

PH212: Applied Ethics

[View Online](#)

1

Lantz G. Applied Ethics: What Kind of Ethics and What Kind of Ethicist? *Journal of applied philosophy* 2000;**17**:21–8.<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/1468-5930.00137/abstract>

2

Norman R. Applied Ethics: What is Applied to What? *Utilitas* 2000;**12**:119–36.<http://0-journals.cambridge.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=3366280&fileId=S0953820800002740>

3

LaFollette H, editor. *Ethics in practice: an anthology*. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley Blackwell 2014.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781118790731>

4

Wiley InterScience (Online service). *A companion to applied ethics*. Oxford: : Blackwell 2005.
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/book/10.1002/9780470996621>

5

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. <http://plato.stanford.edu/>

6

Copp D, editor. The Oxford handbook of ethical theory. New York: : Oxford University Press 2007.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195325911.001.0001>

7

Copp D, editor. The Oxford handbook of ethical theory. New York: : Oxford University Press 2007.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195325911.001.0001>

8

Thomson JJ. A Defense of Abortion. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1971;**1**

:47–66.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265091>

9

Marquis D. Why Abortion is Immoral. The Journal of Philosophy 1989;**86**:183–202.

doi:10.2307/2026961

10

Cudd AE. Sensationalized Philosophy: A Reply to Marquis's 'Why Abortion is Immoral'. The Journal of Philosophy 1990;**87**:262–4. doi:10.2307/2026833

11

Tooley M. Abortion and Infanticide. Philosophy & public affairs (Online) Philosophy & public affairs [electronic resource] Philos public aff (Online) Philosophy and public affairs (Online) Philosophy and public affairs 1972;**2**

:37–65.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2264919.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

12

Cohen AI, Wellman CH. Contemporary debates in applied ethics. Malden, MA: : Blackwell Pub 2005.

13

Davis N. Abortion and Self-Defense. Philosophy & public affairs (Online) Philosophy & public affairs [electronic resource] Philos public aff (Online) Philosophy and public affairs (Online) Philosophy and public affairs 1984;**13**:175–207.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2265411.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

14

Boonin-Vail D. A Defense of 'A Defense of Abortion': On the Responsibility Objection to Thomson's Argument. Ethics 1997;**107**:286–313.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2381949>

15

McDaniel I. The Responsibility Objection to Abortion: Rejecting the Notion that the Responsibility Objection Successfully Refutes a Woman's Right to Choose. Bioethics 2015;**29**:291–9. doi:10.1111/bioe.12097

16

Gibson RF. On an Inconsistency in Thomson's Abortion Argument. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition 1984;**46**:131–9. doi:10.1007/BF00353495

17

Finnis J. The Rights and Wrongs of Abortion: A Reply to Judith Thomson. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1973;**2**:117–45.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265137>

18

Brody B. Thomson on Abortion. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1972;**1**:335–40.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265056>

19

Sparrow R. 'A Not-So-New Eugenics'. Hastings Center report (Online) The Hastings Center report [electronic resource] Hastings cent rep (Online) The Hastings Center report (Online) 2011;**41**:32–44.http://0-muse.jhu.edu.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journals/hastings_center_report/v041/41.1.sparrow.pdf

20

Public Affairs Quarterly. <http://www.press.uillinois.edu/journals/paq.html>

21

Kuhse H, Singer P. Bioethics: an anthology. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Blackwell Pub 2006.

22

Buchanan AE. From chance to choice: genetics and justice. Cambridge, U.K.: : Cambridge University Press 2000.

23

Bostrom N, Ord T. The Reversal Test: Eliminating Status Quo Bias in Applied Ethics. Ethics (Chicago, Ill : Online) Ethics [electronic resource] Ethics (Online) Ethics (Online) 2006; **116**:656–79.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/full/10.1086/505233>

24

Savulescu J, Bostrom N. Human enhancement. New York, NY: : Oxford University Press 2011.

25

Savulescu J, Meulen RHJ ter, Kahane G. Enhancing human capacities. Chichester, West Sussex, U.K.: : Wiley-Blackwell 2011.

26

Life Sciences, Society and Policy | Full text | Procreative Beneficence, Obligation, and Eugenics. doi:10.1186/1746-5354-3-3-43

27

Singer P. Animal liberation: towards an end to man's inhumanity to animals. London: : Paladin 1977.

28

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley Blackwell 2014.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781118790731>

29

Davis SL. The Least Harm Principle May Require that Humans Consume a Diet Containing Large Herbivores, Not a Vegan Diet. Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics 2003;**16**:387-94. doi:10.1023/A:1025638030686

30

McPherson T. A Case for Ethical Veganism Intuitive and Methodological Considerations. Journal of Moral Philosophy 2014;**11**:677-703.

31

Korsgaard K. A Kantian Case for Animal Rights.
<http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~korsgaard/CMK.Animal.Rights.pdf>

32

Singer P. Utilitarianism and Vegetarianism. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1980;**9**:325-37.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265002>

33

Regan T. The Moral Basis of Vegetarianism. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 1975;**5**:181–214.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40230566>

34

Fairlie S. *Meat: a benign extravagance*. 1st ed. East Meon: : Permanent Pub 2010.

35

Savoury A. How to green the world's deserts and reverse climate change.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vpTHi7O66pl>

36

Sinnott-Armstrong W, Howarth RB. *Perspectives on climate change: science, economics, politics, ethics*. Amsterdam: : Elsevier JAI 2005.

37

KAGAN S. Do I Make a Difference? *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2011;**39**:105–41. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2011.01203.x

38

NEFSKY J. Consequentialism and the Problem of Collective Harm: A Reply to Kagan. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2011;**39**:364–95. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2012.01209.x

39

Andreou C. Environmental Damage and the Puzzle of the Self-Torturer. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2006;**34**:95–108. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2006.00054.x

40

Lawson, B. Individual Complicity in Collective Wrongdoing. In: Ethical Theory and Moral Practice Published Online First: 2013.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-84875648932&site=eds-live&group=trial>

41

Parfit D. Reasons and persons. Oxford: : Clarendon 1984.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/019824908X.001.0001>

42

Jamieson, Dale. When Utilitarians Should Be Virtue Theorists. Utilitas; Jun2007, Vol 2007;**19**:160-83.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=25532637&site=eds-live&group=trial>

43

Wündisch J. Green Votes not Green Virtues: Effective Utilitarian Responses to Climate Change. Utilitas 2014;**26**:192-205. doi:10.1017/S0953820813000307

44

Spiekermann K. Small impacts and imperceptible effects: Causing harm with others.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/eds/C__Sspiekermann%20impacts%20harms__Originalresult__U?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

45

Glover J, Scott-Taggart MJ. It Makes no Difference Whether or Not I Do It. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volumes 1975;**49**:171-209.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4106873>

46

Broad CD. On the Function of False Hypotheses in Ethics. International Journal of Ethics 1916;**26**:377-97.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2377052>

47

Pinkert F. What if i cannot make a difference (and know it). *Ethics* 2015;**125**:971–98.
doi:10.1086/680909

48

Zwolinski, Matt. SWEATSHOPS, CHOICE, AND EXPLOITATION. *Business Ethics Quarterly* 2007;**17**:689–727.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=27019122&site=eds-live&group=trial>

49

Peter Singer. Famine, Affluence, and Morality. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1972;**1**:229–43.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265052>

50

Pogge T. Real World Justice. *The Journal of Ethics* 2005;**9**:29–53.
doi:10.1007/s10892-004-3313-z

51

Green K. Distance, Divided Responsibility and Universalizability. *The Monist* 2003;**86**:501–15. doi:10.5840/monist200386330

52

MacAskill W. Replaceability, Career Choice, and Making a Difference. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2014;**17**:269–83. doi:10.1007/s10677-013-9433-4

53

Collins S. Duties to Make Friends. *Ethical Theory & Moral Practice* 2013;**16**:907–21.
doi:10.1007/s10677-013-9422-7

54

Deveaux M. The Global Poor as Agents of Justice. *Journal of Moral Philosophy* 2015;**12**:125–50.

55

PABLO GILABERT. THE DUTY TO ERADICATE GLOBAL POVERTY: POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2005;**7**:537–50. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25733600?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=global&searchText=poor&searchText=agents&searchText=of&searchText=justice&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dglobal%2Bpoor%2Bagents%2Bof%2Bjustice%26amp%3Bacc%3Don%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3Bgroup%3Dnone&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

56

Brock G. Global Poverty and Desert. *Politics* 2006;**26**:168–75. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2006.00265.x

57

Risse M. Do We Owe the Global Poor Assistance or Rectification? *Ethics & International Affairs* 2005;**19**:9–18. doi:10.1111/j.1747-7093.2005.tb00485.x

58

Wenar L. Property Rights and the Resource Curse. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2008;**36**:2–32. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2008.00122.x

59

Miller RW. Beneficence, Duty and Distance. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2004;**32**:357–83. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2004.00018.x

60

Satz D. What Do We Owe the Global Poor? *Ethics & International Affairs* 2005;**19**:47–54. doi:10.1111/j.1747-7093.2005.tb00489.x

61

O'Neill O. Global Poverty and the Limits of Academic Expertise. *Ethics & International Affairs* 2012;**26**:183–9. doi:10.1017/S0892679412000287

62

Spoerl JS. Peter Singer on famine, affluence, and morality: a Christian response. *American Journal of Jurisprudence* 1992;**37**:113–34. http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajj37&start_page=113&collection=journals&id=117

63

McElwee B. Impartial Reasons, Moral Demands. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2011;**14**:457–66. doi:10.1007/s10677-010-9256-5

64

Pogge T. *World poverty and human rights: cosmopolitan responsibilities and reforms*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Polity 2008.

65

Liam B. Murphy. The Demands of Beneficence. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1993;**22**:267–92. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265468>

66

Murphy LB. *Moral demands in nonideal theory*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.

67

Pogge T. Priorities of global justice. *Metaphilosophy* 2001;**32**:6–24. doi:10.1111/1467-9973.00172

68

Unger PK. *Living high and letting die: our illusion of innocence*. New York: : Oxford

University Press 1996.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/0195108590.001.0001>

69

Thomson, Judith Jarvis. The Right to Privacy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 7/1/1975, Vol 1975;**4**

[.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265075&site=eds-live&group=trial](http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265075&site=eds-live&group=trial)

70

Rachels, James. Why Privacy is Important. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 7/1/1975, Vol 1975;**4**

[.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265077&site=eds-live&group=trial](http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265077&site=eds-live&group=trial)

71

Benn SI. *A Theory of Freedom*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1988.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609114>

72

Allen AL. *Unpopular privacy: what must we hide?* New York: : Oxford University Press 2011.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195141375.001.0001>

73

Scanlon, Thomas. Thomson on Privacy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 7/1/1975, Vol 1975;**4**

[.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265076&site=eds-live&group=trial](http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265076&site=eds-live&group=trial)

74

Benn SI. *A Theory of Freedom*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1988.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609114>

75

Hughes RLD. Two concepts of privacy. *Computer Law & Security Review* 2015;**31**:527–37.
doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2015.05.010

76

Blaauw, M. THE EPISTEMIC ACCOUNT OF PRIVACY. *EPISTEME-A JOURNAL OF INDIVIDUAL AND SOCIAL EPISTEMOLOGY*; JUN, 2013, 10 2, p167-p177, 11p Published Online First: 2013.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswah&AN=000330372800006&site=eds-live&group=trial>

77

van den Hoven, M. J. Privacy and the varieties of moral wrong-doing in an information age. *Computers & Society*; Sep1997, Vol 1997;**27**:33–7.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=73562782&site=eds-live&group=trial>

78

Mill JS, Gray J. *On liberty, and other essays*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1998.

79

Parent WA. Privacy, Morality, and the Law. *Philosophy & public affairs* (Online) *Philosophy & public affairs* [electronic resource] *Philos public aff* (Online) *Philosophy and public affairs* (Online) *Philosophy and public affairs* 1983;**12**:269–88.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2265374.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

80

Gerstein RS. Intimacy and Privacy. *Ethics* (Chicago, Ill : Online) *Ethics* [electronic resource] *Ethics* (Online) *Ethics* (Online) 1978;**89**:76–81.
http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380133?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

81

Etzioni A. The limits of privacy. New York, N.Y.: : Basic Books 1999.

82

van den Hoven van Genderen, Rob. Trading Privacy for Security [article]. Amsterdam Law Forum, Vol 2009;**1**
.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds
hol&AN=hein.journals.amslawf1.50&site=eds-live&group=trial

83

Lusk, Rachel E. Facebook's Newest Friend - Employers: Use of Social Networking in Hiring Challenges U.S. Privacy Constructs [comments]. Capital University Law Review, Vol 2014;
42
.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds
hol&AN=hein.journals.capulr42.26&site=eds-live&group=trial

84

Wiley InterScience (Online service). A companion to applied ethics. Oxford: : Blackwell
2005.
http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/book/10.1002/9780470996621

85

Lenman J. On Becoming Redundant or What Computers Shouldn't Do. Journal of Applied
Philosophy 2001;**18**:1–11. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00169

86

Mitcham C. Convivial software: an end-user perspective on free and open source software.
Ethics and Information Technology 2009;**11**:299–310. doi:10.1007/s10676-009-9209-7

87

Bonnefon J-F, Shariff A, Rahwan I. Autonomous Vehicles Need Experimental Ethics: Are We
Ready for Utilitarian Cars? Science;**352**:1573–6. doi:10.1126/science.aaf2654

88

Nozick R. Anarchy, state, and utopia. New York: : Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Books Group 2013. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3035644

89

Parks JA. Lifting the Burden of Women's Care Work: Should Robots Replace the 'Human Touch'? *Hypatia* 2010;**25**:100–20. doi:10.1111/j.1527-2001.2009.01086.x

90

Collins M. Will Robots Replace Humans? *Industrial Maintenance & Plant Operation* 2014;**75**:46–46.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=99291974&site=eds-live&group=trial>

91

Wolbring, GYumakulov, S. Social Robots: Views of Staff of a Disability Service Organization. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL ROBOTICS*; AUG, 2014, 6 3, p457-p468, 12p
Published Online First:
2014.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswsc&AN=000340496200012&site=eds-live&group=trial>

92

Information Technology Wants to Be Free. *Academe*, v98 n5 p18-23 Sep-Oct 2012
2012;:18–23.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ983934&site=eds-live&group=trial>

93

Nihlén Fahlquist J. Saving lives in road traffic—ethical aspects. *Journal of Public Health* 2009;**17**:385–94. doi:10.1007/s10389-009-0264-7

94

Hevelke A, Nida-Rümelin J. Responsibility for Crashes of Autonomous Vehicles: An Ethical Analysis. *Science and Engineering Ethics* 2015;**21**:619–30. doi:10.1007/s11948-014-9565-5

95

Purves D, Jenkins R, Strawser BJ. Autonomous Machines, Moral Judgment, and Acting for the Right Reasons. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2015;**18**:851–72. doi:10.1007/s10677-015-9563-y

96

Ravid O. Don't Sue Me, I Was Just Lawfully Texting & Drunk When My Autonomous Car Crashed into You. *Southwestern Law Review* 2014;**44**:175–207. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/swulr44&id=&page=&collection=journals&id=181>

97

Waytz A, Heafner J, Epley N. The mind in the machine: Anthropomorphism increases trust in an autonomous vehicle. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 2014;**52**:113–7. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2014.01.005

98

Gurney JK. Sue My Car Not Me: Products Liability and Accidents Involving Autonomous Vehicles. *Journal of Law, Technology & Policy* 2013;**24**:7–77. <http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jltp2013&id=&page=&collection=journals&id=259>

99

Swift A. The morality of school choice. *Theory and Research in Education* 2004;**2**:7–21. doi:10.1177/1477878504040574

100

Swift A. The morality of school choice reconsidered: a response. *Theory & Research in Education* 2004;**2**:323–42. doi:10.1177/1477878504046528

101

Anderson E. Rethinking Equality of Opportunity: Comment on Adam Swift's 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite'. *Theory and Research in Education*, 2004;**2**:99–110.
doi:10.1177/1477878504043438

102

Macleod CM. The Puzzle of Parental Partiality: Reflections on 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite--School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent'. *Theory and Research in Education* 2004;**2**:309–21. doi:10.1177/1477878504046526

103

Swift A. A short guide to hypocrisy. *New Statesman* 2003;**132**:16–16.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true∓db=bth&AN=11265583&site=eds-live&group=trial>

104

Clayton M, Stevens D. School Choice and the Burdens of Justice. *Theory and Research in Education* 2004;**2**:111–26. doi:10.1177/1477878504043439

105

Macleod CM. The Puzzle of Parental Partiality: Reflections on How Not to Be a Hypocrite: School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent. *Theory and Research in Education* 2004;**2**:309–21. doi:10.1177/1477878504046526

106

Swift A. *How not to be a hypocrite: school choice for the morally perplexed parent*. London: : Routledge 2003.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=2253&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

107

Power S. Comments on 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite': School Choice for the Morally Perplexed. *Theory and Research in Education* 2004;**2**:23–9.
doi:10.1177/1477878504040575

108

Anderson K. How not to be a Hypocrite: School Choice and the Morally Perplexed Parent. *Journal of Educational Thought* 2006;**40**:97–100. <http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/213814123?accountid=14888>

109

Leathwood C. A Critique of Institutional Inequalities in Higher Education (or an Alternative to Hypocrisy for Higher Educational Policy). *Theory and Research in Education* 2004;**2**:31–48. doi:10.1177/1477878504040576

110

Brighouse H, Swift A. Legitimate Parental Partiality. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2009;**37**:43–80. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2008.01145.x

111

Leibowitz, Uri D. Moral Deliberation and Ad Hominem Fallacies. *Journal of Moral Philosophy*; 2015, p1-23, 23p
2015;:1-23. <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=110433362&site=eds-live&group=trial>

112

Singer, Peter. Moral Experts. *Analysis*, 3/1/1972, Vol 1972;**32**
. <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.10.2307.3327906&site=eds-live&group=trial>

113

Cross B. Moral Philosophy, Moral Expertise, and the Argument from Disagreement. *Bioethics* 2016;**30**:188–94. doi:10.1111/bioe.12173

114

Gesang, B. ARE MORAL PHILOSOPHERS MORAL EXPERTS? BIOETHICS; MAY, 2010, 24 4, p153-p159, 7p Published Online First:
2010.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswah&AN=000276014000001&site=eds-live&group=trial>

115

Archard, D. WHY MORAL PHILOSOPHERS ARE NOT AND SHOULD NOT BE MORAL EXPERTS. BIOETHICS; MAR, 2011, 25 3, p119-p127, 9p Published Online First:
2011.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswah&AN=000286890800001&site=eds-live&group=trial>

116

Hoffmann, M. How to identify moral experts? An application of Goldman's criteria for expert identification to the domain of morality. In: Analyse und Kritik Published Online First:
2012.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-84878508925&site=eds-live&group=trial>

117

Burch, Robert W. ARE THERE MORAL EXPERTS? The Monist, 10/1/1974, Vol 1974;**58**
. <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.27902392&site=eds-live&group=trial>

118

Miller, Peter. Who are the Moral Experts? Journal of Moral Education; Oct1975, Vol 1975;**5**:3-12.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=75433329&site=eds-live&group=trial>

119

Nielsen, K. On the need for 'moral experts': a test case for practical ethics. In: The International journal of applied philosophy Published Online First:
1984.<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-0021387549&site=eds-live&group=trial>

120

Hills A. Moral Testimony and Moral Epistemology*. Ethics 2009;**120**:94–127.
doi:10.1086/648610

121

Driver, Julia. Autonomy and the Asymmetry Problem for Moral Expertise. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition, 4/1/2006, Vol 2006;**128**
.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.4321738&site=eds-live&group=trial

122

Goldman, Alvin I. Experts: Which Ones Should You Trust? Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, 7/1/2001, Vol 2001;**63**
.http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.10.2307.3071090&site=eds-live&group=trial