 337–365.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chm016>.

[66]

Scherer, F.M. 2002. Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 5, 4 (2002), 913–939.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/5.4.913>.

[67]

Schroeder, Doris Justice and the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 23, 3, 267–280.

[68]

Sell, S. and May, C. 2001. Moments in Law: Contestation and Settlement in the History of Intellectual Property. *Review of International Political Economy*. 8, 3 (2001), 467–500.

[69]

Stephanie Bucher 2008. The protection of genetic resources and indigenous knowledge: disclosure of origin on the international and Latin-American agenda. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 39, 1 (2008), 35–50.

[70]

Susan K. Sell and Aseem Prakash 2004. Using Ideas Strategically: The Contest between Business and NGO Networks in Intellectual Property Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 48, 1 (2004), 143–175.

[71]

Tansey, G. and Rajotte, T. 2008. The future control of food: a guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security. Earthscan.

[72]

Thomas Hays 2006. The evolution and decentralisation of secondary liability for infringements of copyright-protected works: Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 28, 12 (2006), 617–624.

[73]

Tomer Broude 2005. Taking 'Trade and Culture' Seriously: Geographical Indications and Cultural Protection in WTO Law. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*. 26, 4 (2005), 623–692.

[74]

Van Overwalle, Geertrui 1998. Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches. *IDEA: The Journal of Law and Technology*. 39, (1998), 143–194.

[75]

Yu, Peter K. 2009. Tale of Two Development Agendas, A. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*. 35, (2009), 465–573.

[76]

Country case studies: Bulgaria.

[77]

Final report: Assessing the economic implications of different models for implementing the requirement to protect plant varieties Report Work Package 6 Impacts of the IPR Rules on Sustainable Development.