

LA201: General Principles of Constitutional and Administrative Law

View Online



1.

Bradley AW, Ewing KD, Knight C. Constitutional and Administrative Law. Sixteenth edition. Pearson; 2014. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2741966

2.

Elliott M, Thomas R. Public Law. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2017.

3.

Jowell JL, Oliver D, O'Cinneide C. The Changing Constitution. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

4.

Leyland P, Anthony G. Textbook on Administrative Law. 7th ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

5.

Craig PP. Administrative Law. 7th ed. Sweet & Maxwell; 2012.

6.

Harlow C, Rawlings R. Law and Administration. Vol Law in context. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=238868&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/i>

dp/shibboleth

7.

Bingham TH. The Rule of Law. Allen Lane; 2010.

8.

Leyland P. The Constitution of the United Kingdom: A Contextual Analysis. Vol Constitutional systems of the world. 2nd ed. Hart Publishing; 2012.

9.

Loughlin M. The British Constitution: A Very Short Introduction. Vol Very Short Introductions. First edition. Oxford University Press; 2013.

10.

Bogdanor V. The New British Constitution. Hart Pub; 2009.

11.

King A. The British Constitution. Oxford University Press; 2009.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199576982.001.0001>

12.

Bogdanor V, British Academy. The British Constitution in the Twentieth Century. Published for the British Academy by Oxford University Press; 2003.

13.

Horne A, Drewry G, eds. Parliament and the Law. Vol volume 8. Second edition. Hart Publishing; 2018.

14.

Bamforth N, Leyland P, eds. Accountability in the Contemporary Constitution. Oxford University Press; 2014.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199670024.001.0001>

15.

Tomkins, Adam. Public Law. Vol Clarendon law series. Oxford University Press; 2003.

16.

Campbell T, Ewing KD, Tomkins A. Sceptical Essays on Human Rights. Oxford University Press; 2001. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2662633

17.

Oliver D. Constitutional Reform in the UK. Oxford University Press; 2003.

18.

Johnson, Nevil. Reshaping the British Constitution: Essays in Political Interpretation. Palgrave Macmillan; 2004.

19.

Turpin C, Tomkins A. British Government and the Constitution: Text and Materials. Vol Law in context series. 7th ed. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=329861&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

20.

Allan TRS. The Sovereignty of Law: Freedom, Constitution and Common Law. Oxford University Press; 2013.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199685066.001.0001>

21.

Kavanagh A. Constitutional Review under the UK Human Rights Act. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780511724374>

22.

Young AL. Parliamentary Sovereignty and the Human Rights Act. Hart Publishing; 2009.

<http://0-portal.igpublish.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iglibrary/search/HARTB0000473.html>

23.

Hickman T, Craig PP. Public Law after the Human Rights Act. Hart Pub; 2010.

http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2544638

24.

Brady ADP. Proportionality and Deference under the UK Human Rights Act: An Institutionally Sensitive Approach. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

25.

Jackson and others (Appellants) v. Her Majesty's Attorney General. [2005] UKHL 56.

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldjudgmt/jd051013/jack.pdf>

26.

Thoburn v Sunderland City Council [2002] EWHC 195 (Admin) (18 February 2002).

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2002/195.html>

27.

Campbell D, Young J. The metric martyrs and the entrenchment jurisprudence of Lord Justice Laws. Public Law. 2002;(Aut):399-406.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I79F6E3A1E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

28.

Barber NW. The afterlife of Parliamentary sovereignty. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2011;9(1):144-154. doi:10.1093/icon/mor023

29.

Young AL. Sovereignty: Demise, afterlife, or partial resurrection? *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2011;9(1):163-171. doi:10.1093/icon/mor028

30.

Allan TRS. Parliamentary sovereignty: law, politics, and revolution. *Law Quarterly Review*. 1997;(113(Jul)):443-452.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I79F70AB0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

31.

Gordon M. The conceptual foundations of parliamentary sovereignty: reconsidering Jennings and Wade. *Public Law*. 2009;(Jul):519-543.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3E10D5B1727E11DE8047B748D67C18CA>

32.

Goldsworthy JD. *The Sovereignty of Parliament: History and Philosophy*. Clarendon; 2001.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199248087.001.0001>

33.

Laws J. Law and democracy. *Public Law*. 1995;(Spr):72-93.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID390A520E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

34.

Goldsworthy JD. Parliamentary Sovereignty: Contemporary Debates. Vol Cambridge studies in constitutional law. Cambridge University Press; 2010.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=277078&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

35.

Wicks E. The Evolution of a Constitution: Eight Key Moments in British Constitutional History. Hart Pub; 2006.

36.

Rawlings R, Leyland P, Young AL, eds. Sovereignty and the Law: Domestic, European and International Perspectives. Oxford University Press; 2014.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199684069.001.0001>

37.

MacCormick N. Beyond the Sovereign State. The Modern Law Review. 1993;56(1):1-18.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/1096572>

38.

Craig PP. Formal and substantive conceptions of the rule of law: an analytical framework.

Public Law. Published online 1997:467-487.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID15274F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

39.

Entick v Carrington & Ors [1765] EWHC KB J98 (02 November 1765).

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/KB/1765/J98.html>

40.

M v Home Office [1993] UKHL 5 (27 July 1993).
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1993/5.html>

41.

Allan TRS. Questions of legality and legitimacy: Form and substance in British constitutionalism. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2011;9(1):155-162.
doi:10.1093/icon/mor017

42.

Young AL. Rule of Law in the United Kingdom: Formal or Substantive, The. *Vienna Online Journal on International Constitutional Law* 6 (Law Journal Library). 2012;6(1995-5855).
http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vioincl6&g_sent=1&collection=journals&id=259

43.

Allan TRS. *Law, Liberty, and Justice: The Legal Foundations of British Constitutionalism*. Clarendon Press; 1994.

44.

Allan TRS. *Constitutional Justice: A Liberal Theory of the Rule of Law*. Oxford University Press; 2005.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199267880.001.0001>

45.

Jowell J. Parliamentary sovereignty under the new constitutional hypothesis. *Public Law*. 2006;(Aut):562-580.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF0D05E100D4A11DB9253D431BB978268>

46.

Woolf, Lord. Droit public - English style. *Public Law*. 1995;(Spr):57-71.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app>

/document?docguid=ID38EF770E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028

47.

Sedley S. Human rights: a twenty-first century agenda. Public Law. 1995;(Aut):386-400.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID38FBAC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

48.

European Communities Act 1972. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1972/68/contents>

49.

R v Secretary of State for Transport ex p Factortame Ltd (Interim Relief Order) [1990] UKHL 7 (26 July 1990). <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1990/7.html>

50.

HS2 Alliance Case.
https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC_2013_0172_Judgment.pdf

51.

Craig P. Constitutionalising constitutional law: HS2. Public Law. 2014;(Jul):373-392.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID5A13AB0F30B11E385A2E4EF39C65A9A>

52.

Elliott M. Constitutional Legislation, European Union Law and the Nature of the United Kingdom's Contemporary Constitution. European Constitutional Law Review. 2014;10(3):379-392.
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/1648045830?accountid=14888>

53.

Craig, Paul. The European Union Act 2011: Locks, limits and legality. *Common Market Law Review*. 48(6):1915-1944.
<http://0-www.kluwerlawonline.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2011074>

54.

Peers S. European integration and the European Union Act 2011: an irresistible force meets an immovable object? *Public Law*. 2013;(Jan):119-134.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IBA050BB03F0711E2990CBEA3BDA0BE6D>

55.

Gordon M, Dougan M. The United Kingdom's European Union Act 2011: 'who won the bloody war anyway?' *European Law Review*. 2012;37(1):3-30.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID13B043050A411E18A8AA01CC7CE21D9>

56.

Wade HWR. What has happened to the sovereignty of Parliament? *Law Quarterly Review*. 1991;(107(Jan)):1-4.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ICD256D10E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

57.

House of Commons - The EU Bill and Parliamentary Sovereignty - European Scrutiny Committee.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/633/63302.htm>

58.

House of Commons - The EU Bill: Restrictions on Treaties and Decisions relating to the EU - European Scrutiny Committee.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmeuleg/682/68202.htm>

59.

R (on the application of Nicklinson and another) (Appellants) v Ministry of Justice (Respondent), R (on the application of AM) (AP) (Respondent) v The Director of Public Prosecutions (Appellant) [2014] UKSC 38.

https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf

60.

Finnis J. A British 'Convention right' to assistance in suicide? *Law Quarterly Review*. 2015;131:1-8.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I34BAA2907BAE11E49BBC911AA7DF78F5>

61.

Draghici C. The blanket ban on assisted suicide: between moral paternalism and utilitarian justice. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 2015;(3):286-297.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I293F05E026F411E58A24809D3D7EB82F>

62.

Wicks E. The Supreme Court Judgment in Nicklinson: One Step Forward on Assisted Dying; Two Steps Back on Human Rights: A Commentary on The Supreme Court Judgment in R (Nicklinson) V Ministry Of Justice; R (AM) V Director Of Public Prosecutions [2014] UKSC 38. *Medical Law Review*. 2015;23(1):144-156. doi:10.1093/medlaw/fwu031

63.

Mullock A. The Supreme Court decision in Nicklinson: human rights, criminal wrongs and the dilemma of death. *Professional Negligence*. 2015;31(1):18-28.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I9DF4F830B51711E49F8488D430410EF0>

64.

Beaton R. The Boundaries of Proportionality Review and the End of Life. *Judicial Review*. 2014;19(3):135-139. doi:10.5235/10854681.19.3.135

65.

Kavanagh A. Defending deference in public law and constitutional theory. *Law Quarterly Review*. 2010;(126(Apr)):222-250.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IF3B510D22E5211DFBE2FA967ED04D069>

66.

Young AL. In Defence of Due Deference. *Modern Law Review*. 2009;72(4):554-580.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00757.x

67.

Allan TRS. Judicial deference and judicial review: legal doctrine and legal theory. *Law Quarterly Review*. 2011;(127(Jan)):96-117.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I20DA9E6008C611E0A451F66F817AC0EE>

68.

Allan TRS. Human Rights and Judicial Review: A Critique of "Due Deference". *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2006;65(3):671-695. doi:10.1017/S0008197306007264

69.

Clayton R. Judicial deference and 'democratic dialogue': the legitimacy of judicial intervention under the Human Rights Act 1998. *Public Law*. 2004;(Spr):33-47.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84927EF0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

70.

Jowell J. Judicial deference: servility, civility or institutional capacity? *Public Law*. Published online 2003:592-601.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I8487F7A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

71.

Klug F. Judicial deference under the Human Rights Act 1998. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 2003;(2):125-133.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A25D70E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

72.

Edwards RA. Judicial Deference under the Human Rights Act. *Modern Law Review*. 2002;65(6):859-882. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00413

73.

Obergefell v. Hodges No. 14-556. Published online 2014.
http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/14pdf/14-556_3204.pdf

74.

Wells CE. Obergefell v Hodges. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 2015;(4):406-412.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I88B2E3B0411211E59CB8B97FD04D5F6C>

75.

Bellinger (FC) (Appellant) v. Bellinger [2003] UKHL 21.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200203/ldjudgmt/jd030410/bellin-1.htm>

76.

Ghaidan (Appellant) v. Godin-Mendoza (FC) (Respondent) [2004] UKHL 30.
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200304/ldjudgmt/jd040621/gha-1.htm>

77.

Young AL. Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza: avoiding the deference trap. *Public Law*. 2005;(Spr):23-34.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I8499AAE0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

78.

Kavanagh A. The Role of Parliamentary Intention in Adjudication under the Human Rights Act 1998. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2006;26(1):179-206. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqi049

79.

Young AL. Is dialogue working under the Human Rights Act 1998? *Public Law*. Published online 2011:773-800.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID7EAF690E23C11E080A9C399189E1576>

80.

Sales P, Ekins R. Rights-consistent interpretation and the Human Rights Act 1998. *Law Quarterly Review*. 2011;(127(Apr)):217-238.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7DFA62304E5611E0B91FA9F94CE59879>

81.

Moj. Commission on a Bill of Rights: The Choice Before Us.
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130206065653/https://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/about/cbr/uk-bill-rights-vol-1.pdf>

82.

Coservatives on Bill of Rights.
https://www.conservatives.com/~media/files/downloadable%20Files/human_rights.pdf

83.

Gearty C. On fantasy island: British politics, English judges and the European Convention on Human Rights. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 2015;(1):1-8.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IAD6D8CD0ADF811E4A8D6F1E3CD2AEA2E>

84.

Human Rights Act Reform | UK Constitutional Law Association.
<http://ukconstitutionallaw.org/tag/human-rights-act-reform/>

85.

Klug F, Williams A. The choice before us? The report of the Commission on a Bill of Rights. Public Law. 2013;(Jul):459-468.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I00EDF7D0D7C911E29A79B66BE8E69D4B>

86.

Elliott M. A damp squib in the long grass: the report of the Commission on a Bill of Rights. European Human Rights Law Review. 2013;2:137-151.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I4BCCF680A3E811E2A94CA75C16A20EA4>

87.

Heydon JD. Are bills of rights necessary in common law systems? Law Quarterly Review. 2014;(130(Jul)):392-412.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I7CAEEF40F31C11E3847EA720781C7CD8>

88.

Foster S. Repealing the Human Rights Act 1998. Criminal Law & Justice Weekly. 2015;179(46).
<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=5HK8-1J01-DYJF-G17K&csi=280390&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

89.

Ewing KD. The futility of the Human Rights Act. Public Law. 2004;(Win):829-852.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A8ED21E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

90.

Ewing KD, Tham JC. The continuing futility of the Human Rights Act. Public Law. 2008;(Win):668-693.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I00EAB8B099A111DD9611E019A6BFBE26>

91.

Kavanagh A. Judging the judges under the Human Rights Act: deference, disillusionment and the 'war on terror'. Public Law. 2009;(Apr):287-304.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IFC2512E1231F11DEBA18CA797BE6038F>

92.

Lester A. The utility of the Human rights Act: a reply to Keith Ewing. Public Law. 2005;(Sum):249-258.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I84A43231E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

93.

Tomkins A. National security and the role of the court: a changed landscape? Law Quarterly Review. 2010;(126(Oct)):543-567.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I0756BA21C2CE11DFA880E34515B10C27>

94.

McKeever D. The Human Rights Act and anti-terrorism in the UK: one great leap forward by Parliament, but are the courts able to slow the steady retreat that has followed? Public Law. 2010;(Jan):110-139.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I67F11560E15B11DE88C6C8FBE711743E>

95.

Gearty CA. Liberty and Security. Polity; 2013.

96.

Murkens JEK. The Quest for Constitutionalism in UK Public Law Discourse. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2009;29(3):427-455. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqp020

97.

Gee G, Webber GCN. What Is a Political Constitution? *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2010;30(2):273-299. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqq013

98.

Gyorfi T. Between Common Law Constitutionalism and Procedural Democracy. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2013;33(2):317-338. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqt004

99.

Poole T. Back to the Future? Unearthing the Theory of Common Law Constitutionalism. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2003;23(3):435-454. doi:10.1093/ojls/23.3.435

100.

Himsworth CMG. Devolution and its Jurisdictional Asymmetries. *Modern Law Review*. 2007;70(1):31-58. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2006.00625.x

101.

McLean I, McMillan A. *State of the Union: Unionism and the Alternatives in the United Kingdom since 1707*. Oxford University Press; 2005.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/0199258201.001.0001>

102.

Jones TH, Williams JM. Wales as a jurisdiction. *Public Law*. 2004;(Spr):78-101.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I847E33A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

103.

Jones TH. Wales, Devolution and Sovereignty. Statute Law Review. 2012;33(2):151-162.
doi:10.1093/slr/hms023

104.

McLean I, Peterson S. Transitional constitutionalism in the United Kingdom. Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law. 2014;3(4):1113-1135.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I6587228063DF11E58BD8DD30ADE7CC2B>

105.

Aroney N. Reserved matters, legislative purpose and the referendum on Scottish independence. Public Law. 2014;(Jul):422-445.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID5A24C20F30B11E385A2E4EF39C65A9A>

106.

Elliott M. The Proposed Scotland Bill: The Constitutional Implications of Draft Clauses 1 and 2. SSRN Electronic Journal. Published online 2015. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2557451

107.

Taylor RB. Foundational and regulatory conventions: exploring the constitutional significance of Britain's dependency upon conventions. Public Law. Published online 2015:614-632.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD>

108.

Parliamentary Research Paper 04/31.
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/RP04-31>

109.

Research Briefings - Individual ministerial accountability (2012).
<http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/SN06467>

110.

Flinders M. The enduring centrality of individual ministerial responsibility within the British constitution. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*. 2000;6(3):73-92.
doi:10.1080/13572330008420632

111.

Barendt E. Separation of powers and constitutional government. *Public Law*. 1995;(Win):599-619.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=ID3936440E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

112.

Barber NW. Prelude to the Separation of Powers. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2001;60(1):59-88. doi:10.1017/S0008197301000629

113.

White R. Separation of powers and legislative supremacy. *Law Quarterly Review*. 2011;(127(Jul)):456-474.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I3C19CA009A2711E09D29F4D8A5F97F11>

114.

Stephenson S. The Supreme Court's renewed interest in Autochthonous Constitutionalism. *Public Law*. Published online 2015.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad6ada60000016436a303cb1194d14a&docguid=I4508F36010F311E5B853A6F356F47180&hitguid=I4508F36010F311E5B853A6F356F47180&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&t=1&crumb-action=append&context=2&resolvein=true>

115.

Bjorge E. Fundamental rights at English (and European?) common law. *Law Quarterly Review*. 2015;(131(Apr)):192-196.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app>

/document?docguid=I9010B212C81111E4A0E9E7C45C1190E1

116.

Masterman R, Wheatle S shauna. A Common law Resurgence in Rights Protection. European Human Rights Law Review. 2015;1:57-65.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=IAD718470ADF811E4A8D6F1E3CD2AEA2E>

117.

Clayton R. The empire strikes back: common law rights and the Human Rights Act. Public Law. 2015;(Jan):3-12.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/authentication/sso/athens?redirect=/maf/wluk/app/document?docguid=I28D78E607B4611E4A15DE99780331015>

118.

Russell M. The Contemporary House of Lords: Westminster Bicameralism Revived. Oxford University Press; 2013.

119.

Ballinger C. The House of Lords, 1911-2011: A Century of Non-Reform. Vol Hart studies in constitutional law. Hart; 2012.

120.

Melton J, Stuart C, Helen D. To Codify or not to Codify?
<https://www.ucl.ac.uk/constitution-unit/publications/tabs/unit-publications/162.pdf>

121.

Blick A. Codifying – or not codifying – the UK constitution: A Literature Review. Published online 2011.
[http://www.parliament.uk/pagefiles/56954/CPCS%20Literature%20Review%20\(4\).pdf](http://www.parliament.uk/pagefiles/56954/CPCS%20Literature%20Review%20(4).pdf)

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmpolcon/463/463.pdf>

<http://0-infotrac.galegroup.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/itweb/warwick?db=TTDA>

http://0-www.bpe.europresse.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/ip/intro.asp?user=WARWICK

The economist. <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/publication/41716>

http://0-www.bpe.europresse.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/ip/intro.asp?user=WARWICK
T 1

<http://0-global.factiva.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/en/sess/login.asp?XSID=S003sFo3sb90pFyMT7yMTEnNDUoOTUvMtm5DFHY96oYqZINFFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFB>

http://0-global.factiva.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/en/sess/login.asp?XSID=S003sFo3sb90pFyMT7yMTEnNDUoOTUvMtm5DFHY96oYqZINFFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFBQU

FBQUFBQUFBQUFBQUFB

129.

Public law. Published online 1956.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/wluk/app/external/path?ao=o.l66580770A29A11DB9A2D866D614A63ED&ndd=2&athens=true>

130.

Public law.

131.

William S. Hein & Company. The law quarterly review. Published online 1885.

<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Index?index=journals/lqr&collection=journals>

132.

The Law quarterly review. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1742833

133.

JSTOR (Organization), William S. Hein & Company. The modern law review. Published online 1937.

[http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1468-2230](http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1468-2230)

134.

The Modern law review.

135.

Cambridge University Law Society, University of Cambridge, Cambridge University Press,

William S. Hein & Company. The Cambridge law journal. Published online 1921.
http://0-journals.cambridge.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/jid_Clj

136.

The Cambridge law journal. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1737980

137.

Oxford journal of legal studies.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb1743939

138.

Society of Public Teachers of Law (London, England). Legal studies: The Journal of the Society of Legal Scholars.

139.

Lee RG. Blackstone's Statutes on Public Law and Human Rights 2010-2011. Vol Blackstone's statutes series. Oxford University Press; 2010.

140.

Legislation.gov.uk. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga>

141.

British and Irish Legal Information Institute. <http://www.bailii.org/>

142.

Bradley AW. The Courts and the Machinery of Justice. In: Constitutional and Administrative Law. 15th ed. Pearson Longman; 2010:362-394.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=238b8e66-8543-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

143.

Craig P. Britain in the European Union. In: The Changing Constitution. ; 2007:84-107.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fe132c05-8b43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

144.

Dyke T. Judicial review in an age of austerity. Judicial Review. 2011;3(16):202-215.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cf77d1d2-8e43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

145.

McEldowney J. Parliament. In: Public Law. Vol Sweet&Maxwell's textbook series. 3rd ed. Sweet & Maxwell; 2002:59-94.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=dc70e3c1-b143-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

146.

Partington M. Law and Society: The purposes and functions of Law. In: An Introduction to the English Legal System. ; 2006:13-30.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d59df230-bd43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

147.

Turpin C, Tomkins A. The Ideas of the Constitution. In: British Government and the Constitution: Text and Materials. 6th ed. Cambridge University Press; 2007:33-137.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=cd674a39-dc43-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>