

LA201: General Principles of Constitutional and Administrative Law

[View Online](#)

1

Bradley AW, Ewing KD, Knight C. Constitutional and administrative law. Sixteenth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2014.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2741966

2

Elliott M, Thomas R. Public law. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2017.

3

Jowell JL, Oliver D, O'Cinneide C. The changing constitution. Eighth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

4

Leyland P, Anthony G. Textbook on administrative law. 7th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

5

Craig PP. Administrative law. 7th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2012.

6

Harlow C, Rawlings R. Law and administration. 3rd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University

Press 2009.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=238868&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

7

Bingham TH. The rule of law. London: : Allen Lane 2010.

8

Leyland P. The constitution of the United Kingdom: a contextual analysis. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: : Hart Publishing 2012.

9

Loughlin M. The British constitution: a very short introduction. First edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2013.

10

Bogdanor V. The new British Constitution. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2009.

11

King A. The British constitution. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199576982.001.0001>

12

Bogdanor V, British Academy. The British constitution in the twentieth century. Oxford: : Published for the British Academy by Oxford University Press 2003.

13

Horne A, Drewry G, editors. Parliament and the law. Second edition. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2018.

14

Bamforth N, Leyland P, editors. Accountability in the contemporary constitution. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199670024.001.0001>

15

Tomkins, Adam. Public law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.

16

Campbell T, Ewing KD, Tomkins A. Sceptical essays on human rights. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2001. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2662633

17

Oliver D. Constitutional reform in the UK. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.

18

Johnson, Nevil. Reshaping the British constitution: essays in political interpretation. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2004.

19

Turpin C, Tomkins A. British government and the constitution: text and materials. 7th ed. Cambridge, UK: : Cambridge University Press 2012.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=329861&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

20

Allan TRS. The sovereignty of law: freedom, constitution and common law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199685066.001.0001>

01

21

Kavanagh A. Constitutional review under the UK Human Rights Act. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780511724374>

22

Young AL. Parliamentary sovereignty and the Human Rights Act. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2009.
<http://0-portal.igpublish.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iglibrary/search/HARTB0000473.html>

23

Hickman T, Craig PP. Public law after the Human Rights Act. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2010.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2544638

24

Brady ADP. Proportionality and deference under the UK Human Rights Act: an institutionally sensitive approach. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

25

Jackson and others (Appellants) v. Her Majesty's Attorney General. [2005] UKHL 56.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldjudgmt/jd051013/jack.pdf>

26

Thoburn v Sunderland City Council [2002] EWHC 195 (Admin) (18 February 2002).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2002/195.html>

27

Campbell D, Young J. The metric martyrs and the entrenchment jurisprudence of Lord Justice Laws. *Public Law* 2002;:399–406.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I79F6E3A1E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

28

Barber NW. The afterlife of Parliamentary sovereignty. *International Journal of Constitutional Law* 2011;**9**:144–54. doi:10.1093/icon/mor023

29

Young AL. Sovereignty: Demise, afterlife, or partial resurrection? *International Journal of Constitutional Law* 2011;**9**:163–71. doi:10.1093/icon/mor028

30

Allan TRS. Parliamentary sovereignty: law, politics, and revolution. *Law Quarterly Review* 1997;:443–52.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I79F70AB0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

31

Gordon M. The conceptual foundations of parliamentary sovereignty: reconsidering Jennings and Wade. *Public Law* 2009;:519–43.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3E10D5B1727E11DE8047B748D67C18CA>

32

Goldsworthy JD. *The sovereignty of Parliament: history and philosophy*. Oxford: : Clarendon 2001.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199248087.001.0001>

33

Laws J. Law and democracy. *Public Law* 1995;:72–93.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/>

maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID390A520E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028

34

Goldsworthy JD. Parliamentary sovereignty: contemporary debates. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=277078&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

35

Wicks E. The evolution of a constitution: eight key moments in British constitutional history . Oxford: : Hart Pub 2006.

36

Rawlings R, Leyland P, Young AL, editors. Sovereignty and the law: domestic, European and international perspectives. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199684069.001.0001>

37

MacCormick N. Beyond the Sovereign State. The Modern Law Review 1993;**56**:1-18.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1096572>

38

Craig PP. Formal and substantive conceptions of the rule of law: an analytical framework. Public Law 1997;;467-87.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID15274F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

39

Entick v Carrington & Ors [1765] EWHC KB J98 (02 November 1765).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/KB/1765/J98.html>

40

M v Home Office [1993] UKHL 5 (27 July 1993).
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1993/5.html>

41

Allan TRS. Questions of legality and legitimacy: Form and substance in British constitutionalism. *International Journal of Constitutional Law* 2011;**9**:155–62.
doi:10.1093/icon/mor017

42

Young AL. Rule of Law in the United Kingdom: Formal or Substantive, The. *Vienna Online Journal on International Constitutional Law* 6 (Law Journal Library) 2012;**6**.
http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vioincl6&g_sent=1&collection=journals&id=259

43

Allan TRS. *Law, liberty, and justice: the legal foundations of British constitutionalism*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1994.

44

Allan TRS. *Constitutional justice: a liberal theory of the rule of law*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2005.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199267880.001.0001>

45

Jowell J. Parliamentary sovereignty under the new constitutional hypothesis. *Public Law* 2006;:562–80.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF0D05E100D4A11DB9253D431BB978268>

46

Woolf, Lord. *Droit public - English style*. *Public Law*

1995;:57-71.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID38EF770E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

47

Sedley S. Human rights: a twenty-first century agenda. Public Law 1995;:386-400.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID38FBAC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

48

European Communities Act 1972. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1972/68/contents>

49

R v Secretary of State for Transport ex p Factortame Ltd (Interim Relief Order) [1990] UKHL 7 (26 July 1990). <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1990/7.html>

50

HS2 Alliance Case.
https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC_2013_0172_Judgment.pdf

51

Craig P. Constitutionalising constitutional law: HS2. Public Law 2014;:373-92.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID5A13AB0F30B11E385A2E4EF39C65A9A>

52

Elliott M. Constitutional Legislation, European Union Law and the Nature of the United Kingdom's Contemporary Constitution. European Constitutional Law Review 2014;**10**:379-92.<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/1648045830?accountid=14888>

53

Craig, Paul. The European Union Act 2011: Locks, limits and legality. Common Market Law Review; **48**
:1915-44. <http://0-www.kluwerlawonline.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2011074>

54

Peers S. European integration and the European Union Act 2011: an irresistible force meets an immovable object? Public Law 2013;:119-34. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBA050BB03F0711E2990CBEA3BDA0BE6D>

55

Gordon M, Dougan M. The United Kingdom's European Union Act 2011: 'who won the bloody war anyway?' European Law Review 2012; **37**
:3-30. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID13B043050A411E18A8AA01CC7CE21D9>

56

Wade HWR. What has happened to the sovereignty of Parliament? Law Quarterly Review 1991;:1-4. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ICD256D10E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

57

House of Commons - The EU Bill and Parliamentary Sovereignty - European Scrutiny Committee.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/633/63302.htm>

58

House of Commons - The EU Bill: Restrictions on Treaties and Decisions relating to the EU - European Scrutiny Committee.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/682/68202.htm>

59

R (on the application of Nicklinson and another) (Appellants) v Ministry of Justice (Respondent), R (on the application of AM) (AP) (Respondent) v The Director of Public Prosecutions (Appellant) [2014] UKSC 38.

https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf

60

Finnis J. A British 'Convention right' to assistance in suicide? Law Quarterly Review 2015; **131**

:1–8.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I34BAA2907BAE11E49BBC911AA7DF78F5>

61

Draghici C. The blanket ban on assisted suicide: between moral paternalism and utilitarian justice. European Human Rights Law Review

2015;;286–97.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I293F05E026F411E58A24809D3D7EB82F>

62

Wicks E. The Supreme Court Judgment in Nicklinson: One Step Forward on Assisted Dying; Two Steps Back on Human Rights: A Commentary on The Supreme Court Judgment in R (Nicklinson) V Ministry Of Justice; R (AM) V Director Of Public Prosecutions [2014] UKSC 38. Medical Law Review 2015;**23**:144–56. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwu031

63

Mullock A. The Supreme Court decision in Nicklinson: human rights, criminal wrongs and the dilemma of death. Professional Negligence 2015;**31**

:18–28.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I9DF4F830B51711E49F8488D430410EF0>

64

Beaton R. The Boundaries of Proportionality Review and the End of Life. Judicial Review 2014;**19**:135–9. doi:10.5235/10854681.19.3.135

65

Kavanagh A. Defending deference in public law and constitutional theory. *Law Quarterly Review* 2010;:222-50.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF3B510D22E5211DFBE2FA967ED04D069>

66

Young AL. In Defence of Due Deference. *Modern Law Review* 2009;**72**:554-80. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00757.x

67

Allan TRS. Judicial deference and judicial review: legal doctrine and legal theory. *Law Quarterly Review* 2011;:96-117.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I20DA9E6008C611E0A451F66F817AC0EE>

68

Allan TRS. Human Rights and Judicial Review: A Critique of "Due Deference". *The Cambridge Law Journal* 2006;**65**:671-95. doi:10.1017/S0008197306007264

69

Clayton R. Judicial deference and 'democratic dialogue': the legitimacy of judicial intervention under the Human Rights Act 1998. *Public Law* 2004;:33-47.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84927EF0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

70

Jowell J. Judicial deference: servility, civility or institutional capacity? *Public Law* 2003;:592-601.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I8487F7A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

71

Klug F. Judicial deference under the Human Rights Act 1998. *European Human Rights Law Review* 2003;:125–33.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A25D70E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

72

Edwards RA. Judicial Deference under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review* 2002;**65**:859–82. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00413

73

Obergefell v. Hodges No. 14–556.
2014.http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/14pdf/14-556_3204.pdf

74

Wells CE. Obergefell v Hodges. *European Human Rights Law Review* 2015;:406–12.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I88B2E3B0411211E59CB8B97FD04D5F6C>

75

Bellinger (FC) (Appellant) v. Bellinger [2003] UKHL 21.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200203/ldjudgmt/jd030410/bellin-1.htm>

76

Ghaidan (Appellant) v. Godin-Mendoza (FC) (Respondent) [2004] UKHL 30.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200304/ldjudgmt/jd040621/gha-1.htm>

77

Young AL. Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza: avoiding the deference trap. *Public Law* 2005;:23–34.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I8499AAE0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

78

Kavanagh A. The Role of Parliamentary Intention in Adjudication under the Human Rights Act 1998. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 2006;**26**:179–206. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqi049

79

Young AL. Is dialogue working under the Human Rights Act 1998? *Public Law* 2011;:773–800.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID7EAF690E23C11E080A9C399189E1576>

80

Sales P, Ekins R. Rights-consistent interpretation and the Human Rights Act 1998. *Law Quarterly Review* 2011;:217–38.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7DFA62304E5611E0B91FA9F94CE59879>

81

Moj. Commission on a Bill of Rights: The Choice Before Us.
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130206065653/https://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/about/cbr/uk-bill-rights-vol-1.pdf>

82

Coservatives on Bill of Rights.
https://www.conservatives.com/~media/files/downloadable%20Files/human_rights.pdf

83

Gearty C. On fantasy island: British politics, English judges and the European Convention on Human Rights. *European Human Rights Law Review* 2015;:1–8.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IAD6D8CD0ADF811E4A8D6F1E3CD2AEA2E>

84

Human Rights Act Reform | UK Constitutional Law Association.
<http://ukconstitutionallaw.org/tag/human-rights-act-reform/>

85

Klug F, Williams A. The choice before us? The report of the Commission on a Bill of Rights. Public Law 2013;:459–68.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I00EDF7D0D7C911E29A79B66BE8E69D4B>

86

Elliott M. A damp squib in the long grass: the report of the Commission on a Bill of Rights. European Human Rights Law Review 2013;**2**:137–51.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I4BCCF680A3E811E2A94CA75C16A20EA4>

87

Heydon JD. Are bills of rights necessary in common law systems? Law Quarterly Review 2014;:392–412.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7CAEEF40F31C11E3847EA720781C7CD8>

88

Foster S. Repealing the Human Rights Act 1998. Criminal Law & Justice Weekly 2015;**179**.<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5HK8-1J01-DYJF-G17K&csi=280390&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

89

Ewing KD. The futility of the Human Rights Act. Public Law 2004;:829–52.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A8ED21E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

90

Ewing KD, Tham J-C. The continuing futility of the Human Rights Act. Public Law

2008;:668-93.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I00EAB8B099A111DD9611E019A6BFBE26>

91

Kavanagh A. Judging the judges under the Human Rights Act: deference, disillusionment and the 'war on terror'. Public Law
2009;:287-304.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IFC2512E1231F11DEBA18CA797BE6038F>

92

Lester A. The utility of the Human rights Act: a reply to Keith Ewing. Public Law
2005;:249-58.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A43231E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

93

Tomkins A. National security and the role of the court: a changed landscape? Law Quarterly Review
2010;:543-67.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I0756BA21C2CE11DFA880E34515B10C27>

94

McKeever D. The Human Rights Act and anti-terrorism in the UK: one great leap forward by Parliament, but are the courts able to slow the steady retreat that has followed? Public Law
2010;:110-39.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I67F11560E15B11DE88C6C8FBE711743E>

95

Gearty CA. Liberty and security. Cambridge, UK: : Polity 2013.

96

Murkens JEK. The Quest for Constitutionalism in UK Public Law Discourse. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2009;**29**:427-55. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqp020

97

Gee G, Webber GCN. What Is a Political Constitution? Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2010;
30:273–99. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqq013

98

Gyorfi T. Between Common Law Constitutionalism and Procedural Democracy. Oxford
Journal of Legal Studies 2013;**33**:317–38. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqt004

99

Poole T. Back to the Future? Unearthing the Theory of Common Law Constitutionalism.
Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2003;**23**:435–54. doi:10.1093/ojls/23.3.435

100

Himsworth CMG. Devolution and its Jurisdictional Asymmetries. Modern Law Review 2007;
70:31–58. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2006.00625.x

101

McLean I, McMillan A. State of the union: Unionism and the alternatives in the United
Kingdom since 1707. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2005.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/0199258201.001.0001>

102

Jones TH, Williams JM. Wales as a jurisdiction. Public Law
2004;:78–101.[http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=
/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I847E33A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A](http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I847E33A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A)

103

Jones TH. Wales, Devolution and Sovereignty. Statute Law Review 2012;**33**:151–62.
doi:10.1093/slr/hms023

104

McLean I, Peterson S. Transitional constitutionalism in the United Kingdom. Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law 2014;**3**:1113-35.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I6587228063DF11E58BD8DD30ADE7CC2B>

105

Aroney N. Reserved matters, legislative purpose and the referendum on Scottish independence. Public Law 2014;:422-45.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID5A24C20F30B11E385A2E4EF39C65A9A>

106

Elliott M. The Proposed Scotland Bill: The Constitutional Implications of Draft Clauses 1 and 2. SSRN Electronic Journal Published Online First: 2015. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2557451

107

Taylor RB. Foundational and regulatory conventions: exploring the constitutional significance of Britain's dependency upon conventions. Public Law 2015;:614-32.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD>

108

Parliamentary Research Paper 04/31.
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/RP04-31>

109

Research Briefings - Individual ministerial accountability (2012).
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN06467>

110

Flinders M. The enduring centrality of individual ministerial responsibility within the British constitution. *The Journal of Legislative Studies* 2000;**6**:73–92.
doi:10.1080/13572330008420632

111

Barendt E. Separation of powers and constitutional government. *Public Law* 1995;:599–619.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID3936440E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

112

Barber NW. Prelude to the Separation of Powers. *The Cambridge Law Journal* 2001;**60**:59–88. doi:10.1017/S0008197301000629

113

White R. Separation of powers and legislative supremacy. *Law Quarterly Review* 2011;:456–74.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3C19CA009A2711E09D29F4D8A5F97F11>

114

Stephenson S. The Supreme Court's renewed interest in Autochthonous Constitutionalism. *Public Law Published Online First*: 2015.<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad6ada60000016436a303cb1194d14a&docguid=I4508F36010F311E5B853A6F356F47180&hitguid=I4508F36010F311E5B853A6F356F47180&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1∓td=1&crumb-action=append&context=2&resolvein=true>

115

Bjorge E. Fundamental rights at English (and European?) common law. *Law Quarterly Review* 2015;:192–6.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I9010B212C81111E4A0E9E7C45C1190E1>

116

Masterman R, Wheatle S. A Common law Resurgence in Rights Protection. European Human Rights Law Review 2015;**1**:57-65.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IAD718470ADF811E4A8D6F1E3CD2AEA2E>

117

Clayton R. The empire strikes back: common law rights and the Human Rights Act. Public Law 2015;:3-12.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I28D78E607B4611E4A15DE99780331015>

118

Russell M. The contemporary House of Lords: Westminster bicameralism revived. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

119

Ballinger C. The House of Lords, 1911-2011: a century of non-reform. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

120

Melton J, Stuart C, Helen D. To Codify or not to Codify?
<https://www.ucl.ac.uk/constitution-unit/publications/tabs/unit-publications/162.pdf>

121

Blick A. Codifying – or not codifying – the UK constitution: A Literature Review. 2011.[http://www.parliament.uk/pagefiles/56954/CPCS%20Literature%20Review%20\(4\).pdf](http://www.parliament.uk/pagefiles/56954/CPCS%20Literature%20Review%20(4).pdf)

122

A New Magna Carta. 2014.<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmpolcon/463/463.pdf>

123

Walter J, Finey W, Walter W, et al. The times. Published Online First: 1788.<http://0-infotrac.galegroup.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/itweb/warwick?db=TTDA>

124

The guardian. Published Online First:
1980.http://0-www.bpe.europresse.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/ip/intro.asp?user=WARWICKT_1

125

The economist. <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/publication/41716>

126

New statesman. Published Online First:
1996.http://0-www.bpe.europresse.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/ip/intro.asp?user=WARWICKT_1

127

[illegible]

128

[illegible]

129

Public law. Published Online First:
1956.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.l66580770A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&nnd=2&athens=true>

130

Public law.

131

William S. Hein & Company. The law quarterly review. Published Online First:
1885.<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/lqr&collection=journals>

132

The Law quarterly review. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1742833

133

JSTOR (Organization), William S. Hein & Company. The modern law review. Published Online First:
1937.[http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1468-2230](http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1468-2230)

134

The Modern law review.

135

Cambridge University Law Society, University of Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, et al. The Cambridge law journal. Published Online First:
1921.http://0-journals.cambridge.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/jid_Clj

136

The Cambridge law journal. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1737980

137

Oxford journal of legal studies.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1743939

138

Society of Public Teachers of Law (London, England). Legal studies: The Journal of the Society of Legal Scholars.

139

Lee RG. Blackstone's statutes on public law and human rights 2010-2011. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

140

Legislation.gov.uk. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga>

141

British and Irish Legal Information Institute. <http://www.bailii.org/>

142

Bradley AW. The Courts and the Machinery of Justice. In: Constitutional and administrative law. New York: : Pearson Longman 2010.
362-94.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=238b8e66-8543-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

143

Craig P. Britain in the European Union. In: The Changing Constitution. 2007.
84–107.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fe132c05-8b43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

144

Dyke T. Judicial review in an age of austerity. Judicial Review 2011;**3**
:202–15.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cf77d1d2-8e43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

145

McEldowney J. Parliament. In: Public law. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2002.
59–94.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=dc70e3c1-b143-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

146

Partington M. Law and Society: The purposes and functions of Law. In: An Introduction to the English Legal System. 2006.
13–30.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d59df230-bd43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

147

Turpin C, Tomkins A. The Ideas of the Constitution. In: British government and the constitution: text and materials. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.
33–137.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cd674a39-dc43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>