

LA201: General Principles of Constitutional and Administrative Law

[View Online](#)

A New Magna Carta. (2014).

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmpolcon/463/463.pdf>

Allan, T. R. S. (1994). Law, liberty, and justice: the legal foundations of British constitutionalism. Clarendon Press.

Allan, T. R. S. (1997). Parliamentary sovereignty: law, politics, and revolution. Law Quarterly Review, 113(Jul), 443–452.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I79F70AB0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

Allan, T. R. S. (2005). Constitutional justice: a liberal theory of the rule of law [Electronic resource]. Oxford University Press.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199267880.001.0001>

Allan, T. R. S. (2006). Human Rights and Judicial Review: A Critique of "Due Deference". The Cambridge Law Journal, 65(3), 671–695. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197306007264>

Allan, T. R. S. (2011a). Judicial deference and judicial review: legal doctrine and legal theory. Law Quarterly Review, 127(Jan), 96–117.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I20DA9E6008C611E0A451F66F817AC0EE>

Allan, T. R. S. (2011b). Questions of legality and legitimacy: Form and substance in British constitutionalism. International Journal of Constitutional Law, 9(1), 155–162.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mor017>

Allan, T. R. S. (2013). The sovereignty of law: freedom, constitution and common law. Oxford University Press.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199685066.001.0001>

Aroney, N. (2014). Reserved matters, legislative purpose and the referendum on Scottish independence. Public Law, Jul, 422–445.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID5A24C20F30B11E385A2E4EF39C65A9A>

Ballinger, C. (2012). The House of Lords, 1911–2011: a century of non-reform: Vol. Hart

studies in constitutional law. Hart.

Bamforth, N., & Leyland, P. (Eds.). (2014). *Accountability in the contemporary constitution*. Oxford University Press.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199670024.001.0001>

Barber, N. W. (2001). Prelude to the Separation of Powers. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, 60 (1), 59–88. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197301000629>

Barber, N. W. (2011). The afterlife of Parliamentary sovereignty. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 9(1), 144–154. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mor023>

Barendt, E. (1995). Separation of powers and constitutional government. *Public Law*, Win, 599–619.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID3936440E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Beaton, R. (2014). The Boundaries of Proportionality Review and the End of Life. *Judicial Review*, 19(3), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.5235/10854681.19.3.135>

Bellinger (FC) (Appellant) v. Bellinger [2003] UKHL 21. (n.d.).
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200203/ldjudgmt/jd030410/bellin-1.htm>

Bingham, T. H. (2010). *The rule of law*. Allen Lane.

Bjorge, E. (2015). Fundamental rights at English (and European?) common law. *Law Quarterly Review*, 131(Apr), 192–196.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I9010B212C81111E4A0E9E7C45C1190E1>

Blick, A. (2011). Codifying – or not codifying – the UK constitution: A Literature Review. Centre for Political and Constitutional Studies King's College London.
[http://www.parliament.uk/pagefiles/56954/CPCS%20Literature%20Review%20\(4\).pdf](http://www.parliament.uk/pagefiles/56954/CPCS%20Literature%20Review%20(4).pdf)

Bogdanor, V. (2009). *The new British Constitution*. Hart Pub.

Bogdanor, V. & British Academy. (2003). *The British constitution in the twentieth century*. Published for the British Academy by Oxford University Press.

Bradley, A. W. (2010). The Courts and the Machinery of Justice. In *Constitutional and administrative law* (15th ed, pp. 362–394). Pearson Longman.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=238b8e66-8543-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

Bradley, A. W., Ewing, K. D., & Knight, C. (2014). *Constitutional and administrative law* (Sixteenth edition). Pearson.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2741966

Brady, A. D. P. (2012). *Proportionality and deference under the UK Human Rights Act: an institutionally sensitive approach*. Cambridge University Press.

Flinders, M. (2000). The enduring centrality of individual ministerial responsibility within the British constitution. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 6(3), 73–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13572330008420632>

Foster, S. (2015). Repealing the Human Rights Act 1998. *Criminal Law & Justice Weekly*, 179(46).
<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5HK8-1J01-DYJF-G17K&csi=280390&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Gearty, C. (2015). On fantasy island: British politics, English judges and the European Convention on Human Rights. *European Human Rights Law Review*, 1, 1–8.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IAD6D8CD0ADF811E4A8D6F1E3CD2AEA2E>

Gearty, C. A. (2013). Liberty and security. *Polity*.

Gee, G., & Webber, G. C. N. (2010). What Is a Political Constitution? *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 30(2), 273–299. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq013>

Ghaidan (Appellant) v. Godin-Mendoza (FC) (Respondent) [2004] UKHL 30. (n.d.).
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200304/ldjudgmt/jd040621/gha-1.htm>

Goldsworthy, J. D. (2001). The sovereignty of Parliament: history and philosophy [Electronic resource]. Clarendon.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199248087.001.0001>

Goldsworthy, J. D. (2010). Parliamentary sovereignty: contemporary debates: Vol. Cambridge studies in constitutional law [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=277078&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

Gordon, M. (2009). The conceptual foundations of parliamentary sovereignty: reconsidering Jennings and Wade. *Public Law*, Jul, 519–543.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3E10D5B1727E11DE8047B748D67C18CA>

Gordon, M., & Dougan, M. (2012). The United Kingdom's European Union Act 2011: 'who won the bloody war anyway?' *European Law Review*, 37(1), 3–30.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID13B043050A411E18A8AA01CC7CE21D9>

Gyorfi, T. (2013). Between Common Law Constitutionalism and Procedural Democracy. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 33(2), 317–338. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqt004>

Harlow, C., & Rawlings, R. (2009). Law and administration: Vol. Law in context (3rd ed) [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=238868&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

- Heydon, J. D. (2014). Are bills of rights necessary in common law systems? *Law Quarterly Review*, 130(Jul), 392–412.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7CAEEF40F31C11E3847EA720781C7CD8>
- Hickman, T., & Craig, P. P. (2010). *Public law after the Human Rights Act*. Hart Pub.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2544638
- Himsworth, C. M. G. (2007). Devolution and its Jurisdictional Asymmetries. *Modern Law Review*, 70(1), 31–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2006.00625.x>
- Horne, A., & Drewry, G. (Eds.). (2018). *Parliament and the law: Vol. volume 8* (Second edition). Hart Publishing.
- House of Commons - The EU Bill and Parliamentary Sovereignty - European Scrutiny Committee. (n.d.).
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/633/63302.htm>
- House of Commons - The EU Bill: Restrictions on Treaties and Decisions relating to the EU - European Scrutiny Committee. (n.d.).
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/682/68202.htm>
- HS2 Alliance Case. (n.d.).
https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC_2013_0172_Judgment.pdf
- Human Rights Act Reform | UK Constitutional Law Association. (n.d.).
<http://ukconstitutionallaw.org/tag/human-rights-act-reform/>
- Jackson and others (Appellants) v. Her Majesty's Attorney General. [2005] UKHL 56. (n.d.). <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldjudgmt/jd051013/jack.pdf>
- Johnson, Nevil. (2004). *Reshaping the British constitution: essays in political interpretation*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jones, T. H. (2012). Wales, Devolution and Sovereignty. *Statute Law Review*, 33(2), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.1093/slr/hms023>
- Jones, T. H., & Williams, J. M. (2004). Wales as a jurisdiction. *Public Law*, Spr, 78–101.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I847E33A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>
- Jowell, J. (2003). Judicial deference: servility, civility or institutional capacity? *Public Law*, 592–601.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I8487F7A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>
- Jowell, J. (2006). Parliamentary sovereignty under the new constitutional hypothesis. *Public Law*, Aut, 562–580.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF0D05E100D4A11DB9253D431BB978268>

Jowell, J. L., Oliver, D., & O'Cinneide, C. (2015). *The changing constitution* (Eighth edition). Oxford University Press.

JSTOR (Organization) & William S. Hein & Company. (1937). *The modern law review* [Electronic resource].
[http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1468-2230](http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1468-2230)

Kavanagh, A. (2006). *The Role of Parliamentary Intention in Adjudication under the Human Rights Act 1998*. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 26(1), 179–206.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqi049>

Kavanagh, A. (2009a). *Constitutional review under the UK Human Rights Act* [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780511724374>

Kavanagh, A. (2009b). Judging the judges under the Human Rights Act: deference, disillusionment and the 'war on terror'. *Public Law*, Apr, 287–304.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IFC2512E1231F11DEBA18CA797BE6038F>

Kavanagh, A. (2010). Defending deference in public law and constitutional theory. *Law Quarterly Review*, 126(Apr), 222–250.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF3B510D22E5211DFBE2FA967ED04D069>

King, A. (2009). *The British constitution* [Electronic resource]. Oxford University Press.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199576982.001.0001>

Klug, F. (2003). Judicial deference under the Human Rights Act 1998. *European Human Rights Law Review*, 2, 125–133.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A25D70E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

Klug, F., & Williams, A. (2013). The choice before us? The report of the Commission on a Bill of Rights. *Public Law*, Jul, 459–468.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I00EDF7D0D7C911E29A79B66BE8E69D4B>

Laws, J. (1995). *Law and democracy*. *Public Law*, Spr, 72–93.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID390A520E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Lee, R. G. (2010). *Blackstone's statutes on public law and human rights 2010-2011: Vol. Blackstone's statutes series*. Oxford University Press.

Legislation.gov.uk. (n.d.). <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga>

Lester, A. (2005). The utility of the Human rights Act: a reply to Keith Ewing. *Public Law*,

Sum, 249–258.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A43231E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

Leyland, P. (2012). *The constitution of the United Kingdom: a contextual analysis: Vol. Constitutional systems of the world* (2nd ed). Hart Publishing.

Leyland, P., & Anthony, G. (2013). *Textbook on administrative law* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Loughlin, M. (2013). *The British constitution: a very short introduction: Vol. Very Short Introductions* (First edition). Oxford University Press.

M v Home Office [1993] UKHL 5 (27 July 1993). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1993/5.html>

MacCormick, N. (1993). Beyond the Sovereign State. *The Modern Law Review*, 56(1), 1–18.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1096572>

Masterman, R., & Wheatle, S. (2015). A Common law Resurgence in Rights Protection. *European Human Rights Law Review*, 1, 57–65.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IAD718470ADF811E4A8D6F1E3CD2AEA2E>

McEldowney, J. (2002). Parliament. In *Public law: Vol. Sweet&Maxwell's textbook series* (3rd ed, pp. 59–94). Sweet & Maxwell.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=dc70e3c1-b143-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

McKeever, D. (2010). The Human Rights Act and anti-terrorism in the UK: one great leap forward by Parliament, but are the courts able to slow the steady retreat that has followed? *Public Law*, Jan, 110–139.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I67F11560E15B11DE88C6C8FBE711743E>

McLean, I., & McMillan, A. (2005). *State of the union: Unionism and the alternatives in the United Kingdom since 1707* [Electronic resource]. Oxford University Press.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/0199258201.001.0001>

McLean, I., & Peterson, S. (2014). Transitional constitutionalism in the United Kingdom. *Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 3(4), 1113–1135.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I6587228063DF11E58BD8DD30ADE7CC2B>

Melton, J., Stuart, C., & Helen, D. (n.d.). To Codify or not to Codify? The Constitution Unit.
<https://www.ucl.ac.uk/constitution-unit/publications/tabs/unit-publications/162.pdf>

MoJ. (n.d.). Commission on a Bill of Rights: The Choice Before Us. IMB.
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130206065653/https://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/about/cbr/uk-bill-rights-vol-1.pdf>

Mullock, A. (2015). The Supreme Court decision in Nicklinson: human rights, criminal

wrongs and the dilemma of death. *Professional Negligence*, 31(1), 18–28.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I9DF4F830B51711E49F8488D430410EF0>

Murkens, J. E. K. (2009). The Quest for Constitutionalism in UK Public Law Discourse. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 29(3), 427–455. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqp020>

New statesman. (1996). [Electronic resource].
http://0-www.bpe.europresse.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/ip/intro.asp?user=WARWICK_T_1

Obergefell v. Hodges No. 14–556. (2014). Supreme Court of the United States.
http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/14pdf/14-556_3204.pdf

Oliver, D. (2003). *Constitutional reform in the UK*. Oxford University Press.

Oxford journal of legal studies. (n.d.).
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1743939

Parliamentary Research Paper 04/31. (n.d.).
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/RP04-31>

Partington, M. (2006). Law and Society: The purposes and functions of Law. In *An Introduction to the English Legal System* (pp. 13–30).
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d59df230-bd43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

Peers, S. (2013). European integration and the European Union Act 2011: an irresistible force meets an immovable object? *Public Law*, Jan, 119–134.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBA050BB03F0711E2990CBEA3BDA0BE6D>

Poole, T. (2003). Back to the Future? Unearthing the Theory of Common Law Constitutionalism. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 23(3), 435–454.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/23.3.435>

Public law. (n.d.).

Public law. (1956).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.l66580770A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&ndd=2&athens=true>

R (on the application of Nicklinson and another) (Appellants) v Ministry of Justice (Respondent), R (on the application of AM) (AP) (Respondent) v The Director of Public Prosecutions (Appellant) [2014] UKSC 38. (n.d.).
https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf

R v Secretary of State for Transport ex p Factortame Ltd (Interim Relief Order) [1990] UKHL 7 (26 July 1990). (n.d.). <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1990/7.html>

Rawlings, R., Leyland, P., & Young, A. L. (Eds.). (2014). *Sovereignty and the law: domestic, European and international perspectives*. Oxford University Press.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199684069.001.0001>

Research Briefings - Individual ministerial accountability (2012). (n.d.).
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN06467>

Russell, M. (2013). The contemporary House of Lords: Westminster bicameralism revived. Oxford University Press.

Sales, P., & Ekins, R. (2011). Rights-consistent interpretation and the Human Rights Act 1998. *Law Quarterly Review*, 127(Apr), 217-238.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7DFA62304E5611E0B91FA9F94CE59879>

Sedley, S. (1995). Human rights: a twenty-first century agenda. *Public Law, Aut*, 386-400.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID38FBAC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Society of Public Teachers of Law (London, England). (n.d.). *Legal studies: The Journal of the Society of Legal Scholars*.

Stephenson, S. (2015). The Supreme Court's renewed interest in Autochthonous Constitutionalism. *Public Law*.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad6ada60000016436a303cb1194d14a&docguid=I4508F36010F311E5B853A6F356F47180&hitguid=I4508F36010F311E5B853A6F356F47180&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&t=1&crumb-action=append&context=2&resolvein=true>

Taylor, R. B. (2015). Foundational and regulatory conventions: exploring the constitutional significance of Britain's dependency upon conventions. *Public Law*, 614-632.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD>

The Cambridge law journal. (n.d.).
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1737980

The economist. (n.d.). [Electronic resource].
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/publication/41716>

The guardian. (1980). [Electronic resource].
http://0-www.bpe.europresse.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/ip/intro.asp?user=WARWICK_T_1

The Law quarterly review. (n.d.).
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1742833

The Modern law review. (n.d.).

Thoburn v Sunderland City Council [2002] EWHC 195 (Admin) (18 February 2002). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2002/195.html>

- Tomkins, A. (2010). National security and the role of the court: a changed landscape? *Law Quarterly Review*, 126(Oct), 543–567.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I0756BA21C2CE11DFA880E34515B10C27>
- Tomkins, Adam. (2003). *Public law: Vol. Clarendon law series*. Oxford University Press.
- Turpin, C., & Tomkins, A. (2007). *The Ideas of the Constitution* [Electronic resource]. In *British government and the constitution: text and materials* (6th ed, pp. 33–137). Cambridge University Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cd674a39-dc43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>
- Turpin, C., & Tomkins, A. (2012). *British government and the constitution: text and materials: Vol. Law in context series* (7th ed) [Electronic resource]. Cambridge University Press.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=329861&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>
- Wade, H. W. R. . (1991). What has happened to the sovereignty of Parliament? *Law Quarterly Review*, 107(Jan), 1–4.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ICD256D10E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>
- Walter, J., Finey, W., Walter, W., Walter, J., Robinson, H. C., Stoddart, J., Barnes, T., Delane, J. T., Chenery, T., Buckle, G. E., Dawson, G., Casey, W. F., & Dow Jones Reuters Business Interactive LLC. (1788). *The times*.
<http://0-infotrac.galegroup.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/itweb/warwick?db=TTDA>
- Wells, C. E. (2015). *Obergefell v Hodges*. *European Human Rights Law Review*, 4, 406–412.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I88B2E3B0411211E59CB8B97FD04D5F6C>
- White, R. (2011). Separation of powers and legislative supremacy. *Law Quarterly Review*, 127(Jul), 456–474.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3C19CA009A2711E09D29F4D8A5F97F11>
- Wicks, E. (2006). *The evolution of a constitution: eight key moments in British constitutional history*. Hart Pub.
- Wicks, E. (2015). The Supreme Court Judgment in Nicklinson: One Step Forward on Assisted Dying; Two Steps Back on Human Rights: A Commentary on The Supreme Court Judgment in R (Nicklinson) V Ministry Of Justice; R (AM) V Director Of Public Prosecutions [2014] UKSC 38. *Medical Law Review*, 23(1), 144–156.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fwu031>
- William S. Hein & Company. (1885). *The law quarterly review*.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/lqr&collection=journals>
- Woolf, Lord. (1995). *Droit public - English style*. *Public Law*, Spr, 57–71.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID38EF770E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

Young, A. L. (2005). Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza: avoiding the deference trap. *Public Law*, Spr, 23–34.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I8499AAE0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

Young, A. L. (2009a). In Defence of Due Deference. *Modern Law Review*, 72(4), 554–580. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00757.x>

Young, A. L. (2009b). Parliamentary sovereignty and the Human Rights Act [Electronic resource]. Hart Publishing.

<http://0-portal.igpublish.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iglibrary/search/HARTB0000473.html>

Young, A. L. (2011a). Is dialogue working under the Human Rights Act 1998? *Public Law*, 773–800.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID7EAF690E23C11E080A9C399189E1576>

Young, A. L. (2011b). Sovereignty: Demise, afterlife, or partial resurrection? *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 9(1), 163–171. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mor028>

Young, A. L. (2012). Rule of Law in the United Kingdom: Formal or Substantive, The. *Vienna Online Journal on International Constitutional Law* 6 (Law Journal Library), 6(1995–5855).

http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vioincl6&g_sent=1&collection=journals&id=259