

PH212: Applied Ethics

[View Online](#)

1.

Lantz G. Applied Ethics: What Kind of Ethics and What Kind of Ethicist? *Journal of applied philosophy*. 2000;17(1):21-28.
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1111/1468-5930.00137/abstract>

2.

Norman R. Applied Ethics: What is Applied to What? *Utilitas*. 2000;12(2):119-136.
<http://0-journals.cambridge.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=3366280&fileId=S0953820800002740>

3.

LaFollette H, ed. *Ethics in Practice: An Anthology*. Vol Blackwell philosophy anthologies. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781118790731>

4.

Wiley InterScience (Online service). *A Companion to Applied Ethics*. (Frey RG, Wellman CH, eds.). Blackwell; 2005.
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/book/10.1002/9780470996621>

5.

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. <http://plato.stanford.edu/>

6.

Copp D, ed. The Oxford Handbook of Ethical Theory. Vol Oxford handbooks in philosophy. Oxford University Press; 2007.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195325911.001.0001>

7.

Copp D, ed. The Oxford Handbook of Ethical Theory. Vol Oxford handbooks in philosophy. Oxford University Press; 2007.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195325911.001.0001>

8.

Thomson JJ. A Defense of Abortion. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1971;1(1):47-66.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265091>

9.

Marquis D. Why Abortion is Immoral. The Journal of Philosophy. 1989;86(4):183-202.
doi:10.2307/2026961

10.

Cudd AE. Sensationalized Philosophy: A Reply to Marquis's 'Why Abortion is Immoral'. The Journal of Philosophy. 1990;87(5):262-264. doi:10.2307/2026833

11.

Tooley M. Abortion and Infanticide. Philosophy & public affairs (Online) Philosophy & public affairs [electronic resource] Philos public aff (Online) Philosophy and public affairs (Online) Philosophy and public affairs. 1972;2(1):37-65.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2264919.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

12.

Cohen AI, Wellman CH. Contemporary Debates in Applied Ethics. Vol Contemporary debates in philosophy. Blackwell Pub; 2005.

13.

Davis N. Abortion and Self-Defense. Philosophy & public affairs (Online) Philosophy & public affairs [electronic resource] Philos public aff (Online) Philosophy and public affairs (Online) Philosophy and public affairs. 1984;13(3):175-207.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2265411.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

14.

Boonin-Vail D. A Defense of 'A Defense of Abortion': On the Responsibility Objection to Thomson's Argument. Ethics. 1997;107(2):286-313.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2381949>

15.

McDaniel I. The Responsibility Objection to Abortion: Rejecting the Notion that the Responsibility Objection Successfully Refutes a Woman's Right to Choose. Bioethics. 2015;29(4):291-299. doi:10.1111/bioe.12097

16.

Gibson RF. On an Inconsistency in Thomson's Abortion Argument. Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition. 1984;46(1):131-139.
doi:10.1007/BF00353495

17.

Finnis J. The Rights and Wrongs of Abortion: A Reply to Judith Thomson. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1973;2(2):117-145.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265137>

18.

Brody B. Thomson on Abortion. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1972;1(3):335-340.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265056>

19.

Sparrow R. 'A Not-So-New Eugenics'. Hastings Center report (Online) The Hastings Center report [electronic resource] Hastings cent rep (Online) The Hastings Center report (Online). 2011;41(1):32-44.

http://0-muse.jhu.edu.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/journals/hastings_center_report/v041/41.1.sparrow.pdf

20.

Public Affairs Quarterly. <http://www.press.uillinois.edu/journals/paq.html>

21.

Kuhse H, Singer P. Bioethics: An Anthology. Vol Blackwell philosophy anthologies. 2nd ed. Blackwell Pub; 2006.

22.

Buchanan AE. From Chance to Choice: Genetics and Justice. Cambridge University Press; 2000.

23.

Bostrom N, Ord T. The Reversal Test: Eliminating Status Quo Bias in Applied Ethics. Ethics (Chicago, Ill : Online) Ethics [electronic resource] Ethics (Online) Ethics (Online). 2006;116(4):656-679.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/full/10.1086/505233>

24.

Savulescu J, Bostrom N. Human Enhancement. Oxford University Press; 2011.

25.

Savulescu J, Meulen RHJ ter, Kahane G. Enhancing Human Capacities. Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.

26.

Life Sciences, Society and Policy | Full text | Procreative Beneficence, Obligation, and Eugenics. doi:10.1186/1746-5354-3-3-43

27.

Singer P. Animal Liberation: Towards an End to Man's Inhumanity to Animals. Paladin; 1977.

28.

LaFollette H. Ethics in Practice: An Anthology. Vol Blackwell philosophy anthologies. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014.

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781118790731>

29.

Davis SL. The Least Harm Principle May Require that Humans Consume a Diet Containing Large Herbivores, Not a Vegan Diet. Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics. 2003;16(4):387-394. doi:10.1023/A:1025638030686

30.

McPherson T. A Case for Ethical Veganism Intuitive and Methodological Considerations. Journal of Moral Philosophy. 2014;11(6):677-703.

31.

Korsgaard K. A Kantian Case for Animal Rights.

<http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~korsgaard/CMK.Animal.Rights.pdf>

32.

Singer P. Utilitarianism and Vegetarianism. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1980;9(4):325-337. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265002>

33.

Regan T. The Moral Basis of Vegetarianism. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*. 1975;5(2):181-214. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40230566>

34.

Fairlie S. *Meat: A Benign Extravagance*. 1st ed. Permanent Pub; 2010.

35.

Savoury A. How to green the world's deserts and reverse climate change. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vpTHi7O66pl>

36.

Sinnott-Armstrong W, Howarth RB. *Perspectives on Climate Change: Science, Economics, Politics, Ethics*. Vol *Advances in the economics of environmental resources*. Elsevier JAI; 2005.

37.

KAGAN S. Do I Make a Difference? *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2011;39(2):105-141. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2011.01203.x

38.

NEFSKY J. Consequentialism and the Problem of Collective Harm: A Reply to Kagan. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2011;39(4):364-395. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2012.01209.x

39.

Andreou C. Environmental Damage and the Puzzle of the Self-Torturer. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2006;34(1):95-108. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2006.00054.x

40.

Lawson, B. Individual Complicity in Collective Wrongdoing. In: Ethical Theory and Moral Practice. Published online 2013.

<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-84875648932&site=eds-live&group=trial>

41.

Parfit D. Reasons and Persons. Clarendon; 1984.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/019824908X.001.0001>

42.

Jamieson, Dale. When Utilitarians Should Be Virtue Theorists. Utilitas; Jun2007, Vol. 2007;19:160-183.

<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=25532637&site=eds-live&group=trial>

43.

Wündisch J. Green Votes not Green Virtues: Effective Utilitarian Responses to Climate Change. Utilitas. 2014;26(2):192-205. doi:10.1017/S0953820813000307

44.

Spiekermann K. Small impacts and imperceptible effects: Causing harm with others.

http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/eds/C__Sspiekermann%20impacts%20harms__Orightresult__U?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

45.

Glover J, Scott-Taggart MJ. It Makes no Difference Whether or Not I Do It. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volumes. 1975;49:171-209.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/4106873>

46.

Broad CD. On the Function of False Hypotheses in Ethics. International Journal of Ethics. 1916;26(3):377-397. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2377052>

47.

Pinkert F. What if i cannot make a difference (and know it). *Ethics*. 2015;125(4):971-998. doi:10.1086/680909

48.

Zwolinski, Matt. SWEATSHOPS, CHOICE, AND EXPLOITATION. *Business Ethics Quarterly*. 2007;17(4):689-727. <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=27019122&site=eds-live&group=trial>

49.

Peter Singer. Famine, Affluence, and Morality. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1972;1(3):229-243. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265052>

50.

Pogge T. Real World Justice. *The Journal of Ethics*. 2005;9(1):29-53. doi:10.1007/s10892-004-3313-z

51.

Green K. Distance, Divided Responsibility and Universalizability. *The Monist*. 2003;86(3):501-515. doi:10.5840/monist200386330

52.

MacAskill W. Replaceability, Career Choice, and Making a Difference. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 2014;17(2):269-283. doi:10.1007/s10677-013-9433-4

53.

Collins S. Duties to Make Friends. *Ethical Theory & Moral Practice*. 2013;16(5):907-921. doi:10.1007/s10677-013-9422-7

54.

Deveaux M. The Global Poor as Agents of Justice. *Journal of Moral Philosophy*. 2015;12(2):125-150.

55.

PABLO GILABERT. THE DUTY TO ERADICATE GLOBAL POVERTY: POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 2005;7(5):537-550.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/25733600?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=global&searchText=poor&searchText=agents&searchText=of&searchText=justice&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dglobal%2Bpoor%2Bagents%2Bof%2Bjustice%26amp%3Bacc%3Don%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3Bgroup%3Dnone&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

56.

Brock G. Global Poverty and Desert. *Politics*. 2006;26(3):168-175.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2006.00265.x

57.

Risse M. Do We Owe the Global Poor Assistance or Rectification? *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2005;19(1):9-18. doi:10.1111/j.1747-7093.2005.tb00485.x

58.

Wenar L. Property Rights and the Resource Curse. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2008;36(1):2-32. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2008.00122.x

59.

Miller RW. Beneficence, Duty and Distance. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2004;32(4):357-383. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2004.00018.x

60.

Satz D. What Do We Owe the Global Poor? *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2005;19(1):47-54. doi:10.1111/j.1747-7093.2005.tb00489.x

61.

O'Neill O. Global Poverty and the Limits of Academic Expertise. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2012;26(2):183-189. doi:10.1017/S0892679412000287

62.

Spoerl JS. Peter Singer on famine, affluence, and morality: a Christian response. *American Journal of Jurisprudence*. 1992;37:113-134.
http://0-www.heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajj37&start_page=113&collection=journals&id=117

63.

McElwee B. Impartial Reasons, Moral Demands. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 2011;14(4):457-466. doi:10.1007/s10677-010-9256-5

64.

Pogge T. *World Poverty and Human Rights: Cosmopolitan Responsibilities and Reforms*. 2nd ed. Polity; 2008.

65.

Liam B. Murphy. The Demands of Beneficence. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 1993;22(4):267-292. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265468>

66.

Murphy LB. *Moral Demands in Nonideal Theory*. Vol Oxford ethics series. Oxford University Press; 2000.

67.

Pogge T. Priorities of global justice. *Metaphilosophy*. 2001;32(1/2):6-24. doi:10.1111/1467-9973.00172

68.

Unger PK. Living High and Letting Die: Our Illusion of Innocence. Oxford University Press; 1996. <http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/0195108590.001.0001>

69.

Thomson, Judith Jarvis. The Right to Privacy. Philosophy & Public Affairs, 7/1/1975, Vol. 1975;4.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265075&site=eds-live&group=trial>

70.

Rachels, James. Why Privacy is Important. Philosophy & Public Affairs, 7/1/1975, Vol. 1975;4.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265077&site=eds-live&group=trial>

71.

Benn SI. A Theory of Freedom. Cambridge University Press; 1988.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609114>

72.

Allen AL. Unpopular Privacy: What Must We Hide? Vol Studies in feminist philosophy. Oxford University Press; 2011.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195141375.001.0001>

73.

Scanlon, Thomas. Thomson on Privacy. Philosophy & Public Affairs, 7/1/1975, Vol. 1975;4.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.2265076&site=eds-live&group=trial>

74.

Benn SI. A Theory of Freedom. Cambridge University Press; 1988.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609114>

75.

Hughes RLD. Two concepts of privacy. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2015;31(4):527-537. doi:10.1016/j.clsr.2015.05.010

76.

Blaauw, M. THE EPISTEMIC ACCOUNT OF PRIVACY. *EPISTEME-A JOURNAL OF INDIVIDUAL AND SOCIAL EPISTEMOLOGY*; JUN, 2013, 10 2, p167-p177, 11p. Published online 2013. http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds_wah&AN=000330372800006&site=eds-live&group=trial

77.

van den Hoven, M. J. Privacy and the varieties of moral wrong-doing in an information age. *Computers & Society*; Sep1997, Vol. 1997;27:33-37. <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=73562782&site=eds-live&group=trial>

78.

Mill JS, Gray J. *On Liberty, and Other Essays*. Vol Oxford world's classics. Oxford University Press; 1998.

79.

Parent WA. Privacy, Morality, and the Law. *Philosophy & public affairs* (Online) *Philosophy & public affairs* [electronic resource] *Philos public aff* (Online) *Philosophy and public affairs* (Online) *Philosophy and public affairs*. 1983;12(4):269-288. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/pdf/2265374.pdf?acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>

80.

Gerstein RS. Intimacy and Privacy. *Ethics* (Chicago, Ill : Online) *Ethics* [electronic resource] *Ethics* (Online) *Ethics* (Online). 1978;89(1):76-81. http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380133?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

81.

Etzioni A. *The Limits of Privacy*. Basic Books; 1999.

82.

van den Hoven van Genderen, Rob. Trading Privacy for Security [article]. *Amsterdam Law Forum*, Vol. 2009;1(2009).
http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds_hol&AN=hein.journals.amslawf1.50&site=eds-live&group=trial

83.

Lusk, Rachel E. Facebook's Newest Friend - Employers: Use of Social Networking in Hiring Challenges U.S. Privacy Constructs [comments]. *Capital University Law Review*, Vol. 2014;42(2014).
http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds_hol&AN=hein.journals.capulr42.26&site=eds-live&group=trial

84.

Wiley InterScience (Online service). *A Companion to Applied Ethics*. (Frey RG, Wellman CH, eds.). Blackwell; 2005.
<http://0-onlinelibrary.wiley.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/book/10.1002/9780470996621>

85.

Lenman J. On Becoming Redundant or What Computers Shouldn't Do. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 2001;18(1):1-11. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00169

86.

Mitcham C. Convivial software: an end-user perspective on free and open source software. *Ethics and Information Technology*. 2009;11(4):299-310. doi:10.1007/s10676-009-9209-7

87.

Bonnefon JF, Shariff A, Rahwan I. Autonomous Vehicles Need Experimental Ethics: Are We Ready for Utilitarian Cars? *Science*. 352(6293):1573-1576. doi:10.1126/science.aaf2654

88.

Nozick R. *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*. Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Books Group; 2013. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3035644

89.

Parks JA. Lifting the Burden of Women's Care Work: Should Robots Replace the 'Human Touch'? *Hypatia*. 2010;25(1):100-120. doi:10.1111/j.1527-2001.2009.01086.x

90.

Collins M. Will Robots Replace Humans? *Industrial Maintenance & Plant Operation*. 2014;75(10):46-46.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=99291974&site=eds-live&group=trial>

91.

Wolbring, GYumakulov, S. Social Robots: Views of Staff of a Disability Service Organization. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL ROBOTICS*; AUG, 2014, 6 3, p457-p468, 12p.
Published online 2014.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds-wsc&AN=000340496200012&site=eds-live&group=trial>

92.

Information Technology Wants to Be Free. *Academe*, v98 n5 p18-23 Sep-Oct 2012.
Published online 2012:18-23.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ983934&site=eds-live&group=trial>

93.

Nihlén Fahlquist J. Saving lives in road traffic—ethical aspects. *Journal of Public Health*. 2009;17(6):385-394. doi:10.1007/s10389-009-0264-7

94.

Hevelke A, Nida-Rümelin J. Responsibility for Crashes of Autonomous Vehicles: An Ethical Analysis. *Science and Engineering Ethics*. 2015;21(3):619-630.
doi:10.1007/s11948-014-9565-5

95.

Purves D, Jenkins R, Strawser BJ. Autonomous Machines, Moral Judgment, and Acting for the Right Reasons. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 2015;18(4):851-872.
doi:10.1007/s10677-015-9563-y

96.

Ravid O. Don't Sue Me, I Was Just Lawfully Texting & Drunk When My Autonomous Car Crashed into You. *Southwestern Law Review*. 2014;44:175-207.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/swulr44&id=&page=&collection=journals&id=181>

97.

Waytz A, Heafner J, Epley N. The mind in the machine: Anthropomorphism increases trust in an autonomous vehicle. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2014;52:113-117.
doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2014.01.005

98.

Gurney JK. Sue My Car Not Me: Products Liability and Accidents Involving Autonomous Vehicles. *Journal of Law, Technology & Policy*. Published online 2013:247-277.
<http://0-heinonline.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jltp2013&id=&page=&collection=journals&id=259>

99.

Swift A. The morality of school choice. *Theory and Research in Education*. 2004;2(1):7-21.
doi:10.1177/1477878504040574

100.

Swift A. The morality of school choice reconsidered: a response. *Theory & Research in Education*. 2004;2(3):323-342. doi:10.1177/1477878504046528

101.

Anderson E. Rethinking Equality of Opportunity: Comment on Adam Swift's 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite'. *Theory and Research in Education*,. 2004;2(2):99-110. doi:10.1177/1477878504043438

102.

Macleod CM. The Puzzle of Parental Partiality: Reflections on 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite--School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent'. *Theory and Research in Education*. 2004;2(3):309-321. doi:10.1177/1477878504046526

103.

Swift A. A short guide to hypocrisy. *New Statesman*. 2003;132(4662):16-16. <http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=11265583&site=eds-live&group=trial>

104.

Clayton M, Stevens D. School Choice and the Burdens of Justice. *Theory and Research in Education*. 2004;2(2):111-126. doi:10.1177/1477878504043439

105.

Macleod CM. The Puzzle of Parental Partiality: Reflections on How Not to Be a Hypocrite: School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent. *Theory and Research in Education*. 2004;2(3):309-321. doi:10.1177/1477878504046526

106.

Swift A. *How Not to Be a Hypocrite: School Choice for the Morally Perplexed Parent*. Routledge; 2003. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=2253&entityid=https://idp.warwick.ac.uk/idp/shibboleth>

107.

Power S. Comments on 'How Not to Be a Hypocrite': School Choice for the Morally Perplexed. *Theory and Research in Education*. 2004;2(1):23-29.
doi:10.1177/1477878504040575

108.

Anderson K. How not to be a Hypocrite: School Choice and the Morally Perplexed Parent. *Journal of Educational Thought*. 2006;40(1):97-100.
<http://0-search.proquest.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/213814123?accountid=14888>

109.

Leathwood C. A Critique of Institutional Inequalities in Higher Education (or an Alternative to Hypocrisy for Higher Educational Policy). *Theory and Research in Education*. 2004;2(1):31-48. doi:10.1177/1477878504040576

110.

Brighouse H, Swift A. Legitimate Parental Partiality. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2009;37(1):43-80. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2008.01145.x

111.

Leibowitz, Uri D. Moral Deliberation and Ad Hominem Fallacies. *Journal of Moral Philosophy*; 2015, p1-23, 23p. Published online 2015:1-23.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb&AN=110433362&site=eds-live&group=trial>

112.

Singer, Peter. Moral Experts. *Analysis*, 3/1/1972, Vol. 1972;32.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj&sr&AN=edsjsr.10.2307.3327906&site=eds-live&group=trial>

113.

Cross B. Moral Philosophy, Moral Expertise, and the Argument from Disagreement.

Bioethics. 2016;30(3):188-194. doi:10.1111/bioe.12173

114.

Gesang, B. ARE MORAL PHILOSOPHERS MORAL EXPERTS? BIOETHICS; MAY, 2010, 24 4, p153-p159, 7p. Published online 2010.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds wah&AN=000276014000001&site=eds-live&group=trial>

115.

Archard, D. WHY MORAL PHILOSOPHERS ARE NOT AND SHOULD NOT BE MORAL EXPERTS. BIOETHICS; MAR, 2011, 25 3, p119-p127, 9p. Published online 2011.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds wah&AN=000286890800001&site=eds-live&group=trial>

116.

Hoffmann, M. How to identify moral experts? An application of Goldman's criteria for expert identification to the domain of morality. In: Analyse und Kritik. 2012;(2).
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eds elc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-84878508925&site=eds-live&group=trial>

117.

Burch, Robert W. ARE THERE MORAL EXPERTS? The Monist, 10/1/1974, Vol. 1974;58.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj sr&AN=edsjsr.27902392&site=eds-live&group=trial>

118.

Miller, Peter. Who are the Moral Experts? Journal of Moral Education; Oct1975, Vol. 1975;5:3-12.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edb &AN=75433329&site=eds-live&group=trial>

119.

Nielsen, K. On the need for 'moral experts': a test case for practical ethics. In: The International journal of applied philosophy. 1984;(1).

<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edselc&AN=edselc.2-52.0-0021387549&site=eds-live&group=trial>

120.

Hills A. Moral Testimony and Moral Epistemology*. *Ethics*. 2009;120(1):94-127.
doi:10.1086/648610

121.

Driver, Julia. Autonomy and the Asymmetry Problem for Moral Expertise. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*, 4/1/2006, Vol. 2006;128.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.4321738&site=eds-live&group=trial>

122.

Goldman, Alvin I. Experts: Which Ones Should You Trust? *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 7/1/2001, Vol. 2001;63.
<http://0-search.ebscohost.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.10.2307.3071090&site=eds-live&group=trial>