SO231: Transformations: Gender, Reproduction and Contemporary Society (Weeks 14-25)



[1]

Aarvold, J. and Buswell, C. 1999. Very Young Motherhood: Whose Problem. Youth and Policy. 64, (1999), 1–14.

[2]

Aboderin, I. 2014. Sexual and reproductive health and rights of older men and women: addressing a policy blind spot. Reproductive Health Matters. 22, 44 (Nov. 2014), 185–190. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080(14)44814-6.

[3]

Adoption UK: http://www.adoptionuk.org/.

[4]

Allen, I. et al. 1998. Teenage mothers: decisions and outcomes. Policy Studies Institute.

[5]

Alliance for Justice: http://www.afj.org/campaigns/reproductive-justice-roe-at-risk.

[6]

Almeling, R. 2011. Sex cells: the medical market for eggs and sperm. University of California Press.

[7]

Almeling, R. 2006. 'Why do you want to be a donor?': gender and the production of altruism in egg and sperm donation. New Genetics and Society. 25, 2 (Aug. 2006), 143–157. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14636770600855184.

[8]

Almeling, Rene Selling Genes, Selling Gender: Egg Agencies, Sperm Banks, and the Medical Market in Genetic Material. American Sociological Review. 72, 3, 319–340.

[9]

Andrews, L.B. 2000. The clone age: adventures in the new world of reproductive technology. Henry Holt.

[10]

Anne Phillips 2011. It's My Body and I'll Do What I Like With It: Bodies as Objects and Property. Political Theory. 39, 6 (2011), 724–748.

[11]

Arditti, R. et al. 1989. Test-tube women: what future for motherhood?. Pandora.

[12]

ATKIN, K. 2003. Ethnicity and the Politics of the New Genetics: Principles and Engagement. Ethnicity & Health. 8, 2 (May 2003), 91–109. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13557850303561.

[13]

Atwood, M. 1996. The handmaid's tale. Vintage.

[14]

Bad Reputation:

https://badreputation.org.uk/2011/03/02/reproductive-justice-in-the-uk-part-1/.

[15]

BAILEY, A. 2011. Reconceiving Surrogacy: Toward a Reproductive Justice Account of Indian Surrogacy. Hypatia. 26, 4 (2011), 715–741. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-2001.2011.01168.x.

[16]

BAILEY, A. 2011. Reconceiving Surrogacy: Toward a Reproductive Justice Account of Indian Surrogacy. Hypatia. 26, 4 (2011), 715–741. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-2001.2011.01168.x.

[17]

Baslington, H. 1996. Anxiety overflow. Women's Studies International Forum. 19, 6 (Nov. 1996), 675–684. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5395(96)00088-X.

[18]

Bergman, K. et al. 2010. Gay Men Who Become Fathers via Surrogacy: The Transition to Parenthood. Journal of GLBT Family Studies. 6, 2 (May 2010), 111–141. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/15504281003704942.

[19]

Bhabha, J. 2004. Moving Babies: Globaliziation, Markets and Transational Adoption. Fletcher Forum of World Affairs. 28, 2 (2004), 181–198.

[20]

Bharadwaj, A. 2003. Why adoption is not an option in India: the visibility of infertility, the secrecy of donor insemination, and other cultural complexities. Social Science & Medicine. 56, 9 (May 2003), 1867–1880. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00210-1.

[21]

Birke, L.I.A. et al. 1990. Tomorrow's child: reproductive technologies in the 90s. Virago.

[22]

Breheny, M. and Stephens, C. 2007. Individual Responsibility and Social Constraint: The Construction of Adolescent Motherhood in Social Scientific Research. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 9, 4 (2007), 333–346.

[23]

Briggs, L. 2003. Mother, Child, Race, Nation: The Visual Iconography of Rescue and the Politics of Transnational and Transracial Adoption. Gender History. 15, 2 (Aug. 2003), 179–200. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0424.00298.

[24]

Bubbalicious: The Place for Young Parents:

http://www.bubbalicious.co.uk/?gclid=CLLKqtOH5LkCFcbLtAod1SkAcw.

[25]

Bullen, E. et al. 2000. New Labour, Social Exclusion and Educational Risk Management: The Case of 'Gymslip Mums'. British Educational Research Journal. 26, 4 (2000), 441–456.

[26]

Burfoot, A. 1995. In-appropriation — a critique of Proceed With Care. Women's Studies International Forum. 18, 4 (Jul. 1995), 499–506. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-5395(95)80039-R.

[27]

Byrne, B. 2006. In Search of a 'Good Mix': 'Race', Class, Gender and Practices of Mothering. Sociology. 40, 6 (Dec. 2006), 1001–1017. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038506069841.

[28]

Cahn, N.R. 2013. The new kinship: constructing donor-conceived families. New York University Press.

[29]

Campaign To End Sex Selection: http://www.cwpe.org/initiatives/sexselection.

[30]

Campion, M.J. 1995. Who's fit to be a parent? Routledge.

[31]

Campion, M.J. 1995. Who's fit to be a parent? Routledge.

[32]

Carolan, M. 2005. "Doing It Properly": The Experience of First Mothering Over 35 Years. Health Care for Women International. 26, 9 (Oct. 2005), 764–787. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/07399330500230987.

[33]

de Carvalho, J.E.C. 2007. How can a child be a mother? Discourse on teenage pregnancy in a Brazilian. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 9, 2 (Mar. 2007), 109–120. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13691050600994448.

[34]

Childrens Hope International Chinese Adoption Agency: http://www.chinaadoptionagency.com/.

[35]

Chrisler, J.C. 2014. A Reproductive Justice Approach to Women's Health. Analyses of Social

Issues and Public Policy. 14, 1 (Dec. 2014), 205–209. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/asap.12056.

[36]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[37]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[38]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[39]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[40]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[41]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[42]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[43]

Chrisler, J.C. 2012. Reproductive justice: a global concern. Praeger.

[44]

Conaway, L. 2007. White Kid, Black Family: Transracial Adoption. (2007).

[45]

Conn, P. 2013. Adoption: A brief social and cultural history. Palgrave Macmillan.

[46]

Conn, P.J. 2013. Adoption: a brief social and cultural history. Palgrave Macmillan.

[47]

Cook, R. et al. 2003. Surrogate motherhood: international perspectives. Hart.

[48]

Cook, R. et al. 2003. Surrogate motherhood: international perspectives. Hart.

[49]

Coram: http://www.coram.org.uk/.

[50]

Corea, G. 1988. The mother machine: reproductive technologies from artificial insemination to artificial wombs. Women's Press.

[51]

Corea, G. 1988. The mother machine: reproductive technologies from artificial insemination to artificial wombs. Women's Press.

[52]

Corea, G. and International Interdisciplinary Congress on Women 1987. Man-made women: how new reproductive technologies affect women. Indiana University Press.

[53]

cots: http://www.surrogacy.org.uk/.

[54]

COTS UK (surrogacy support group): http://www.surrogacy.org.uk/.

[55]

Council for Responsible Genetics:

http://www.councilforresponsiblegenetics.org/Help/About.aspx.

[56]

Cretney, S.M. et al. 2012. Fifty years in family law: essays for Stephen Cretney. Intersentia.

[57]

Culley, L. et al. eds. 2013. Marginalized reproduction: ethnicity, infertility and reproductive technologies. Routledge.

[58]

Culley, L. et al. 2009. Marginalized reproduction: ethnicity, infertility and reproductive technologies. Earthscan.

[59]

CUTAS, D. 2007. POSTMENOPAUSAL MOTHERHOOD: IMMORAL, ILLEGAL? A CASE STUDY. Bioethics. 21, 8 (Oct. 2007), 458–463.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2007.00583.x.

[60]

Daniels, K.R. et al. 1995. Telling donor insemination offspring about their conception: The nature of couples' decision-making. Social Science & Medicine. 40, 9 (May 1995), 1213–1220. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(94)00251-N.

[61]

DasGupta, S. and Dasgupta, S.D. eds. 2014. Globalization and transnational surrogacy in India: outsourcing life. Lexington Books.

[62]

Deech, R. and Smajdor, A. 2007. From IVF to immortality: controversy in the era of reproductive technology. Oxford University Press.

[63]

Deech, R. and Smajdor, A. 2007. From IVF to immortality: controversy in the era of reproductive technology. Oxford University Press.

[64]

DeGrazia, D. 2012. Creation ethics: genetics, reproduction, and quality of life. Oxford University Press.

[65]

Denny, E. 1994. Liberation or oppression? radical feminism and in vitro fertilisation. Sociology of Health and Illness. 16, 1 (Jan. 1994), 62–80. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.ep11347010.

[66]

Donation reviews and consultations - HFEA: http://www.hfea.gov.uk/513.html.

[67]

Dorothy E. Roberts 2009. Race, Gender, and Genetic Technologies: A New Reproductive Dystopia? Signs. 34, 4 (2009), 783–804.

[68]

Dorow, S.K. 2006. Transnational adoption: a cultural economy of race, gender, and kinship. New York University Press.

[69]

Dowrick, S. and Grundberg, S. 1980. Why Children?. The Women's Press.

[70]

Duncan, S. 2005. Mothering, class and rationality. The Sociological Review. 53, 1 (Mar. 2005), 50–76. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2005.00503.x.

[71]

Duncan, S. et al. 2010. Teenage parenthood: what's the problem? Tufnell Press.

[72]

Dunk-West, P. and Hafford-Letchfield, T. 2011. Sexual identities and sexuality in social work: research and reflections from women in the field. Ashgate.

[73]

Dunk-West, P. and Hafford-Letchfield, T. 2011. Sexual identities and sexuality in social work: research and reflections from women in the field. Ashgate.

[74]

Earle, S. et al. 2012. Understanding reproductive loss: perspectives on life, death and fertility. Ashgate.

[75]

Earle, S. et al. 2012. Understanding reproductive loss: perspectives on life, death and fertility. Ashgate.

[76]

Earle, S. and Letherby, G. 2007. Conceiving Time? Women who do or do not conceive. Sociology of Health & Illness. 29, 2 (Mar. 2007), 233–250. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2007.00546.x.

[77]

Edwards, J. 1999. Technologies of procreation: kinship in the age of assisted conception. Routledge.

[78]

Edwards, M.E. 2002. Education and Occupations: Reexamining the Conventional Wisdom about Later First Births among American Mothers. Sociological Forum. 17, 3 (2002), 423–443

[79]

Ehrich, K. et al. 2008. The embryo as moral work object: PGD/IVF staff views and experiences. Sociology of Health & Illness. 30, 5 (Jul. 2008), 772–787. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2008.01083.x.

[80]

Embryo research in the UK - HFEA: http://www.hfea.gov.uk/158.html.

[81]

Ettorre, E. 2000. Reproductive Genetics, Gender and the Body: `Please Doctor, may I have a Normal Baby?'. Sociology. 34, 3 (Aug. 2000), 403–420. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/S0038038500000262.

[82]

Evans, R. et al. 2006. Teenage pregnancy and parenthood: global perspectives, issues and interventions. Routledge.

[83]

Farquhar, D. 1996. The other machine: discourse and reproductive technologies. Routledge.

[84]

Farquhar, D. 1996. The other machine: discourse and reproductive technologies. Routledge.

[85]

Fertility Fairness: http://www.fertilityfairness.co.uk/.

[86]

Find Surrogate Mothers: http://www.findsurrogatemother.com/.

[87]

Fineman, M. and Worthington, K. 2009. What is right for children?: the competing paradigms of religion and human rights. Ashgate.

[88]

FINRRAGE: http://www.finrrage.org/.

[89]

FINRRAGE: http://www.finrrage.org/.

[90]

FINRRAGE: http://www.finrrage.org/.

[91]

Fogg-Davis, H.G. 2002. The ethics of transracial adoption. Cornell University Press.

[92]

Following Young Fathers: http://followingfathers.leeds.ac.uk/.

[93]

Franklin, S. 2001. Culturing Biology: Cell Lines for the Second Millennium. Health: 5, 3 (Jul. 2001), 335–354. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/136345930100500304.

[94]

Franklin, S. 1997. Embodied progress: a cultural account of assisted conception. Routledge.

[95]

Franklin, S. 1997. Embodied progress: a cultural account of assisted conception. Routledge.

[96]

Franklin, S. and Lock, M.M. 2003. Remaking life & death: toward an anthropology of the biosciences. School of American Research Press.

[97]

Franklin, S. and McKinnon, S. 2001. Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies. Duke University Press.

[98]

Franklin, S. and Roberts, C. 2006. Born and made: an ethnography of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Princeton University Press.

[99]

Fukuyama, F. 2002. Our posthuman future: consequences of the biotechnology revolution. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

[100]

Full Service, Worldwide Surrogate-Parenting Agency | Circle Surrogacy: http://www.circlesurrogacy.com/.

[101]

Fuller, S. et al. 2008. Constructing 'active citizenship': single mothers, welfare, and the logics of voluntarism. Citizenship Studies. 12, 2 (Apr. 2008), 157–176. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13621020801900119.

[102]

Gaber, I. and Aldridge, J. 1994. In the best interests of the child: culture, identity and transracial adoption. Free Association Books.

[103]

Genetic testing - HFEA: http://www.hfea.gov.uk/172.html.

[104]

Germain, A. et al. 2015. Advancing sexual and reproductive health and rights in low- and middle-income countries: Implications for the post-2015 global development agenda. Global Public Health. 10, 2 (Feb. 2015), 137–148. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2014.986177.

[105]

Gill, O. and Jackson, B. 1983. Adoption and race: black, Asian and mixed race children in white families. Batsford Academic and Educational in association with British Agencies for Adoption & Fostering.

[106]

Global Thematic Campaign on Gender and Reproductive Justice | People's Health Movement: http://www.phmovement.org/en/node/7146.

[107]

Godon, D.E. et al. 2014. Transracial Adoptees: The Search for Birth Family and the Search for Self. Adoption Quarterly. 17, 1 (Jan. 2014), 1–27. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10926755.2014.875087.

[108]

Goldfarb, J.M. ed. 2014. Third-party reproduction: a comprehensive guide. Springer.

[109]

Gosden, R.G. 1999. Designer babies: the brave new world of reproductive technology. Phoenix.

[110]

Grace, V.M. et al. 2008. The donor, the father, and the imaginary constitution of the family: Parents' constructions in the case of donor insemination. Social Science & Medicine. 66, 2 (Jan. 2008), 301–314. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.08.029.

[111]

Greenfield, J. and Jennings, S. 1995. From surrogacy to contested adoption: what went wrong? Adoption and Fostering. 19, 4 (1995), 34–40.

[112]

Gregson Higginson, J. 1998. Competitive Parenting: The Culture of Teen Mothers. Journal of Marriage and Family. 60, 1 (1998), 135–149.

[113]

Grown, C. et al. 2006. Trading women's health and rights?: trade liberalization and reproductive health in developing economies. Zed Books.

[114]

Hadfield, L. et al. 2007. Motherhood, choice and the British media: a time to reflect. Gender and Education. 19, 2 (Mar. 2007), 255–263. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/09540250601166100.

[115]

Hands Off Our Ovaries: http://www.handsoffourovaries.com/.

[116]

Handwerker, L. 2003. New genetic technologies and their impact on women: a feminist perspective. Gender & Development. 11, 1 (May 2003), 114–124. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/741954261.

[117]

Handwerker, L. 1995. Social and Ethical Implications of In Vitro Fertilization in Contemporary China. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 4, 03 (Jun. 1995). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180100006101.

[118]

Hanson, F.A. 2001. Donor Insemination: Eugenic and Feminist Implications. Medical Anthropology Quarterly. 15, 3 (2001), 287–311.

[119]

Harford, T. More or Less, How long can you wait to have a baby? BBC Radio 4.

[120]

Hargreaves, K. 2006. Constructing families and kinship through donor insemination. Sociology of Health and Illness. 28, 3 (Apr. 2006), 261–283. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2006.00492.x.

[121]

Hartouni, V. 1997. Cultural conceptions: on reproductive technologies and the remaking of life. University of Minnesota Press.

[122]

Hartouni, V. 1997. Cultural conceptions: on reproductive technologies and the remaking of life. University of Minnesota Press.

[123]

Hawkes, G. 1995. Responsibility and Irresponsibility: Young Women and Family Planning. Sociology. 29, 2 (May 1995), 257–273. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038595029002005.

[124]

Heather Widdows 2009. Border Disputes across Bodies: Exploitation in Trafficking for Prostitution and Egg Sale for Stem Cell Research. International Journal of Feminist Approaches to Bioethics. 2, 1 (2009), 5–24.

[125]

Heilborn, M.L. et al. 2007. Teenage pregnancy and moral panic in Brazil. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 9, 4 (Jul. 2007), 403–414. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13691050701369441.

[126]

Heilborn, M.L. et al. 2007. Teenage Pregnancy and Moral Panic in Brazil. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 9, 4 (2007), 403–414.

[127]

HFEA - Fertility, Infertility, IVF, Embryo research -: http://www.hfea.gov.uk/.

[128]

Hicks, S. 2005. Lesbian and Gay Foster Care and Adoption: A brief UK history. Adoption and Fostering. 29, 3 (2005), 42–56.

[129]

Hicks, S. 2011. Lesbian, gay, and queer parenting: families, intimacies, genealogies. Palgrave Macmillan.

[130]

Hicks, S. 2011. Lesbian, gay and queer parenting: families, intimacies, genealogies. Palgrave Macmillan.

[131]

Hicks, S. and McDermott, J. 1999. Lesbian and gay fostering and adoption: extraordinary yet ordinary. Jessica Kingsley.

[132]

Hirsch, M. and Keller, E.F. 1990. Conflicts in feminism. Routledge.

[133]

Hochschild, A. 2011. Emotional Life on the Market Frontier. Annual Review of Sociology. 37, 1 (Aug. 2011), 21–33.

[134]

Horsey, K. ed. 2015. Revisiting the regulation of human fertilisation and embryology. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

[135]

Horsey, K. 2015. Revisiting the regulation of human fertilisation and embryology. Routledge.

[136]

Howell, S. 2006. The kinning of foreigners: transnational adoption in a global perspective. Berghahn.

[137]

Hudson, F. and Ineichen, B. 1991. Taking it lying down: sexuality and teenage motherhood. Macmillan Education.

[138]

Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, Strategy and Information Directorate, Web team, HFEA Code of Practice: Guidance Note 12. Egg sharing arrangements (version 2.0). Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, 21 Bloomsbury Street, London, WC1B 3HF.

[139]

Human Genetics Alert: http://www.hgalert.org/.

[140]

Humphrey, M. and Humphrey, H. 1993. Inter-country adoption: practical experiences. Tavistock/Routledge.

[141]

Inanc, H. Unemployment and the timing of parenthood: Implications of partnership status and partner's employment. Demographic Research. 32, 219–250.

[142]

Inhorn, M.C. 2003. Local babies, global science: gender, religion, and in vitro fertilization in Egypt. Routledge.

[143]

Inhorn, M.C. 2007. Masturbation, Semen Collection and Men's IVF Experiences: Anxieties in the Muslim World. Body & Society. 13, 3 (Sep. 2007), 37–53. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1357034X07082251.

[144]

Inhorn, M.C. ed. 2009. Reconceiving the second sex: men, masculinity, and reproduction. Berghahn Books.

[145]

Inhorn, M.C. et al. 2009. Reconceiving the Second Sex: Men, Masculinity, and Reproduction . Berghahn Books.

[146]

Inhorn, M.C. and Balen, F. van 2002. Infertility around the globe: new thinking on childlessness, gender, and reproductive technologies. University of California Press.

[147]

Inhorn, M.C. and Tremayne, S. 2012. Islam and assisted reproductive technologies: Sunni and Shia perspectives. Berghahn Books.

[148]

Inhorn, M.C. and Tremayne, S. 2012. Islam and assisted reproductive technologies: Sunni and Shia perspectives. Berghahn Books.

[149]

International Adoption: http://www.internationaladoptionnet.org/.

[150]

Jackson, E. 2001. Regulating reproduction: law, technology, and autonomy. Hart Pub.

[151]

Jackson, E.M. 2001. Regulating reproduction: law, technology and autonomy. Hart.

[152]

James, V. and Gabe, J. 1996. Health and the sociology of emotions. Blackwell Publishers.

[153]

Jarman, M. 2015. Relations of Abortion: Crip Approaches to Reproductive Justice. Feminist Formations. 27, 1 (2015), 46–66. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1353/ff.2015.0008.

[154]

Jaworski, B.K. 2009. Reproductive justice and media framing: a case-study analysis of problematic frames in the popular media. Sex Education. 9, 1 (Feb. 2009), 105–121. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14681810802639830.

[155]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[156]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[157]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[158]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[159]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[160]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[161]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[162]

Joffe, C.E. and Reich, J.A. 2015. Reproduction and society: interdisciplinary readings. Routledge.

[163]

Kauffman, L.S. 1993. American feminist thought at century's end: a reader. Blackwell.

[164]

Kauffman, L.S. 1993. American feminist thought at century's end: a reader. Blackwell.

[165]

Kay, J. 1991. The adoption papers. Bloodaxe.

[166]

Kerr, Anne. Genetic politics: from eugenics to genome / Anne Kerr and Tom Shakespeare; with cartoon by Suzy Varty.

[167]

Kidger, J. 2005. Stories of Redemption? Teenage Mothers as the New Sex Educators. Sexualities. 8, 4 (Oct. 2005), 481–496. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460705056622.

[168]

Kiernan, K.E. 1997. Becoming a Young Parent: A Longitudinal Study of Associated Factors. The British Journal of Sociology. 48, 3 (1997), 406–428.

[169]

Kirkman, M. 2003. Egg and Embryo Donation and the Meaning of Motherhood. Women & Health. 38, 2 (Mar. 2003), 1–18. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1300/J013v38n02_01.

[170]

Kirkman, M. et al. 2001. 'I know I'm doing a good job': Canonical and autobiographical narratives of teenage mothers. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 3, 3 (Jan. 2001), 279–294. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13691050010026097.

[171]

Kirton, D. 2000. Race, ethnicity and adoption. Open University Press.

[172]

Kluger, J. et al. 2013. Too Old To Be A Dad? Time. 181, 15 (2013).

[173]

Knudsen, L. 2006. Introduction. Reproductive rights in a global context: South Africa, Uganda, Peru, Denmark, United States, Vietnam, Jordan. Vanderbilt University Press, 2006.

[174]

Krahn, T. and Wong, S. 2009. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis and reproductive autonomy. Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 19, (Jan. 2009), 34–42. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1472-6483(10)60275-1.

[175]

Kuhse, H. and Singer, P. 2012. A companion to bioethics. Wiley-Blackwell.

[176]

Kuhse, H. and Singer, P. 2012. A companion to bioethics. Wiley-Blackwell.

[177]

Kuhse, H. and Singer, P. 2006. Bioethics: an anthology. Blackwell Pub.

[178]

Kuhse, H. and Singer, P. 2006. Bioethics: an anthology. Blackwell Pub.

[179]

Kuhse, H. and Singer, P. 2006. Bioethics: an anthology. Blackwell Pub.

[180]

Kulczycki, A. ed. 2014. Critical Issues in Reproductive Health. Springer.

[181]

L. Knudsen 2006. Introduction. Reproductive rights in a global context: South Africa, Uganda, Peru, Denmark, United States, Vietnam, Jordan. Vanderbilt University Press, 2006.

[182]

Lawson, A. and Rhode, D.L. 1993. The Politics of pregnancy: adolescent sexuality and public policy. Yale University Press.

[183]

Layne, L.L. 1999. Transformative motherhood: on giving and getting in a consumer culture. New York University Press.

[184]

Lee, E. and Institute of Ideas 2002. Designer babies: where should we draw the line?. Hodder & Stoughton.

[185]

LEE M. SILVER 1999. REMAKING EDEN. PHOENIX.

[186]

Lee, R.G. and Morgan, D. 2001. Human fertilisation and embryology: regulating the reproductive revolution. Blackstone.

[187]

Lee, R.G. and Morgan, D. 2001. Human fertilisation and embryology: regulating the reproductive revolution. Blackstone.

[188]

Leese, M. 2014. The bumpy road to 'becoming': capturing the stories that teenage mothers told about their journey into motherhood. Child & Family Social Work. (Sep. 2014), n/a-n/a. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.12169.

[189]

Leinaweaver, J. and van Wichelen, S. 2015. The geography of transnational adoption: kin and place in globalization. Social & Cultural Geography. 16, 5 (Jul. 2015), 499–507. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2015.1033449.

[190]

Leinaweaver, J.B. The Quiet Migration Redux: International Adoption, Race, and Difference. Human Organization. 73, 1, 62–71.

[191]

Lewiecki-Wilson, C. and Cellio, J. 2011. Disability and mothering: liminal spaces of embodied knowledge. Syracuse University Press.

[192]

Lewiecki-Wilson, C. and Cellio, J. 2014. Disability and mothering: liminal spaces of

embodied knowledge. Syracuse University Press.

[193]

Lewiecki-Wilson, C. and Cellio, J. 2011. Disability and mothering: liminal spaces of embodied knowledge. Syracuse University Press.

[194]

Lewiecki-Wilson, C. and Cellio, J. 2014. Disability and mothering: liminal spaces of embodied knowledge. Syracuse University Press.

[195]

LGBT Adoption and Fostering Week (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender): http://www.lgbtadoptfosterweek.org.uk/.

[196]

Lichtenberg, I. and LeClair, J. 2011. Advocating Equal Protection for Men in Reproductive Rights and Responsibilities. Southern University Law Review. 38, 1 (2011), 53–78.

[197]

Lloyd, E. et al. 1991. Motherhood: meanings, practices and ideologies. Sage Publications.

[198]

Luker, K. 1996. Dubious conceptions: the politics of teenage pregnancy. Harvard University Press.

[199]

Madhok, S. et al. 2014. On reproductive justice: 'domestic violence', rights and the law in India. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 16, 10 (Nov. 2014), 1231–1244.

[200]

Maher, J. 2014. Something Else Besides a Father. Feminist Media Studies. 14, 5 (Sep. 2014), 853–867. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2013.831369.

[201]

Mandell, B.R. 1973. Where are the children?: a class analysis of foster care and adoption. D.C. Heath.

[202]

Markens, S. 2007. Surrogate motherhood and the politics of reproduction. University of California Press.

[203]

Marteau, T. and Richards, M. eds. 1996. The Troubled Helix: Social and Psychological Implications of the New Human Genetics. Cambridge University Press.

[204]

Masson, J. 2001. Intercountry Adoption: A Global Problem or a Global Solution. Journal of International Affairs. 55, 1 (2001), 141–166.

[205]

Maxwell, M. and Cook, L. 2014. The portrayal of the adopted child in British newspapers and magazines. Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies. 9, 4 (Oct. 2014), 318–322. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/17450128.2014.947350.

[206]

McDermott, E. and Graham, H. 2005. Resilient Young Mothering: Social Inequalities, Late Modernity and the 'Problem' of 'Teenage' Motherhood. Journal of Youth Studies. 8, 1 (Mar. 2005), 59–79. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13676260500063702.

[207]

McKibben, B. 2004. Enough: genetic engineering and the end of human nature. Bloomsbury.

[208]

McLean, S. and Elliston, S. eds. 2014. Regulating pre-implantation genetic diagnosis: a comparative and theoretical analysis. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

[209]

McLean, S. and Elliston, S. 2013. Regulating pre-implantation genetic diagnosis: a comparative and theoretical analysis. Routledge.

[210]

Meerabeau, L. 1991. Husbands' participation in fertility treatment: they also serve who only stand and wait. Sociology of Health and Illness. 13, 3 (Sep. 1991), 396–410. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.ep10492399.

[211]

Mendes, K. and Silva, K. 2015. Feminist erasures: challenging backlash culture. Palgrave Macmillan.

[212]

Mette N. Svendsen and Lene Koch 2008. Unpacking the 'Spare Embryo': Facilitating Stem Cell Research in a Moral Landscape. Social Studies of Science. 38, 1 (2008), 93–110.

[213]

Millar, I.M. et al. 2009. Exploring infertility issues in adoption. British Association for Adoption & Fostering.

[214]

Mills, M. et al. 2011. Why do people postpone parenthood? Reasons and social policy incentives. Human Reproduction Update. 17, 6 (Nov. 2011), 848–860. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmr026.

[215]

Mollborn, S. 2007. Making the Best of a Bad Situation: Material Resources and Teenage Parenthood. Journal of Marriage and Family. 69, 1 (2007), 92–104.

[216]

Mollborn, S. and Jacobs, J. 2012. "We'll Figure a Way": Teenage Mothers' Experiences in Shifting Social and Economic Contexts. Qualitative Sociology. 35, 1 (Mar. 2012), 23–46. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-011-9213-1.

[217]

Moore, L.J. 2007. Sperm counts: overcome by man's most precious fluid. New York University Press.

[218]

Morgan, D. 1994. Surrogacy Issue: Who Is the Other Mother, The. International Journal of Law and the Family. 8, 3 (1994), 386–412.

[219]

Morgan, P.M. and Institute of Economic Affairs (Great Britain). Health and Welfare Unit 1999. Adoption: the continuing debate. IEA Health and Welfare Unit.

[220]

Mortimore, C. 1994. Immigration and adoption. Trentham Books and School of Oriental & African Studies.

[221]

Mothers Over 40: http://www.mothersover40.com/home.html.

[222]

Nahman, M. 2006. Materializing Israeliness: Difference and mixture in transnational ova donation. Science as Culture. 15, 3 (Sep. 2006), 199–213. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/09505430600890669.

[223]

Nahman, M. 2008. Nodes of Desire: Romanian Egg Sellers, `Dignity' and Feminist Alliances in Transnational Ova Exchanges. European Journal of Women's Studies. 15, 2 (May 2008), 65–82. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1350506807088068.

[224]

Nahman, M.R. 2013. Extractions: an ethnography of reproductive tourism. Palgrave Macmillan.

[225]

Nahman, M.R. 2013. Extractions: An ethnography of reproductive tourism. Palgrave Macmillan.

[226]

Nash, M. ed. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[227]

Nash, M. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[228]

Nash, M. ed. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[229]

Nash, M. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[230]

Nash, M. ed. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[231]

Nash, M. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[232]

Nash, M. ed. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[233]

Nash, M. 2014. Reframing reproduction: conceiving gendered experiences. Palgrave Macmillan.

[234]

National Donation Strategy Group: http://www.altrui.co.uk/2012/05/03/hfea-national-donation-strategy-group/.

[235]

National Gamete Donation Trust: http://www.ngdt.co.uk/.

[236]

NICE 2013 Guideline on Fertility: http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG156.

[237]

van Niekerk, A. and van Zyl, L. 1995. The Ethics of Surrogacy: Women's Reproductive Labour. Journal of Medical Ethics. 21, 6 (1995), 345–349.

[238]

Norton, W. et al. 2013. Gay men seeking surrogacy to achieve parenthood. Reproductive BioMedicine Online. 27, 3 (Sep. 2013), 271–279. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.03.016.

[239]

Nurture: Stories of New Midlife Mothers: http://www.midlifemothers.org/.

[240]

Oakley, A. 1993. Essays on women, medicine and health. Edinburgh University Press.

[241]

One at a Time: 2014. http://www.oneatatime.org.uk/.

[242]

OPTS - The Organization of Parents Through Surrogacy: http://www.opts.com/.

[243]

Overboe, J. 2007. Disability and Genetics: Affirming the Bare Life (the State of Exception). Canadian review of sociology and anthropology (Online) The Canadian review of sociology

and anthropology. [electronic resource]: Revue canadienne de sociologie et d'anthropologie. Canadian review of sociology and anthropology (Online) Revue canadienne de sociologie et d'anthropologie CRSA/RCSA. 44, 2 (2007), 219–235.

[244]

Pande, A. 2010. Commercial Surrogacy in India: Manufacturing a Perfect Mother-Worker. Signs. 35, 4 (2010), 969–992.

[245]

Parens, E. and Asch, A. 2000. Prenatal testing and disability rights. Georgetown University Press.

[246]

Park, S.M. 2006. Adoptive Maternal Bodies: A Queer Paradigm for Rethinking Mothering? Hypatia. 21, 1 (2006), 201–226.

[247]

Parry, S. 2006. (Re)constructing embryos in stem cell research: Exploring the meaning of embryos for people involved in fertility treatments. Social Science & Medicine. 62, 10 (May 2006), 2349–2359. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.10.024.

[248]

Parry, S. 2003. The politics of cloning: mapping the rhetorical convergence of embryos and stem cells in parliamentary debates. New Genetics and Society. 22, 2 (Aug. 2003), 177–200. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/1463677032000102594.

[249]

Pateman, C. 1988. The sexual contract. Polity.

[250]

People's Health Movement: http://www.phmovement.org/en/node/7146.

[251]

Perrier, M. 2013. No right time: the significance of reproductive timing for younger and older mothers' moralities. The Sociological Review. 61, 1 (Feb. 2013), 69–87. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-954X.12005.

[252]

Petersen, A. 2001. Biofantasies: genetics and medicine in the print news media. Social Science & Medicine. 52, 8 (Apr. 2001), 1255–1268. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(00)00229-X.

[253]

Pfeffer, N. 1993. The stork and the syringe: a political history of reproductive medicine. Polity Press.

[254]

Phillips, A. 2011. It's My Body and I'll Do What I Like With It: Bodies as Objects and Property. Political Theory. 39, 6 (2011), 724–748.

[255]

Phillips, A. 2013. Our bodies, whose property?. Princeton University Press.

[256]

Phillips, A. 2013. Our bodies, whose property?. Princeton University Press.

[257]

Phillips, A. 2013. Our bodies, whose property?. Princeton University Press.

[258]

Phillips, A. 2013. Our bodies, whose property?. Princeton University Press.

[259]

Phoenix, A. 1991. Young mothers?. Polity Press.

[260]

Piepmeier, A. 2013. The Inadequacy of 'Choice': Disability and What's Wrong with Feminist Framings of Reproduction. Feminist Studies. 39, 1 (2013), 159–186.

[261]

Ragone, H. 2000. Of Likeness and Difference: How Race Is Being Transformed by Gestational Surrogacy. Ideologies and technologies of motherhood: race, class, sexuality, nationalism. Routledge. 56–75.

[262]

Ragoné, H. 1994. Surrogate motherhood: conception in the heart. Westview Press.

[263]

Ramey, S.L. 2001. Interwoven lives: adolescent mothers and their children. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[264]

Richards, M. et al. 2012. Reproductive donation: practice, policy, and bioethics. Cambridge University Press.

[265]

Richards, M. et al. eds. 2012. Reproductive Donation: Practice, Policy and Bioethics. Cambridge University Press.

[266]

Riggs, D.W. 2011. "Let's Go to the Movies": Filmic Representations of Gay Foster and Adoptive Parents. Journal of GLBT Family Studies. 7, 3 (May 2011), 297–312. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/1550428X.2011.564948.

[267]

Riggs, D.W. and Due, C. 2014. "The Contented Faces of a Unique Australian Family": Privilege and Vulnerability in News Media Reporting of Offshore Surrogacy Arrangements. Feminist Media Studies. 14, 5 (Sep. 2014), 869–872. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2014.952874.

[268]

Roberts, C. and Throsby, K. 2008. Paid to share: IVF patients, eggs and stem cell research. Social Science & Medicine. 66, 1 (Jan. 2008), 159–169. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.08.011.

[269]

Roberts, D.E. 1999. Killing the black body: race, reproduction, and the meaning of liberty. Vintage.

[270]

Roberts, D.E. 1999. Killing the black body: race, reproduction, and the meaning of liberty. Vintage.

[271]

Roberts, D.E. 1999. Killing the black body: race, reproduction, and the meaning of liberty. Vintage.

[272]

Roberts, E.F.S. 2012. God's laboratory: assisted reproduction in the Andes. University of

California Press.

[273]

ROCK, P.J. 1996. Eugenics and Euthanasia: A cause for concern for disabled people, particularly disabled women. Disability & Society. 11, 1 (Mar. 1996), 121–127. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599650023399.

[274]

Rolfe, A. 2008. 'You've got to grow up when you've got a kid': Marginalized young women's accounts of motherhood. Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology. 18, 4 (Jul. 2008), 299–314. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.925.

[275]

Rosenthal, J.L. et al. 1997. P-180 The desire for childbearing in women of advanced reproductive age: Findings in a donor oocyte program. Fertility and Sterility. 68, (Jan. 1997), S179–S180. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(97)90995-X.

[276]

Rothblatt, M.A. 1997. Unzipped genes: taking charge of baby-making in the new millennium. Temple University Press.

[277]

Rowland, R. 1992. Living laboratories: women and reproductive technology. Lime Tree.

[278]

Saetnan, A.R. et al. 2000. Bodies of technology: women's involvement with reproductive medicine. Ohio State University Press.

[279]

Sales, S. 2012. Adoption, family and the paradox of origins: a Foucauldian history. Palgrave Macmillan.

[280]

Sales, S. 2012. Adoption, family and the paradox of origins: A Foucauldian history. Palgrave Macmillan.

[281]

Sales, S. 2015. Contested attachments: rethinking adoptive kinship in the era of open adoption. Child & Family Social Work. 20, 2 (May 2015), 149–158. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.12062.

[282]

Schofield, G. 1994. The youngest mothers: the experience of pregnancy and motherhood among young women of school age. Avebury.

[283]

Selman, P. and British Agencies for Adoption and Fostering 2000. Intercountry adoption: developments, trends and perspectives. British Agencies for Adoption and Fostering.

[284]

Selman, P. and Glendinning, C. 1994. Teenage Pregnancy and Social Policy. Youth and Policy. 47, 5 (1994), 39–58.

[285]

Sevon, E. 2005. Timing Motherhood: Experiencing and Narrating the Choice to Become a Mother. Feminism & psychology. 15, 4 (2005), 461–482.

[286]

Seymour, N. 2007. In black and white. BAAF.

[287]

Shakespeare, T. 1999. 'Losing the plot'? Medical and activist discourses of contemporary genetics and disability. Sociology of Health and Illness. 21, 5 (Sep. 1999), 669–688. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.00178.

[288]

Shaw, R. 2008. Rethinking Reproductive Gifts as Body Projects. Sociology. 42, 1 (Feb. 2008), 11–28. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038507084823.

[289]

Shaw, R.L. and Giles, D.C. 2009. Motherhood on ice? A media framing analysis of older mothers in the UK news. Psychology & Health. 24, 2 (Feb. 2009), 221–236. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440701601625.

[290]

Shelton, N. and Johnson, S. 2006. 'I think motherhood for me was a bit like a double-edged sword': the narratives of older mothers. Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology. 16, 4 (Jul. 2006), 316–330. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.867.

[291]

Silliman, J.M. et al. 2004. Undivided rights: women of color organize for reproductive justice. South End Press.

[292]

Silva, K. 2015. Feminist erasures: challenging backlash culture. Palgrave Macmillian.

[293]

Simon, R.J. et al. 1994. The case for transracial adoption. American University Press.

[294]

Simon, R.J. and Altstein, H. 2002. Adoption, race, & identity: from infancy to young adulthood. Transaction Publishers.

[295]

SisterSong | Women of Color Reproductive Justice Collective: http://sistersong.net/.

[296]

Smith, M.K. 2013. The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008: restrictions on the creation of "saviour siblings" and the relevance of the harm principle. New Genetics and Society. 32, 2 (Jun. 2013), 154–170. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14636778.2013.788358.

[297]

Smolin, D.M. 2006. Child Laundering: How the Intercountry Adoption System Legitimizes and Incentivizes the Practices of Buying, Trafficking, Kidnaping, and Stealing Children. Wayne Law Review. 52, 1 (2006), 113–200.

[298]

Spallone, P. 1989. Beyond conception: the new politics of reproduction. Macmillan Education

[299]

Spallone, P. and Steinberg, D.L. 1987. Made to order: the myth of reproductive and genetic progress. Pergamon.

[300]

Stacey, M. 1992. Changing human reproduction: social science perspectives. Sage.

[301]

Stanworth, M. 1987. Reproductive technologies: gender, motherhood and medicine. Polity

in association with Blackwell.

[302]

Stanworth, M. 1987. Reproductive technologies: gender, motherhood and medicine. Polity in association with Blackwell.

[303]

Stanworth, M. 1987. Reproductive technologies: gender, motherhood and medicine. Polity in association with Blackwell.

[304]

Steinberg, D.L. 1997. A most selective practice. Women's Studies International Forum. 20, 1 (Jan. 1997), 33–48. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5395(96)00067-2.

[305]

Steinberg, D.L. 1997. Bodies in glass: genetics, eugenics, embryo ethics. Manchester University Press.

[306]

Stonewall: Adoption and Fostering:

https://www.stonewall.org.uk/help-advice/parenting-rights/adoption-and-fostering.

[307]

Strong, K. et al. 2014. Savior Siblings, Parenting and the Moral Valorization of Children. Bioethics. 28, 4 (May 2014), 187–193.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2012.02001.x.

[308]

Successful Parents India | Surrogacy Agency | New Delhi, India:

http://www.findsurrogatemother.com/surrogacy-agencies/successful-parents-india-new-del

hi-india 870.

[309]

Sufrin, C. et al. 2015. Reproductive Justice, Health Disparities And Incarcerated Women in the United States. Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health. 47, 4 (Dec. 2015), 213–219. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1363/47e3115.

[310]

Sui, S. and Sleeboom-Faulkner, M. 2010. Choosing offspring: prenatal genetic testing for thalassaemia and the production of a 'saviour sibling' in China. Culture, Health & Sexuality . 12, 2 (Feb. 2010), 167–175. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13691050902914110.

[311]

Surrogacy UK: http://www.surrogacyuk.org/.

[312]

Sweden: EWL members campaign against surrogate motherhood - European Women's Lobby:

http://www.womenlobby.org/Sweden-EWL-members-campaign-against-surrogate-motherhood

[313]

Tabberer, S. and Joseph Rowntree Foundation 2000. Teenage pregnancy and choice: abortion or motherhood: influences on the decision. York Publishing Services for the Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

[314]

Taylor-Sands, M. 2013. Saviour siblings: a relational approach to the welfare of the child in selective reproduction. Routledge.

[315]

Taylor-Sands, M. 2013. Saviour siblings: a relational approach to the welfare of the child in selective reproduction. Routledge.

[316]

The ethics of stem cells | Wellcome Trust:

http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/About-us/Policy/Spotlight-issues/Human-Fertilisation-and-Embryology-Act/Stem-cell-basics/WTD040077.htm.

[317]

The Pro-Choice Public Education Project: http://www.protectchoice.org/index.php.

[318]

The Tindall Foundation Thrive Teen Parenting Centre:

http://tindall.org.nz/thrive-teen-parenting-centre-empowering-young-parents-to-build-thriving-families/.

[319]

Thompson, C. 2005. Making parents: the ontological choreography of reproductive technologies. MIT.

[320]

Throsby, K. 2004. 'It's Different for Men': Masculinity and IVF. Men and Masculinities. 6, 4 (Apr. 2004), 330–348. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1097184X03260958.

[321]

Throsby, K. 2004. The unaltered body?: Rethinking the body when IVF fails. Science Studies. 19, 2 (2004), 77–97.

[322]

Throsby, K. 2004. When IVF fails: feminism, infertility, and the negotiation of normality.

Palgrave Macmillan.

[323]

Throsby, K. 2004. When IVF fails: feminism, infertility and the negotiation of normality. Palgrave Macmillan.

[324]

Tomasovic, E. 2010. Robbed of Reproductive Justice: The Necessity of a Global Initiative to Provide Redress to Roma Women Coercively Sterilized in Eastern Europe. Columbia Human Rights Law Review. 41, 3 (2010), 765–824.

[325]

Tornello, S.L. and Patterson, C.J. 2015. Timing of Parenthood and Experiences of Gay Fathers: A Life Course Perspective. Journal of GLBT Family Studies. 11, 1 (Jan. 2015), 35–56. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/1550428X.2013.878681.

[326]

Trimmings, K. and Beaumont, P. 2013. International surrogacy arrangements: Legal regulation at the international level. Hart Publishing Ltd.

[327]

Trimmings, K. and Beaumont, P.R. eds. 2013. International surrogacy arrangements: legal regulation at the international level. Hart Publishing.

[328]

Turney, J. 1998. Frankenstein's footsteps: science, genetics and popular culture. Yale University Press.

[329]

Tutton, R. 2002. Gift Relationships in Genetics Research. Science as Culture. 11, 4 (Dec.

2002), 523-542. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/0950543022000028965.

[330]

Twine, F.W. 2015. Outsourcing the womb: race, class, and gestational surrogacy in a global market. Routledge.

[331]

Unnithan, M. and Pigg, S.L. 2014. Sexual and reproductive health rights and justice – tracking the relationship. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 16, 10 (Nov. 2014), 1181–1187.

[332]

Vora, K. 2012. Limits of "Labor": Accounting for Affect and the Biological in Transnational Surrogacy and Service Work. South Atlantic Quarterly. 111, 4 (Spring 2012), 681–700. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1215/00382876-1724138 10.1215/00382876-1724138.

[333]

Wade, P. 2007. Race, ethnicity and nation: perspectives from kinship and genetics. Berghahn Books.

[334]

Wade, P. 2007. Race, ethnicity and nation: perspectives from kinship and genetics. Berghahn Books.

[335]

Wade, P. 2007. Race, ethnicity and nation: perspectives from kinship and genetics. Berghahn Books.

[336]

Wade, P. 2007. Race, ethnicity and nation: perspectives from kinship and genetics.

Berghahn Books.

[337]

Waldby, C. 2008. Oocyte markets: women's reproductive work in embryonic stem cell research. New Genetics and Society. 27, 1 (Mar. 2008), 19–31. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14636770701843576.

[338]

Waldby, C. and Cooper, M. From Reproductive Work to Regenerative Labour: The Female Body and the Stem Cell Industries'. Feminist Theory. 11, 1, 3–22.

[339]

Weed, K. et al. 2015. Teen pregnancy and parenting: rethinking the myths and misperceptions. Routledge.

[340]

Weise, J. 1988. Transracial adoption: a black perspective. Social Work Monographs.

[341]

Williams, C. et al. 2003. Envisaging the embryo in stem cell research: rhetorical strategies and media reporting of the ethical debates. Sociology of Health and Illness. 25, 7 (Nov. 2003), 793–814. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-9566.2003.00370.x.

[342]

Wilson, A.R. 2013. Situating intersectionality: Politics, policy, and power. Palgrave Macmillan.

[343]

WILSON, H. and HUNTINGTON, A. 2005. Deviant (M)others: The Construction of Teenage Motherhood in Contemporary Discourse. Journal of Social Policy. 35, 01 (Dec. 2005).

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279405009335.

[344]

Winkelman, W.D. et al. 2015. Public perspectives on the use of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics. 32, 5 (May 2015), 665–675. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-015-0456-8.

[345]

Yamin, A.E. and Boulanger, V.M. 2013. Embedding sexual and reproductive health and rights in a transformational development framework: lessons learned from the MDG targets and indicators. Reproductive Health Matters. 21, 42 (Nov. 2013), 74–85. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080(13)42727-1.

[346]

Yamin, A.E. and Boulanger, V.M. 2014. Why Global Goals and Indicators Matter: The Experience of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights in the Millennium Development Goals. Journal of Human Development and Capabilities. 15, 2–3 (Jul. 2014), 218–231. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/19452829.2014.896322.

[347]

Yngvesson, B. 2010. Belonging in an adopted world: race, identity, and transnational adoption. The University of Chicago Press.

[348]

Younes, M.N. and Klein, S.A. 2014. The International Adoption Experience: Do They Live Happily Ever After? Adoption Quarterly. 17, 1 (Jan. 2014), 65–83. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10926755.2014.875090.

[349]

Zucker, A.N. 2014. Reproductive Justice: More Than Choice. Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy. 14, 1 (Dec. 2014), 210–213. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/asap.12059.

[350]

van Zyl, L. and van Niekerk, A. 2000. Interpretations, Perspectives and Intentions in Surrogate Motherhood. Journal of Medical Ethics. 26, 5 (2000), 404–409.

[351]

Black families and adoption - the debate. BBC - London.

[352]

Decentering the Individual and Centering Community: Using a Reproductive Justice Methodology to Examine the Uses of Reprogenetics. Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies. 34, 3, 213–225.

[353]

Mitochondria Replacement Consultations: Advice to Government.

[354]

9AD. RCOG statement on later maternal age.

[355]

Roundtable: Reproductive Technologies and Reproductive Justice. Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies. 34, 3, 102–125.