

# PH212: Applied Ethics

[View Online](#)

---

1.

Lantz G. Applied Ethics: What Kind of Ethics and What Kind of Ethicist? *Journal of applied philosophy* [Internet]. 2000;17(1):21–8. Available from: <http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/1468-5930.00137/abstract>

2.

Norman R. Applied Ethics: What is Applied to What? *Utilitas* [Internet]. 2000;12(2):119–36. Available from: <http://0-journals.cambridge.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=3366280&fileId=S0953820800002740>

3.

LaFollette H, editor. *Ethics in practice: an anthology* [Internet]. Fourth edition. Vol. Blackwell philosophy anthologies. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell; 2014. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781118790731>

4.

Wiley InterScience (Online service). *A companion to applied ethics* [Internet]. Frey RG, Wellman CH, editors. Oxford: Blackwell; 2005. Available from: <http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/book/10.1002/9780470996621>

5.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/>

6.

Copp D, editor. The Oxford handbook of ethical theory [Internet]. Vol. Oxford handbooks in philosophy. New York: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195325911.001.0001>

7.

Copp D, editor. The Oxford handbook of ethical theory [Internet]. Vol. Oxford handbooks in philosophy. New York: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195325911.001.0001>

8.

Thomson JJ. A Defense of Abortion. Philosophy & Public Affairs [Internet]. 1971;1(1):47–66. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265091>

9.

Marquis D. Why Abortion is Immoral. The Journal of Philosophy [Internet]. 1989;86(4):183–202. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2026961>

10.

Cudd AE. Sensationalized Philosophy: A Reply to Marquis's 'Why Abortion is Immoral'. The Journal of Philosophy [Internet]. 1990;87(5):262–4. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2026833>

11.

Tooley M. Abortion and Infanticide. Philosophy & public affairs (Online) Philosophy & public affairs [electronic resource] Philos public aff (Online) Philosophy and public affairs (Online) Philosophy and public affairs [Internet]. 1972;2(1):37–65. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2264919.pdf?acceptTC=true&>

jpdConfirm=true

12.

Cohen AI, Wellman CH. Contemporary debates in applied ethics. Vol. Contemporary debates in philosophy. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub; 2005.

13.

Davis N. Abortion and Self-Defense. Philosophy & public affairs (Online) Philosophy & public affairs [electronic resource] Philos public aff (Online) Philosophy and public affairs (Online) Philosophy and public affairs [Internet]. 1984;13(3):175-207. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2265411.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

14.

Boonin-Vail D. A Defense of 'A Defense of Abortion': On the Responsibility Objection to Thomson's Argument. Ethics [Internet]. 1997;107(2):286-313. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2381949>

15.

McDaniel I. The Responsibility Objection to Abortion: Rejecting the Notion that the Responsibility Objection Successfully Refutes a Woman's Right to Choose. Bioethics [Internet]. 2015;29(4):291-9. Available from: <http://0-ejournals.ebsco.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/Article.asp?ContributionID=35474987>

16.

Gibson RF. On an Inconsistency in Thomson's Abortion Argument. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition [Internet]. 1984;46(1):131-9. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4319694>

17.

Finnis J. The Rights and Wrongs of Abortion: A Reply to Judith Thomson. Philosophy & Public Affairs [Internet]. 1973;2(2):117-45. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265137>

18.

Brody B. Thomson on Abortion. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 1972;1(3):335–40. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265056>

19.

Sparrow R. 'A Not-So-New Eugenics'. *Hastings Center report* (Online) The Hastings Center report [electronic resource] *Hastings cent rep* (Online) The Hastings Center report (Online) [Internet]. 2011;41(1):32–44. Available from: [http://0-muse.jhu.edu.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journals/hastings\\_center\\_report/v041/41.1.sparrow.pdf](http://0-muse.jhu.edu.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journals/hastings_center_report/v041/41.1.sparrow.pdf)

20.

*Public Affairs Quarterly*. Available from: <http://www.press.uillinois.edu/journals/paq.html>

21.

Kuhse H, Singer P. *Bioethics: an anthology*. 2nd ed. Vol. Blackwell philosophy anthologies. Oxford: Blackwell Pub; 2006.

22.

Buchanan AE. *From chance to choice: genetics and justice*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

23.

Bostrom N, Ord T. The Reversal Test: Eliminating Status Quo Bias in Applied Ethics. *Ethics* (Chicago, Ill : Online) *Ethics* [electronic resource] *Ethics* (Online) *Ethics* (Online) [Internet]. 2006;116(4):656–79. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/full/10.1086/505233>

24.

Savulescu J, Bostrom N. *Human enhancement*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press;

2011.

25.

Savulescu J, Meulen RHJ ter, Kahane G. Enhancing human capacities. Chichester, West Sussex, U.K.: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.

26.

Life Sciences, Society and Policy | Full text | Procreative Beneficence, Obligation, and Eugenics. Available from: <http://www.lsspjournal.com/content/3/3/43>

27.

Singer P. Animal liberation: towards an end to man's inhumanity to animals. London: Paladin; 1977.

28.

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology [Internet]. Fourth edition. Vol. Blackwell philosophy anthologies. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley Blackwell; 2014. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781118790731>

29.

Davis SL. The Least Harm Principle May Require that Humans Consume a Diet Containing Large Herbivores, Not a Vegan Diet. Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics [Internet]. 2003;16(4):387–94. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1023/A:1025638030686>

30.

McPherson T. A Case for Ethical Veganism Intuitive and Methodological Considerations. Journal of Moral Philosophy. 2014;11(6):677–703.

31.

Korsgaard K. A Kantian Case for Animal Rights. Available from:  
<http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~korsgaard/CMK.Animal.Rights.pdf>

32.

Singer P. Utilitarianism and Vegetarianism. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 1980;9(4):325–37. Available from:  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265002>

33.

Regan T. The Moral Basis of Vegetarianism. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* [Internet]. 1975;5(2):181–214. Available from:  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40230566>

34.

Fairlie S. *Meat: a benign extravagance*. 1st ed. East Meon: Permanent Pub; 2010.

35.

Savoury A. How to green the world's deserts and reverse climate change [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vpTHi7O66pl>

36.

Sinnott-Armstrong W, Howarth RB. Perspectives on climate change: science, economics, politics, ethics. Vol. *Advances in the economics of environmental resources*. Amsterdam: Elsevier JAI; 2005.

37.

KAGAN S. Do I Make a Difference? *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 2011;39(2):105–41. Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=62837380&site=eds-live&group=trial>

38.

NEFSKY J. Consequentialism and the Problem of Collective Harm: A Reply to Kagan. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 2011;39(4):364–95. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=74132867&site=eds-live&group=trial>

39.

Andreou C. Environmental Damage and the Puzzle of the Self-Torturer. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 2006;34(1):95–108. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3557976>

40.

Lawson, B. Individual Complicity in Collective Wrongdoing. In: *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* [Internet]. 2013; Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-84875648932&site=eds-live&group=trial>

41.

Parfit D. *Reasons and persons* [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon; 1984. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/019824908X.001.0001>

42.

Jamieson, Dale. When Utilitarians Should Be Virtue Theorists. *Utilitas*; Jun2007, Vol [Internet]. 2007;19:160–83. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=25532637&site=eds-live&group=trial>

43.

Wündisch J. Green Votes not Green Virtues: Effective Utilitarian Responses to Climate Change. *Utilitas* [Internet]. 2014;26(2):192–205. Available from: <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/1530317563?accountid=14888>

44.

Spiekermann K. Small impacts and imperceptible effects: Causing harm with others.

Available from:

[http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/eds/C\\_\\_Sspiekermann%20impacts%20harms\\_\\_Orightresult\\_\\_U?lang=eng&suite=cobalt](http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/eds/C__Sspiekermann%20impacts%20harms__Orightresult__U?lang=eng&suite=cobalt)

45.

Glover J, Scott-Taggart MJ. It Makes no Difference Whether or Not I Do It. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volumes* [Internet]. 1975;49:171–209. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4106873>

46.

Broad CD. On the Function of False Hypotheses in Ethics. *International Journal of Ethics* [Internet]. 1916;26(3):377–97. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2377052>

47.

Pinkert F. What if i cannot make a difference (and know it). *Ethics* [Internet]. 2015;125(4):971–98. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1086/680909>

48.

Zwolinski, Matt. SWEATSHOPS, CHOICE, AND EXPLOITATION. *Business Ethics Quarterly* [Internet]. 2007;17(4):689–727. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=27019122&site=eds-live&group=trial>

49.

Peter Singer. Famine, Affluence, and Morality. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 1972;1(3):229–43. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265052>

50.

Pogge T. Real World Justice. *The Journal of Ethics* [Internet]. 2005;9(1):29–53. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/25115814>

51.

Green K. Distance, Divided Responsibility and Universalizability. *The Monist* [Internet]. 2003;86(3):501–15. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/27903838>

52.

MacAskill W. Replaceability, Career Choice, and Making a Difference. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* [Internet]. 2014;17(2):269–83. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1007/s10677-013-9433-4>

53.

Collins S. Duties to Make Friends. *Ethical Theory & Moral Practice* [Internet]. 2013;16(5):907–21. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/24478736>

54.

Deveaux M. The Global Poor as Agents of Justice. *Journal of Moral Philosophy*. 2015;12(2):125–50.

55.

PABLO GILABERT. THE DUTY TO ERADICATE GLOBAL POVERTY: POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* [Internet]. 2005;7(5):537–50. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/25733600?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=global&searchText=poor&searchText=agents&searchText=of&searchText=justice&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dglobal%2Bpoor%2Bagents%2Bof%2Bjustice%26amp%3Bacc%3Don%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3Bgroup%3Dnone&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/25733600?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=global&searchText=poor&searchText=agents&searchText=of&searchText=justice&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dglobal%2Bpoor%2Bagents%2Bof%2Bjustice%26amp%3Bacc%3Don%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3Bgroup%3Dnone&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

56.

Brock G. Global Poverty and Desert. *Politics* [Internet]. 2006;26(3):168–75. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1467-9256.2006.00265.x>

57.

Risse M. Do We Owe the Global Poor Assistance or Rectification? *Ethics & International Affairs* [Internet]. 2005;19(1):9–18. Available from: <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/200508937?accountid=14888>

58.

Wenar L. Property Rights and the Resource Curse. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 2008;36(1):2–32. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40212810>

59.

Miller RW. Beneficence, Duty and Distance. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 2004;32(4):357–83. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/3557993>

60.

Satz D. What Do We Owe the Global Poor? *Ethics & International Affairs* [Internet]. 2005;19(1):47–54. Available from: <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/200503736?accountid=14888>

61.

O'Neill O. Global Poverty and the Limits of Academic Expertise. *Ethics & International Affairs* [Internet]. 2012;26(2):183–9. Available from: <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/1030091034?accountid=14888>

62.

Spoerl JS. Peter Singer on famine, affluence, and morality: a Christian response. *American Journal of Jurisprudence* [Internet]. 1992;37:113–34. Available from: [http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajj37&start\\_page=113&collection=journals&id=117](http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajj37&start_page=113&collection=journals&id=117)

63.

McElwee B. Impartial Reasons, Moral Demands. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* [Internet]. 2011;14(4):457–66. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/41472612>

64.

Pogge T. *World poverty and human rights: cosmopolitan responsibilities and reforms*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2008.

65.

Liam B. Murphy. The Demands of Beneficence. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 1993;22(4):267–92. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265468>

66.

Murphy LB. *Moral demands in nonideal theory*. Vol. Oxford ethics series. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000.

67.

Pogge T. Priorities of global justice. *Metaphilosophy* [Internet]. 2001;32(1/2):6–24. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/24439448>

68.

Unger PK. *Living high and letting die: our illusion of innocence* [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 1996. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/0195108590.001.0001>

69.

Thomson, Judith Jarvis. The Right to Privacy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 7/1/1975, Vol [Internet]. 1975;4. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265075&site=eds-live&group=trial>

70.

Rachels, James. Why Privacy is Important. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 7/1/1975, Vol [Internet]. 1975;4. Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj&sr&AN=edsjsr.2265077&site=eds-live&group=trial>

71.

Benn SI. A Theory of Freedom [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1988. Available from:  
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609114>

72.

Allen AL. Unpopular privacy: what must we hide? [Internet]. Vol. *Studies in feminist philosophy*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from:  
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195141375.001.0001>

73.

Scanlon, Thomas. Thomson on Privacy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 7/1/1975, Vol [Internet]. 1975;4. Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj&sr&AN=edsjsr.2265076&site=eds-live&group=trial>

74.

Benn SI. A Theory of Freedom [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1988. Available from:  
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609114>

75.

Hughes RLD. Two concepts of privacy. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2015 Aug;31(4):527–37.

76.

Blaauw, M. THE EPISTEMIC ACCOUNT OF PRIVACY. *EPISTEME-A JOURNAL OF INDIVIDUAL AND SOCIAL EPISTEMOLOGY*; JUN, 2013, 10 2, p167-p177, 11p [Internet]. 2013; Available from:  
[http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds\\_wah&AN=000330372800006&site=eds-live&group=trial](http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds_wah&AN=000330372800006&site=eds-live&group=trial)

77.

van den Hoven, M. J. Privacy and the varieties of moral wrong-doing in an information age. *Computers & Society*; Sep1997, Vol [Internet]. 1997;27:33-7. Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=73562782&site=eds-live&group=trial>

78.

Mill JS, Gray J. *On liberty, and other essays*. Vol. Oxford world's classics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1998.

79.

Parent WA. Privacy, Morality, and the Law. *Philosophy & public affairs* (Online) *Philosophy & public affairs* [electronic resource] *Philos public aff* (Online) *Philosophy and public affairs* (Online) *Philosophy and public affairs* [Internet]. 1983;12(4):269-88. Available from:  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2265374.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

80.

Gerstein RS. Intimacy and Privacy. *Ethics* (Chicago, Ill : Online) *Ethics* [electronic resource] *Ethics* (Online) *Ethics* (Online) [Internet]. 1978;89(1):76-81. Available from:  
[http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380133?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380133?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

81.

Etzioni A. *The limits of privacy*. New York, N.Y.: Basic Books; 1999.

82.

van den Hoven van Genderen, Rob. Trading Privacy for Security [article]. Amsterdam Law Forum, Vol [Internet]. 2009;1(2009). Available from:  
[http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds\\_hol&AN=hein.journals.amslawf1.50&site=eds-live&group=trial](http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds_hol&AN=hein.journals.amslawf1.50&site=eds-live&group=trial)

83.

Lusk, Rachel E. Facebook's Newest Friend - Employers: Use of Social Networking in Hiring Challenges U.S. Privacy Constructs [comments]. Capital University Law Review, Vol [Internet]. 2014;42(2014). Available from:  
[http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds\\_hol&AN=hein.journals.capulr42.26&site=eds-live&group=trial](http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds_hol&AN=hein.journals.capulr42.26&site=eds-live&group=trial)

84.

Wiley InterScience (Online service). A companion to applied ethics [Internet]. Frey RG, Wellman CH, editors. Oxford: Blackwell; 2005. Available from:  
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/book/10.1002/9780470996621>

85.

Lenman J. On Becoming Redundant or What Computers Shouldn't Do. Journal of Applied Philosophy [Internet]. 2001;18(1):1-11. Available from:  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/24354314>

86.

Mitcham C. Convivial software: an end-user perspective on free and open source software. Ethics and Information Technology [Internet]. 2009;11(4):299-310. Available from:  
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/222261868?accountid=14888>

87.

Bonnefon JF, Shariff A, Rahwan I. Autonomous Vehicles Need Experimental Ethics: Are We Ready for Utilitarian Cars? Science [Internet]. 352(6293):1573-6. Available from:  
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1126/science.aaf2654>

88.

Nozick R. Anarchy, state, and utopia [Internet]. New York: Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Books Group; 2013. Available from:  
[http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C\\_\\_Rb3035644](http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3035644)

89.

Parks JA. Lifting the Burden of Women's Care Work: Should Robots Replace the 'Human Touch'? *Hypatia* [Internet]. 2010;25(1):100–20. Available from:  
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40602642>

90.

Collins M. Will Robots Replace Humans? *Industrial Maintenance & Plant Operation* [Internet]. 2014;75(10):46–46. Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=99291974&site=eds-live&group=trial>

91.

Wolbring, GYumakulov, S. Social Robots: Views of Staff of a Disability Service Organization. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL ROBOTICS*; AUG, 2014, 6 3, p457-p468, 12p [Internet]. 2014; Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds-wsc&AN=000340496200012&site=eds-live&group=trial>

92.

Information Technology Wants to Be Free. *Academe*, v98 n5 p18-23 Sep-Oct 2012 [Internet]. 2012;18–23. Available from:  
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ983934&site=eds-live&group=trial>

93.

Nihlén Fahlquist J. Saving lives in road traffic—ethical aspects. *Journal of Public Health*. 2009 Dec;17(6):385–94.

94.

Hevelke A, Nida-Rümelin J. Responsibility for Crashes of Autonomous Vehicles: An Ethical

Analysis. *Science and Engineering Ethics*. 2015 Jun;21(3):619–30.

95.

Purves D, Jenkins R, Strawser BJ. Autonomous Machines, Moral Judgment, and Acting for the Right Reasons. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* [Internet]. 2015;18(4):851–72. Available from: <http://0-doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1007/s10677-015-9563-y>

96.

Ravid O. Don't Sue Me, I Was Just Lawfully Texting & Drunk When My Autonomous Car Crashed into You. *Southwestern Law Review* [Internet]. 2014;44:175–207. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/swulr44&id=&page=&collection=journals&id=181>

97.

Waytz A, Heafner J, Epley N. The mind in the machine: Anthropomorphism increases trust in an autonomous vehicle. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2014 May;52:113–7.

98.

Gurney JK. Sue My Car Not Me: Products Liability and Accidents Involving Autonomous Vehicles. *Journal of Law, Technology & Policy* [Internet]. 2013;247–77. Available from: <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jltp2013&id=&page=&collection=journals&id=259>

99.

Swift A. The morality of school choice. *Theory and Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(1):7–21. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504040574>

100.

Swift A. The morality of school choice reconsidered: a response. *Theory & Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(3):323–42. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504040628>

101.

Anderson E. Rethinking Equality of Opportunity: Comment on Adam Swift's 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite'. *Theory and Research in Education*, [Internet]. 2004;2(2):99–110. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504043438>

102.

Macleod CM. The Puzzle of Parental Partiality: Reflections on 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite--School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent'. *Theory and Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(3):309–21. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504046526>

103.

Swift A. A short guide to hypocrisy. *New Statesman* [Internet]. 2003;132(4662):16–16. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=11265583&site=eds-live&group=trial>

104.

Clayton M, Stevens D. School Choice and the Burdens of Justice. *Theory and Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(2):111–26. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504043439>

105.

Macleod CM. The Puzzle of Parental Partiality: Reflections on How Not to Be a Hypocrite: School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent. *Theory and Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(3):309–21. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504046526>

106.

Swift A. How not to be a hypocrite: school choice for the morally perplexed parent [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2003. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=2253&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

107.

Power S. Comments on 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite': School Choice for the Morally Perplexed. *Theory and Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(1):23–9. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504040575>

108.

Anderson K. How not to be a Hypocrite: School Choice and the Morally Perplexed Parent. *Journal of Educational Thought* [Internet]. 2006;40(1):97–100. Available from: <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/213814123?accountid=14888>

109.

Leathwood C. A Critique of Institutional Inequalities in Higher Education (or an Alternative to Hypocrisy for Higher Educational Policy). *Theory and Research in Education* [Internet]. 2004;2(1):31–48. Available from: <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1177/1477878504040576>

110.

Brighouse H, Swift A. Legitimate Parental Partiality. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. 2009;37(1):43–80. Available from: <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40212836>

111.

Leibowitz, Uri D. Moral Deliberation and Ad Hominem Fallacies. *Journal of Moral Philosophy*; 2015, p1-23, 23p [Internet]. 2015;1–23. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=110433362&site=eds-live&group=trial>

112.

Singer, Peter. Moral Experts. *Analysis*, 3/1/1972, Vol [Internet]. 1972;32. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj&sr&AN=edsjsr.10.2307.3327906&site=eds-live&group=trial>

113.

Cross B. Moral Philosophy, Moral Expertise, and the Argument from Disagreement. *Bioethics*. 2016 Mar;30(3):188-94.

114.

Gesang, B. ARE MORAL PHILOSOPHERS MORAL EXPERTS? *BIOETHICS*; MAY, 2010, 24 4, p153-p159, 7p [Internet]. 2010; Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds wah&AN=000276014000001&site=eds-live&group=trial>

115.

Archard, D. WHY MORAL PHILOSOPHERS ARE NOT AND SHOULD NOT BE MORAL EXPERTS. *BIOETHICS*; MAR, 2011, 25 3, p119-p127, 9p [Internet]. 2011; Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds wah&AN=000286890800001&site=eds-live&group=trial>

116.

Hoffmann, M. How to identify moral experts? An application of Goldman's criteria for expert identification to the domain of morality. In: *Analyse und Kritik* [Internet]. 2012;(2). Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds elc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-84878508925&site=eds-live&group=trial>

117.

Burch, Robert W. ARE THERE MORAL EXPERTS? *The Monist*, 10/1/1974, Vol [Internet]. 1974;58. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj sr&AN=edsjsr.27902392&site=eds-live&group=trial>

118.

Miller, Peter. Who are the Moral Experts? *Journal of Moral Education*; Oct1975, Vol [Internet]. 1975;5:3-12. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb &AN=75433329&site=eds-live&group=trial>

119.

Nielsen, K. On the need for 'moral experts': a test case for practical ethics. In: The International journal of applied philosophy [Internet]. 1984;(1). Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-0021387549&site=eds-live&group=trial>

120.

Hills A. Moral Testimony and Moral Epistemology\*. Ethics. 2009 Oct;120(1):94-127.

121.

Driver, Julia. Autonomy and the Asymmetry Problem for Moral Expertise. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition, 4/1/2006, Vol [Internet]. 2006;128. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.4321738&site=eds-live&group=trial>

122.

Goldman, Alvin I. Experts: Which Ones Should You Trust? Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, 7/1/2001, Vol [Internet]. 2001;63. Available from: <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.10.2307.3071090&site=eds-live&group=trial>