PO219: Theories of International Relations

View Online

[1]

Academy of Political Science (U.S.) 1972. Political science quarterly. 87, (1972).

[2]

ADLER, E. 1997. Seizing the Middle Ground:: Constructivism in World Politics. European Journal of International Relations. 3, 3 (Sep. 1997), 319–363. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066197003003003.

[3]

Adler, E. Seizing the Middle Ground: Constructivism in World Politics. European journal of international relations. 319–363.

[4]

Alan James 1989. The Realism of Realism: The State and the Study of International Relations. Review of International Studies. 15, 3 (1989), 215–229.

[5]

Ashley, R.K. 1996. The achievements of post-structuralism. International theory: positivism and beyond. Cambridge University Press.

[6]

Ashley, R.K. 1996. The achievements of post-structuralism. International Theory: Positivism and Beyond. S. Smith et al., eds. Cambridge University Press.

[7]

Ashley, R.K. Untying the Sovereign State: A Double Reading of the Anarchy Problematique. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School of Economics. 227–262.

[8]

Ashley, R.K. 1988. Untying the Sovereign State: A Double Reading of the Anarchy Problematique. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 17, 2 (Jun. 1988), 227–262. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170020901.

[9]

Baldwin, D.A. Neorealism and neoliberalism : the contemporary debate / David A. Baldwin, editor.

[10]

Bartelson, J. 1995. A genealogy of sovereignty. Cambridge University Press.

[11]

Bartelson, J. 1995. A Genealogy of Sovereignty. Cambridge University Press.

[12]

Baylis, J. et al. 2011. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[13]

Baylis, J. et al. 2011. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[14]

Baylis, J. et al. 2011. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[15]

Baylis, J. et al. 2011. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[16]

Beitz, C. 1998. International Liberalism and Distributive Justice: A Survey of Recent Thought. World Politics. 51, (1998), 269–296.

[17]

Bellamy, A.J. 2005. International society and its critics. Oxford University Press.

[18]

Bellamy, A.J. 2005. International society and its critics. Oxford University Press.

[19]

Berger, P.L. and Luckmann, T. 1991. The social construction of reality: a treatise in the sociology of knowledge. Penguin.

[20]

Booth, K. 2011. Realism and world politics. Routledge.

[21]

Booth, K. and Smith, S. 1995. International relations theory today. Pennsylvania State University Press.

[22]

Booth, K. and Smith, S. 1995. International relations theory today. Polity Press.

[23]

Brown, C. 1992. International relations theory: new normative approaches. Columbia University Press.

[24]

Brown, C. 1995. International theory and international society: the viability of the middle way? Review of International Studies. 21, 02 (Apr. 1995).
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619.

[25]

Brown, C. International theory and international society: the viability of the middle way. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 183–196.

[26]

Brown, C. and Ainley, K. 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[27]

Brown, C. and Ainley, K. 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[28]

Brown, C. and Ainley, K. 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[29]

Brown, C. and Ainley, K. 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[30]

Brown, C. and Ainley, K. 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[31]

Bull, H. et al. 2000. Hedley Bull on international society. Palgrave/Macmillan Press.

[32]

Bull, H. 2012. The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics. Palgrave Macmillan.

[33]

Bull, H. and Watson, A. 1984. The Expansion of international society. Clarendon.

[34]

Burchill, S. 2013. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[35]

Burchill, S. 2013. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[36]

Burchill, S. 2013. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[37]

Burchill, S. 2013. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[38]

Buzan, B. 2004. From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation. Cambridge University Press.

[39]

Buzan, B. et al. 1993. The logic of anarchy: neorealism to structural realism. Columbia University Press.

[40]

Buzan, B. and Little, R. 2001. The 'English patient' strikes back: a response to Hall's mis-diagnosis. International Affairs. 77, 4 (Oct. 2001), 943–946. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.00227.

[41]

Buzan, B. and Little, R. 'The "English patient" strikes back: a response to Hall's mis-diagnosis'. International affairs. Cambridge University Press. 943–946.

[42]

Buzan, B. and Little, R. 2001. Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About it. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 30, 1 (Jan. 2001), 19–39. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298010300010401.

[43]

Campbell, D. 1998. Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity. Manchester University Press.

[44]

Campbell, D. 1998. Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity. Manchester University Press.

[45]

Campbell, D. and Shapiro, M.J. 2007. Guest Editors' Introduction. Security Dialogue. 38, 2 (Jun. 2007), 131–137. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607080596.

[46]

Campbell, D. and Shapiro, M.J. 1999. Moral spaces: rethinking ethics and world politics. University of Minnesota Press.

[47]

Carr, E.H. and Cox, M. 2001. The twenty years' crisis, 1919-1939: an introduction to the study of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[48]

Chekel, J. The constructivist turn in International Relations theory. World politics. 324–348.

[49]

Connolly, W.E. "Identity and Difference in Global Politics. International/intertextual relations : postmodern readings of world politics / edited by James Der Derian, Michael J. Shapiro   Advances in information systems and technologies [electronic resource] / Álvaro Rocha... [et al.] (eds.)   Human-environment relations [electronic resource] : transformative values in theory and practice / Emily Brady, Pauline Phemister, editors.

[50]

Constantinou, C. Before the summit: representations of sovereignty on the Himalayas. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School of Economics. 23–54.

[51]

Constantinou, C.M. 1998. Before the Summit: Representations of Sovereignty on the Himalayas. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 27, 1 (Mar. 1998), 23–53. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298980270010901.

[52]

Copeland, D. 2003. A Realist critique of the English School. Review of International Studies. 29, 03 (Jul. 2003). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210503004273.

[53]

Copeland., D.C. A realist critique of the English School. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 427–441.

[54]

Cox, R.W. 1997. The new realism: perspectives on multilateralism and world order. Macmillan.

[55]

Culler, J.D. 1983. On deconstruction: theory and criticism after structuralism. Routledge & Kegan Paul.

[56]

Cutler, A.C. The "Grotian tradition" in international relations'. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 41–65.

[57]

Der Derian, J. 1995. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[58]

Der Derian, J. 1995. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[59]

Der Derian, J. 1989. The boundaries of knowledge and power in international relations. International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington Books.

[60]

Der Derian, J. and Shapiro, M.J. 1989. International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington Books.

[61]

Deudney, D. The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. Review of International Studies. 25, 179–196.

[62]

Deudney, D. The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. Review of international studies. 25, 179–196.

[63]

Donnelly, J. 1992. Twentieth-Century Realism. Traditions of international ethics. Cambridge studies in international relations, (1992), 84–111.

[64]

Doty, R.L. 1996. Imperial encounters: the politics of representation in North-South relations . University of Minnesota Press.

[65]

Duncan Snidal 1991. Relative Gains and the Pattern of International Cooperation. The American Political Science Review. 701–726.

[66]

Dunne, T. 1998. The English School. Inventing international society: a history of the English school. Palgrave Macmillan.

[67]

Dunne, T. and St. Antony's College (University of Oxford) 1998. Inventing international society: a history of the English school. Macmillan in association with St Antony's College.

[68]

Edkins, J. et al. 1999. Sovereignty and subjectivity. L. Rienner.

[69]

Edkins, J. and Zehfuss, M. 2005. Generalising the International. Review of International Studies. 31, 3 (2005), 451–472. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210505006583.

[70]

Edkins, J. and Zehfuss, M. Generalising the International. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 451–472.

[71]

Edkins, J. and Zehfuss, M. 2013. Global politics: a new introduction. Routledge.

[72]

Epp, R. The English school on the frontiers of international society: a hermeneutic recollection'. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 47–74.

[73]

Evans, T. and Wilson, P. Regime theory and the English school of international relations'. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School of Economics. 329–351.

[74]

Evans, T. and Wilson, P. 1992. Regime Theory and the English School of International Relations: A Comparison. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 21, 3 (Dec. 1992), 329–351. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298920210030701.

[75]

Fawn, R. and Larkins, J. 1996. International society after the Cold War: anarchy and order reconsidered. Macmillan Press.

[76]

Fierke, K.M. and Jørgensen, K.E. 2001. Constructing international relations: the next generation. M.E. Sharpe.

[77]

Finnemore, M. 1996. National interests in international society. Cornell University Press.

[78]

Finnemore, M. and Sikkink, K. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change'. International Organization.

[79]

Finnemore, M. and Sikkink, K. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. International organization.

[80]

Forde, S. 1992. Classical Realism. Traditions of international ethics. Cambridge studies in international relations, (1992), 62–84.

[81]

Foucault, M. 1991. Discipline and punish: the birth of the prison. Penguin.

[82]

Foucault, M. and Gordon, C. 1980. Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

[83]

Foucault, M. and Rabinow, P. 1991. The Foucault reader. Penguin.

[84]

Fukuyama, Francis 151AD. The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics. Foreign Affairs.

[85]

Gellman, P. 1988. Hans J. Morgenthau and the legacy of political realism - S0260210500113130a.pdf. Review of International Studies.

[86]

George, J. 1994. Discourses of global politics: a critical (re)introduction to international relations. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[87]

George, J. 1994. Discourses of global politics: a critical (re)introduction to international relations. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[88]

George, J. 1994. The Positivist-Realist Phase: Morgenthau, Behavioralism, and the Quest for Certainty. Discourses of global politics: a critical (re)introduction to international relations. Critical perspectives on world politics, (1994), 91–110.

[89]

Grieco, J. Anarchy and the limits of cooperation: a realist critique of the newest liberal institutionalism. International organization. 42, 485–507.

[90]

Grieco, J.M. 1988. Anarchy and the limits of cooperation: a realist critique of the newest liberal institutionalism. International Organization. 42, 03 (Jun. 1988). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027715.

[91]

Griffiths, M. 1995. Realism, idealism and international politics: a reinterpretation. Routledge.

[92]

Groom, A.J.R. and Light, M. 1994. Contemporary international relations: a guide to theory. Pinter Publishers.

[93]

Groom, A.J.R. and Light, M. 1994. Contemporary international relations: a guide to theory. Pinter Publishers.

[94]

Guzzini, S. A Reconstruction of Constructivism in International Relations. ISTITUTO UNIV EUROPEOFrom OAIster®, provided by the OCLC Cooperative. 6, 2.

[95]

GUZZINI, S. 2000. A Reconstruction of Constructivism in International Relations. European Journal of International Relations. 6, 2 (Jun. 2000), 147–182. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066100006002001.

[96]

Guzzini, S. 1997. Realism in international relations and international political economy: the continuing story of a death foretold. Routledge.

[97]

Hall, R.B. 1999. National collective identity: social constructs and international systems. Columbia University Press.

[98]

Hall, R.B. and Columbia University. Press 1999. National collective identity: social constructs and international systems. Columbia University Press.

[99]

Halliday, F. 1994. Rethinking international relations. Macmillan.

[100]

Halliday, F. 1994. Rethinking international relations. Macmillan.

[101]

Hoffman, S. 1987. Liberalism and International Affairs. Janus and Minerva: essaysin the theory and practice of international politics. Westview Press.

[102]

Hollis, M. and Smith, S. 1990. Explaining and understanding international relations. Clarendon.

[103]

Hollis, M. and Smith, S. 1991. Explaining and understanding international relations. Clarendon Press.

[104]

Hovden, E. and Keene, E. 2001. Moral Commitment and Liberal Approaches to World Politics'. The globalization of liberalism. (2001).

[105]

Hovden, E. and Keene, E. 2002. Moral Commitment and Liberal Approaches to World Politics'. The globalization of liberalism. (2002).

[106]

Hurrell, A. 2007. On global order: power, values, and the constitution of international society. Oxford University Press.

[107]

Hutchings, K. 1999. International political theory: rethinking ethics in a global era. Sage Publications.

[108]

Hutchings, K. 1999. International political theory: rethinking ethics in a global era. SAGE.

[109]

International Peace Research Institute 1992. Security dialogue. (1992).

[110]

J. Peter Burgess Security Dialogue. 35, 403–404.

[111]

Jack Snyder 1990. Averting Anarchy in the New Europe. International Security. 14, 4 (1990), 5–41.

[112]

Jackson, R.H. 2000. The global covenant: human conduct in a world of states. Oxford

University Press.

[113]

James The realism of Realism: The state and the study of International Relations. Review of international studies. 215–229.

[114]

Jervis, R. 1988. Realism, Game Theory, and Cooperation. World Politics. 317–349.

[115]

Jervis, R. Realism in the Study of International Politics. International organization. 52, 971–991.

[116]

Jervis, R. 1998. Realism in the Study of World Politics. International Organization. 52, 4 (Oct. 1998), 971–991. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550707.

[117]

John J. Mearsheimer 1990. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. International Security. 5–56.

[118]

Jones, R.E. 1981. The English school of international relations: a case for closure. Review of International Studies.

[119]

Jones, R.E. The English school of international relations: a case for closure. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 1–13.

[120]

Justin Rosenberg 1990. What's the Matter with Realism? Review of International Studies. 16, 4 (1990), 285–303.

[121]

Katzenstein, P.J. The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics. Foreign affairs. Council on Foreign Relations. 123–124.

[122]

Keene, E. 2002. Beyond the Anarchical Society: Grotius, Colonialism and Order in World Politics. Cambridge University Press.

[123]

Keene, E. 2002. Beyond the anarchical society: Grotius, colonialism and order in world politics. Cambridge University Press.

[124]

Kegley, C.W. ed. 2002. Controversies in international relations theory: realism and the neoliberal challenge. Palgrave Macmillan.

[125]

Kenneth N. Waltz 1993. The Emerging Structure of International Politics. International Security. 44–79.

[126]

Keohane, R. 1990. International Liberalism Reconsidered. Cambridge University Press.

[127]

Keohane, R.O. 2005. After hegemony: cooperation and discord in the world political economy. Princeton University Press.

[128]

Keohane, R.O. 2005. After hegemony: cooperation and discord in the world political economy. Princeton University Press.

[129]

Keohane, R.O. 1989. International institutions and state power: essays in international relations theory. Westview Press.

[130]

Keohane, R.O. 1986. Neorealism and its critics. Columbia University Press.

[131]

Keohane, R.O. and Nye, J.S. 2012. Power and interdependence. Longman.

[132]

Krasner, S.D. 1999. Sovereignty: organized hypocrisy. Princeton University Press.

[133]

Kratochwil, friedrich Constructing a New Orthodoxy? Wendt's "Social Theory of International Politics" and the Constructivist Challenge. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School of Economics. 73–101.

[134]

Kratochwil, F. 2000. Constructing a New Orthodoxy? Wendt's `Social Theory of International Politics' and the Constructivist Challenge. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 29, 1 (Jan. 2000), 73–101. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298000290010901.

[135]

Kratochwil, F. 1993. The embarrassment of changes: neo-realism as the science of Realpolitik without politics. Review of International Studies. 19, 01 (Jan. 1993). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117346.

[136]

Kratochwil, F. The embarrassment of changes: neo-realism as the science of Realpolitik without politics. Review of international studies. 19, 63–80.

[137]

Kratochwil, F.V. 1989. Rules, Norms, and Decisions: On the Conditions of Practical and Legal Reasoning in International Relations and Domestic Affairs. Cambridge University Press.

[138]

Kratochwil, F.V. 1989. Rules, norms and decisions: on the conditions of practical and legal reasoning in international relations and domestic affairs. Cambridge University Press.

[139]

Kubálková, V. et al. 1998. International relations in a constructed world. M.E. Sharpe.

[140]

Kuhn, T.S. and Hacking, I. 2012. The structure of scientific revolutions. The University of Chicago Press.

[141]

Lapid, Y. The Third Debate. On the Prospect of International Theory in a Post-Positivist Era. International studies quarterly. Blackwell. 235–254.

[142]

Linklater, A. 1995. Neo-realism in Theory and Practice. International relations theory today. Pennsylvania State University Press. 241–262.

[143]

Linklater, A. and Suganami, H. 2006. The English School of International Relations: A Contemporary Reassessment. Cambridge University Press.

[144]

Linklater, A. and Suganami, H. 2006. The English school of international relations: a contemporary reassessment. Cambridge University Press.

[145]

Little, R. 2003. The English School vs. American Realism: a meeting of minds or divided by a common language? Review of International Studies. 29, 03 (Jul. 2003). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210503004431.

[146]

Little, R. The English School vs American Realism: a meeting of minds or divided by a common language. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 443–460.

[147]

LITTLE, R. 2000. The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations. European Journal of International Relations. 6, 3 (Sep. 2000), 395–422. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066100006003004.

[148]

Little, R. The English School's contribution to the study of International Relations'. European journal of international relations. Sage Publications. 395–422.

[149]

London School of Economics and Political Science. 1987. Millennium : journal of international studies. Millennium Journal of international studies. 16, 2 (1987).

[150]

M. Nicholson "What's the use of International Relations". Review of International Studies. 26, 2, 183–198.

[151]

Mearsheimer, J.J. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. International Security. Center for Science and International Affairs. 5–56.

[152]

Mearsheimer, J.J. 2001. The tragedy of Great Power politics. Norton.

[153]

Michael J. Smith Realist Thought from Weber to Kissinger (Political Traditions in Foreign Policy Series). Louisiana State Univ Pr.

[154]

Milner, H. 1992. International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses. World Politics. 44, 03 (Apr. 1992), 466–496. DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/2010546.

[155]

Milner, H. International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses. World politics. 466–494.

[156]

Mingst, K.A. and Snyder, J.L. 2011. Essential readings in world politics. W.W. Norton.

[157]

Mingst, K.A. and Snyder, J.L. 2011. Essential readings in world politics. W.W. Norton.

[158]

Mingst, K.A. and Snyder, J.L. 2011. Essential readings in world politics. W.W. Norton.

[159]

Mingst, K.A. and Snyder, J.L. 2011. Essential readings in world politics. W.W. Norton.

[160]

Morgenthau, H.J. et al. 2006. Politics among nations: the struggle for power and peace. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

[161]

Morgenthau, H.J. 1995. The Intellectual and Political Functions of Theory. International theory: critical investigations. (1995), 53–71.

[162]

Neufeld, M. 1995. The restructuring of international relations theory. Cambridge University Press.

[163]

Neumann, I.B. 1997. John Vincent and the English School in International Relations. The Future of international relations: masters in the making?. Routledge.

[164]

Nye, J. 1988. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. World politics. 40, (1988), 235–251.

[165]

Nye, J.S. 1988. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. World Politics. 40, 02 (Jan. 1988), 235–251. DOI:https://doi.org/10.2307/2010363.

[166]

Palan, R. A world of their making: an evaluation of the constructivist critique in International Relations. Review of International Studies. 575–598.

[167]

Palan, R. A world of their making: an evaluation of the constructivist critique in International Relations. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 575–598.

[168]

R. B. J. Walker 1987. Realism, Change, and International Political Theory. International Studies Quarterly. 31, 1 (1987), 65–86.

[169]

Richard K. Ashley and R. B. J. Walker 1990. Introduction: Speaking the Language of Exile: Dissident Thought in International Studies. International Studies Quarterly. 34, 3 (1990), 259–268.

[170]

Robert Jervis 1988. Realism, Game Theory, and Cooperation. World Politics. 40, 3 (1988), 317–349.

[171]

Rosenau, J.N. and Durfee, M. 2000. Thinking theory thoroughly: coherent approaches to an incoherent world. Westview Press.

[172]

Rosenau, J.N. and Durfee, M. 2000. Thinking theory thoroughly: coherent approaches to an incoherent world. Westview Press.

[173]

Rosenau, J.N. and Durfee, M. 2000. Thinking theory thoroughly: coherent approaches to an incoherent world. Westview Press.

[174]

Rosenberg, J. 1990. What's the Matter with Realism? Review of international studies. 16, (1990), 285–303.

[175]

Rothstein, R.L. 2006. On the costs of realism. Perspectives on world politics. (2006).

[176]

Rothstein, R.L. 2006. On the costs of realism. Perspectives on world politics. (2006).

[177]

Royal Institute of International Affairs. 2000. International affairs. 76, 4 (2000).

[178]

Ruggie, J.G. 1998. Constructing the world polity: essays on international institutionalization. Routledge.

[179]

Sachs, W. 1993. Global ecology: a new arena of political conflict. Zed Books.

[180]

Said, E.W. 2003. Orientalism. Penguin.

[181]

Searle, J.R. 1996. The construction of social reality. Penguin.

[182]

Shapiro, M. 2013. How does the nation-state work? Global politics: a new introduction. Routledge.

[183]

Shapiro, M. 2013. How does the nation-state work? Global politics: a new introduction. Routledge.

[184]

Shapiro, M.J. 1998. The Events of Discourse and the Ethics of Global Hospitality. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 27, 3 (Sep. 1998), 695–713. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298980270030501.

[185]

Shapiro, M.J. The Events of Discourse and the Ethics of Global Hospitality. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School of Economics. 695–713.

[186]

Shapiro, M.J. and Alker, H.R. 1996. Challenging boundaries: global flows, territorial identities. University of Minnesota Press.

[187]

Smith, S. et al. 1996. International theory: positivism and beyond. Cambridge University Press.

[188]

Snyder, J. Averting Anarchy in the New Europe. International Security. 14, 5–41.

[189]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[190]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[191]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[192]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[193]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[194]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[195]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes. Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[196]

Steans, J. et al. 2005. Introduction to international relations: perspectives and themes.

Pearson/Prentice Hall.

[197]

Steans, J. and Steans, J. 2010. An introduction to international relations theory: perspectives and themes. Longman.

[198]

Suganami, H. 1983. The Structure of Institutionalism: an Anatomy of British Mainstream International Relations. International Relations. 2363–2381.

[199]

Vincent, R.J. 1988. Hedley Bull and Order in International Politics. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 195–213.

[200]

Vincent, R.J. Hedley Bull and order in international politics. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School of Economics. 195–213.

[201]

Vogler, J. et al. 1996. The environment and international relations. Routledge.

[202]

Walker, R.B.J. 1993. Inside/outside: international relations as political theory. Cambridge University Press.

[203]

Walker, R.B.J. 1987. Realism, Change and International Political Theory. International studies quarterly. 31, 1 (1987), 65–86.

[204]

Walker, R.B.J. 1991. State Sovereignty and the Articulation of Political Space/Time. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 20, 3 (Mar. 1991), 445–461. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298910200030201.

[205]

Walker, R.B.J. and Ashley, R. Speaking the Language of Exile: Dissident Thought in International Studies. International studies quarterly. Blackwell. 259–268.

[206]

Walt, S.M. 1987. The origins of alliances. Cornell University Press.

[207]

Waltz, Kenneth N. Realist thought and neorealist theory. Journal of International Affairs. 44, 1, 21–37.

[208]

Waltz, K.N. Man, the state, and war: a theoretical analysis. Columbia University Press.

[209]

Waltz, K.N. 2001. Man, the state, and war: a theoretical analysis. Columbia University Press.

[210]

Waltz, K.N. Realist Thought and Neorealist Theory. Journal of international affairs. School of International Affairs, Columbia University. 21–37.

[211]

Waltz, K.N. 2000. Structural Realism after the Cold War. International Security. 5–41.

[212]

Waltz, K.N. Structural Realism After the Cold War. International Security. Center for Science and International Affairs. 5–41.

[213]

Waltz, K.N. The Emerging Structure of International Politics. International Security. Center for Science and International Affairs. 44–79.

[214]

Waltz, K.N. 2010. Theory of international politics. Waveland.

[215]

Waltz, K.N. 2010. Theory of international politics. Waveland.

[216]

Watson, A. 1987. Hedley Bull, states systems and international societies. Review of International Studies. 147–153.

[217]

Watson, A. 1992. The evolution of international society: a comparative historical analysis. Routledge.

[218]

Weber, C. 1998. Performative States. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 27, 1 (Mar. 1998), 77–95. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298980270011101.

[219]

Weber, C. Performative States. Millennium: journal of international studies. London School

of Economics. 77–96.

[220]

Weber, C. Reconsidering statehood: examining the sovereignty/intervention boundary. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 199–216.

[221]

Weber, C. 1995. Simulating sovereignty: intervention, the state, and symbolic exchange. Cambridge University Press.

[222]

Weber, C. 1994. Simulating Sovereignty: Intervention, the State and Symbolic Exchange. Cambridge University Press.

[223]

Weldes, J. 1999. Constructing national interests: the United States and the Cuban missile crisis. University of Minnesota Press.

[224]

Weldes, J. 1999. Constructing national interests: the United States and the Cuban missile crisis. University of Minnesota Press.

[225]

Wendt, A. 1999. Social theory of international politics. Cambridge University Press.

[226]

Wheeler, N.J. and Dunne, T. Hedley Bull's pluralism of the intellect and solidarism of the will'. International affairs. Cambridge University Press. 91–107.

[227]

Wight, M. et al. 1991. International theory: the three traditions. Leicester University Press for the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

[228]

Wight, M. et al. 1986. Power politics. Penguin (for) Royal Institute of International Affairs.

[229]

Wight, M. et al. 1977. Systems of states. Leicester University Press (for) the London School of Economics and Political Science.

[230]

Williams, J. 2002. Territorial borders, toleration and the English School'. Review of International Studies. 737–758.

[231]

Williams, J. Territorial borders, toleration and the English School. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 737–758.

[232]

World Peace Foundation. International organization.

[233]

Yosef Lapid 1989. The Third Debate: On the Prospects of International Theory in a Post-Positivist Era. International Studies Quarterly. 33, 3 (1989), 235–254.

[234]

ZEHFUSS, M. 2001. Constructivism and Identity:: A Dangerous Liaison. European Journal of International Relations. 7, 3 (Sep. 2001), 315–348.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066101007003002.

[235]

Zehfuss, M. Constructivism and identity: A dangerous liaison. European journal of international relations. Sage Publications. 315–348.

[236]

Zehfuss, M. 2002. Constructivism in International Relations: The Politics of Reality. Cambridge University Press.

[237]

Zehfuss, M. 2002. Constructivism in international relations: the politics of reality. Cambridge University Press.

[238]

Zhang, Y. 151AD. The "English School" in China: a travelogue of ideas and their diffusion'. European Journal of International Relations. 87–114.

[239]

Zhang, Y. The "English School" in China: a travelogue of ideas and their diffusion. European journal of international relations. Sage Publications. 87–114.

[240]

Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate. International Organization. 48, 2.

[241]

Hedley Bull, state systems and international societies. Review of international studies. Butterworths. 147–153.

[242]

1996. Hedley Bull's pluralism of the intellect and solidarism of the will. International Affairs
. (1996), 91–107.

[243]

Relative Gains and Patterns of International Cooperation. American political science review
. 701–726.

[244]

2001. Still the English patient? Closures and inventions in the English school. International
Affairs. 77, 4 (2001), 931–942.

[245]

Still the English patient? Closures and inventions in the English School'. International
affairs. Cambridge University Press. 931–942.

[246]

Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. International
Organization. Cambridge University Press. 513–553.

[247]

The social construction of international society. European journal of international relations.
Sage Publications. 367–389.