

# ET972: Term 2 Professional Practice: English Language Teaching

[View Online](#)

[1]

Ahlquist, S. 2013. 'Storyline': a task-based approach for the young learner classroom. *ELT Journal*. 67, 1 (2013), 41–51. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccs052>.

[2]

Akbari, R. 2007. Transforming lives: introducing critical pedagogy into ELT classrooms. *ELT Journal*. 62, 3 (Apr. 2007), 276–283. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccn025>.

[3]

Anderson, W. and Corbett, J. 2010. Teaching English as a friendly language: lessons from the SCOTS corpus. *ELT Journal*. 64, 4 (Oct. 2010), 414–423. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccp085>.

[4]

Anderson, Wendy and Corbett, John 2009. *Exploring English with online corpora: an introduction*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[5]

Atkinson, D. 2003. L2 writing in the post-process era: Introduction. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 12, 1 (2003), 3–15. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00123-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00123-6).

[6]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal*. 54, 2 (Apr. 2000), 153–160. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153>.

[7]

Badger, R. and White, G. 2000. A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT journal*. 54, 1 (2000), 153–160.

[8]

Basturkmen, H. 1998. Refining procedures: A needs analysis project at Kuwait University. *English Teaching Forum*. 36, 4 (1998).

[9]

Basturkmen, Helen 2010. *Developing courses in English for specific purposes*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[10]

Basturkmen, Helen 2006. *Ideas and options in English for specific purposes*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.

[11]

Basturkmen, Helen 2006. *Ideas and options in English for specific purposes*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.

[12]

Bax, S. 2003. Bringing context and methodology together. *ELT Journal*. 57, 3 (Jul. 2003), 295–296. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/57.3.295>.

[13]

Bax, S. 2003. Bringing context and methodology together. *ELT journal*. 57, 3 (2003), 295–296.

[14]

Bax, S. 2003. The end of CLT: a context approach to language teaching. *ELT Journal*. 57, 3 (Jul. 2003), 278–287. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/57.3.278>.

[15]

Bax, S. 2003. The end of CLT: a context approach to language teaching. *ELT journal*. 57, 3 (2003), 278–287.

[16]

Beck, S.W. 2006. Subjectivity and intersubjectivity in the teaching and learning of writing. *Research in the Teaching of English*. 40, 4 (2006), 413–460.

[17]

Beck, S.W. 2006. Subjectivity and intersubjectivity in the teaching and learning of writing. *Research in the teaching of English*. 40, 4 (2006), 413–460.

[18]

Belcher, D.D. 2004. Trends in Teaching English for Specific Purposes. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*. 24, (Mar. 2004). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S026719050400008X>.

[19]

Belcher, D.D. 2004. Trends in Teaching English for Specific Purposes. *Annual review of applied linguistics*. 24, (2004), 165–186.

[20]

Belcher, Diane Dewhurst 2009. *English for specific purposes in theory and practice*. University of Michigan Press.

[21]

Belcher, Diane Dewhurst et al. 2011. *New directions in English for specific purposes research*. University of Michigan Press.

[22]

Bell, D.M. 2009. Another breakthrough, another baby thrown out with the bathwater. *ELT Journal*. 63, 3 (Jul. 2009), 255–262. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccp027>.

[23]

Benesch, Sarah 2001. *Critical English for academic purposes: theory, politics, and practice*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[24]

Besio, C. and Pronzini, A. 2011. Inside Organizations and Out. *Methodological Tenets for Empirical Research Inspired by Systems Theory. Urban education*. 46, 1 (2011), 18–41.

[25]

Bhatia, V. K. 1993. *Analysing genre: language use in professional settings*. Longman.

[26]

Bialostok, S. 2002. Metaphors for Literacy: A Cultural Model of White, Middle-Class Parents. *Linguistics and Education*. 13, 3 (2002), 347–371.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-5898\(02\)00088-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-5898(02)00088-8).

[27]

Bourke, J.M. 2006. Designing a topic-based syllabus for young learners. *ELT Journal*. 60, 3 (Jul. 2006), 279–286. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccl008>.

[28]

Bourke, J.M. 2006. Designing a topic-based syllabus for young learners. *ELT journal*. 60, 3 (2006), 279–286.

[29]

Boxer, D. 1995. Problems in the presentation of speech acts in ELT materials: the case of complaints. *ELT Journal*. 49, 1 (Jan. 1995), 44–58. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/49.1.44>.

[30]

Boxer, D. and Pickering, L. 1995. Problems in the presentation of speech acts in ELT materials: the case of complaints. *ELT journal*. 49, 1 (1995), 44–58.

[31]

Brown, H. Douglas 2007. *Principles of language learning and teaching*. Pearson Longman.

[32]

Brown, S. 2012. Managing change in universities: a Sisyphean task? *Quality in Higher Education*. 18, 1 (Apr. 2012), 139–146.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2012.663547>.

[33]

Brown, Tony and Jones, Liz 2001. *Action research and postmodernism: congruence and critique*. Open University Press.

[34]

Burns, A. 2003. ESL Curriculum Development in Australia: Recent Trends and Debates. *RELC Journal*. 34, 3 (Dec. 2003), 261–283.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/003368820303400302>.

[35]

Burns, A. 2003. ESL Curriculum Development in Australia: Recent Trends and Debates. *RELC journal*. 34, 3 (2003), 261–283.

[36]

Bygate, M. 2005. Applied Linguistics: A Pragmatic Discipline, a Generic Discipline? *Applied Linguistics*. 26, 4 (Dec. 2005), 568–581. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ami032>.

[37]

Bygate, M. 2005. Applied Linguistics: A Pragmatic Discipline, a Generic Discipline? *Applied linguistics*. 26, 4 (2005), 568–581.

[38]

Carless, D. 2013. Innovation in language teaching and learning. *The encyclopedia of applied linguistics*. Wiley-Blackwell.

[39]

Carter, R. 1998. Orders of reality: CANCODE, communication, and culture. *ELT Journal*. 52, 1 (Jan. 1998), 43–56. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/52.1.43>.

[40]

Chen, Y.-S. and Su, S.-W. 2012. A genre-based approach to teaching EFL summary writing. *ELT Journal*. 66, 2 (Apr. 2012), 184–192. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccr061>.

[41]

Chen, Y.-S. and Su, S.-W. 2012. A genre-based approach to teaching EFL summary writing. *ELT Journal*. 66, 2 (Apr. 2012), 184–192. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccr061>.

[42]

Cheng, A. 2007. Transferring generic features and recontextualizing genre awareness: Understanding writing performance in the ESP genre-based literacy framework. *English for*

Specific Purposes. 26, 3 (2007), 287–307. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2006.12.002>.

[43]

Cheng, Y.S. 2004. A measure of second language writing anxiety: Scale development and preliminary validation. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 13, 4 (2004), 313–335. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2004.07.001>.

[44]

Chouliaraki, Lilie and Fairclough, Norman 2002. *Discourse in late modernity: rethinking critical discourse analysis*. Edinburgh University Press.

[45]

Clarke, M.A. 1994. The Dysfunctions of the Theory/Practice Discourse. *TESOL quarterly*. 28, 1 (1994), 9–26.

[46]

Clarke, M.A. 1994. The Dysfunctions of the Theory/Practice Discourse. *TESOL quarterly*. 28, 1 (1994), 9–26.

[47]

Clarke, Mark A. 2003. *A place to stand: essays for educators in troubled times*. University of Michigan Press.

[48]

Clarke, Mark A. 2007. *Common ground, contested territory: examining roles of English language teachers in troubled times*. University of Michigan Press.

[49]

Cook, G. 1998. The uses of reality: a reply to Ronald Carter. *ELT Journal*. 52, 1 (Jan. 1998),

57-63. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/52.1.57>.

[50]

Cook, G. 1998. The uses of reality: a reply to Ronald Carter. *ELT journal*. 52, 1 (1998), 57-63.

[51]

Cooksey, R.W. 2011. Yours, Mine or Ours: What Counts as Innovation? *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*. 17, 3 (Jun. 2011), 283-295.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/1389224X.2011.559083>.

[52]

Cots, J.M. 2006. Teaching 'with an attitude': Critical Discourse Analysis in EFL teaching. *ELT Journal*. 60, 4 (Oct. 2006), 336-345. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccl024>.

[53]

Cots, J.M. 2006. Teaching 'with an attitude': Critical Discourse Analysis in EFL teaching. *ELT journal*. 60, 4 (2006), 336-345.

[54]

Cots, J.M. 2006. Teaching 'with an attitude': Critical Discourse Analysis in EFL teaching. *ELT Journal*. 60, 4 (Oct. 2006), 336-345. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccl024>.

[55]

Cots, J.M. 2006. Teaching 'with an attitude': Critical Discourse Analysis in EFL teaching. *ELT journal*. 60, 4 (2006), 336-345.

[56]

Crookes, G. 2010. The practicality and relevance of second language critical pedagogy. *Language Teaching*. 43, 03 (Jul. 2010), 333-348.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444809990292>.

[57]

Cullen, R. 2007. Teaching grammar as a liberating force. *ELT Journal*. 62, 3 (2007), 221–230. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm042>.

[58]

Dasli, M. 2011. Reviving the 'moments': from cultural awareness and cross-cultural mediation to critical intercultural language pedagogy. *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*. 19, 1 (Mar. 2011), 21–39. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2011.527118>.

[59]

Dechant, K. and Dechant, L. 2010. Using systems theory to conceptualize the implementation of undergraduate online education in a university setting. *Organization Management Journal*. 7, 4 (Dec. 2010), 291–300. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/omj.2010.38>.

[60]

Derince, Z.M. 2011. Language learning through critical pedagogy in a "Brave New World". *International Review of Education*. 57, 3–4 (Aug. 2011), 377–395. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-011-9218-8>.

[61]

Douglas, Dan 2000. *Assessing language for specific purposes*. Cambridge University Press.

[62]

Dudley-Evans, Tony and St. John, Maggie-Jo 1998. *Developments in ESP: a multi-disciplinary approach*. Cambridge University Press.

[63]

Edge, J. 2000. Research and its agenda. TESOL matters. 11, 1 (2000).

[64]

Edge, Julian 2001. Action research. Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages.

[65]

EH Hinkel, E. 2004. Tense, aspect and the passive voice in L1 and L2 academic texts. Language teaching research. 8, 1 (2004), 5–29.

[66]

Eli EH Hinkel Tense, aspect and the passive voice in L1 and L2 academic texts. Language Teaching Research. 8, 1, 5–29.

[67]

Eli Hinkel 2006. Current Perspectives on Teaching the Four Skills. TESOL Quarterly. 40, 1 (2006), 109–131.

[68]

Ellis, G. 1996. How culturally appropriate is the communicative approach? ELT Journal. 50, 3 (Jul. 1996), 213–218. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/50.3.213>.

[69]

Ellis, G. 1996. How culturally appropriate is the communicative approach? ELT journal. 50, 3 (1996), 213–218.

[70]

Ellis, R. 2003. Designing a task based syllabus. RELC journal. 34, 1 (2003), 64–81.

[71]

Ellis, R. 2003. Designing a Task-Based Syllabus. *RELC Journal*. 34, 1 (Apr. 2003), 64–81. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/003368820303400105>.

[72]

Ellis, R. 2003. Designing a Task-Based Syllabus. *RELC Journal*. 34, 1 (Apr. 2003), 64–81. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/003368820303400105>.

[73]

Ellis, R. 2003. Designing a Task-Based Syllabus. *RELC journal*. 34, 1 (2003), 64–81.

[74]

Ellis, R. 2010. Second language acquisition, teacher education and language pedagogy. *Language Teaching*. 43, 02 (Apr. 2010). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444809990139>.

[75]

Ellis, R. 1993. Talking shop: second language acquisition research: How does it help teachers? *ELT journal*. 47, 1 (1993), 3–11.

[76]

Ellis, Rod 1990. *Instructed second language acquisition: learning in the classroom*. Basil Blackwell.

[77]

Ellis, Rod Talking shop: Second language acquisition research: how does it help teachers? *ELT Journal*. 47, 1, 3-11-3-11.

[78]

Ellis, Rod 2008. The study of second language acquisition. Oxford University Press.

[79]

Ellsworth, J.B. et al. 2000. Surviving change: a survey of educational change models. Clearinghouse on Information & Technology, Syracuse University.

[80]

Eng Tan, K. and Miller, J. 2007. Writing in English in Malaysian High Schools: The Discourse of Examinations. Language and education. 21, 2 (2007), 124–140.

[81]

Erlam, R. 2008. What Do You Researchers Know about Language Teaching? Bridging the Gap Between SLA Research and Language Pedagogy. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching. 2, 3 (Nov. 2008), 253–267. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17501220802158958>.

[82]

Fang, X. and Warschauer, M. 2004. Technology and Curricular Reform in China: A Case Study. TESOL quarterly. 38, 2 (2004), 301–323.

[83]

Flowerdew, John 1994. Academic listening: research perspectives. Cambridge University Press.

[84]

Flowerdew, John and Peacock, Matthew 2001. Research perspectives on English for academic purposes. Cambridge University Press.

[85]

Forey, G. 2004. Workplace texts: do they mean the same for teachers and business

people? English for Specific Purposes. 23, 4 (2004), 447–469.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2004.01.003>.

[86]

Fullan, Michael 2007. The new meaning of educational change. Teachers College Press.

[87]

Gavioli, L. 2001. Enriching reality: language corpora in language pedagogy. ELT Journal. 55, 3 (Jul. 2001), 238–246. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/55.3.238>.

[88]

Gavioli, L. 2001. Enriching reality: language corpora in language pedagogy. ELT Journal. 55, 3 (Jul. 2001), 238–246. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/55.3.238>.

[89]

Gavioli, L. and Aston, G. 2001. Enriching reality: language corpora in language pedagogy. ELT journal. 55, 3 (2001), 238–246.

[90]

Gavioli, L. and Aston, G. 2001. Enriching reality: language corpora in language pedagogy. ELT journal. 55, 3 (2001), 238–246.

[91]

Gibson, S. 2008. Reading aloud: a useful learning tool? ELT Journal. 62, 1 (2008), 29–36.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm075>.

[92]

Gilmore, A. 2004. A comparison of textbook and authentic interactions. ELT Journal. 58, 4 (Oct. 2004), 363–374. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.4.363>.

[93]

Gilmore, A. 2004. A comparison of textbook and authentic interactions. *ELT journal*. 58, 4 (2004), 363–374.

[94]

Gilmore, A. 2007. Authentic materials and authenticity in foreign language learning. *Language Teaching*. 40, 02 (Apr. 2007). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444807004144>.

[95]

Grabe, William and Kaplan, Robert B. 1996. *Theory and practice of writing: an applied linguistic perspective*. Longman.

[96]

Graves, Kathleen 1996. *Teachers as course developers*. Cambridge University Press.

[97]

Graves, Kathleen 1996. *Teachers as course developers*. Cambridge University Press.

[98]

Gray, K. 1990. Syllabus design for the general class: what happens to theory when you apply it. *ELT journal*. 44, 4 (1990), 261–271.

[99]

Green, C. 2005. Integrating extensive reading in the task-based curriculum. *ELT Journal*. 59, 4 (Oct. 2005), 306–311. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci059>.

[100]

Green, C. 2005. Integrating extensive reading in the task-based curriculum. *ELT journal*.

59, 4 (2005), 306–311.

[101]

Green, C. 2005. Integrating extensive reading in the task-based curriculum. *ELT Journal*. 59, 4 (Oct. 2005), 306–311. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci059>.

[102]

Green, C. 2005. Integrating extensive reading in the task-based curriculum. *ELT journal*. 59, 4 (2005), 306–311.

[103]

Guariento, W. 2001. Text and task authenticity in the EFL classroom. *ELT Journal*. 55, 4 (Oct. 2001), 347–353. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/55.4.347>.

[104]

Guariento, W. and Morley, J. 2001. Text and task authenticity in the EFL classroom. *ELT journal*. 55, 4 (2001), 347–353.

[105]

Gupta, D. 2004. CLT in India: context and methodology come together. *ELT Journal*. 58, 3 (Jul. 2004), 266–269. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.3.266>.

[106]

Gupta, D. 2004. CLT in India: context and methodology come together. *ELT journal*. 58, 3 (2004), 266–269.

[107]

Guth, S. and Helm, F. 2012. Developing multiliteracies in ELT through telecollaboration. *ELT Journal*. 66, 1 (2012), 42–51. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccr027>.

[108]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[109]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[110]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[111]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[112]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[113]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[114]

Hall, David and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge.

[115]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[116]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[117]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[118]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[119]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[120]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[121]

Hall, David R. and Hewings, Ann 2001. Innovation in English language teaching: a reader. Routledge in association with Macquarie University and The Open University.

[122]

Harding, Keith 2007. English for specific purposes. Oxford University Press.

[123]

Harmer, J. 2003. Popular culture, methods, and context. *ELT Journal*. 57, 3 (Jul. 2003), 288–294. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/57.3.288>.

[124]

Harmer, J. 2003. Popular culture, methods, and context. *ELT journal*. 57, 3 (2003), 288–294.

[125]

Harwood, Nigel 2010. English language teaching materials: theory and practice. Cambridge University Press.

[126]

Hazen, B. et al. 2011. A Proposed Framework for Educational Innovation Dissemination. *Journal of educational technology systems*. Vol. 40, Issue 3 (2011), 301–321.

[127]

Hewings, Martin et al. 1999. Business English: research into practice. Longman (in association with the British Council).

[128]

Hinkel, E. 2006. Current Perspectives on Teaching the Four Skills. *TESOL quarterly*. 40, 1 (2006), 109–131.

[129]

Hinkel, Eli 2005. Handbook of research in second language teaching and learning. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[130]

Hirose, K. 2003. Comparing L1 and L2 organizational patterns in the argumentative writing of Japanese EFL students. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 12, 2 (2003), 181–209.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(03\)00015-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(03)00015-8).

[131]

Hirose, K. 2006. Pursuing the complexity of the relationship between L1 and L2 writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 15, 2 (2006), 142–146.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.04.002>.

[132]

Hodge, R. et al. 'This is not enough for one's life': perceptions of living and learning English in Blackburn by students seeking asylum and refugee status. Working Papers. Lancaster Literacy Research Centre.

[133]

Hoey, Michael 2005. *Lexical priming: a new theory of words and language*.  
Routledge/AHRB.

[134]

Hoey, Michael 2005. *Lexical priming: a new theory of words and language*. Routledge.

[135]

Hoey, Michael et al. 2001. *Patterns of text: in honour of Michael Hoey*. John Benjamins Pub. Co.

[136]

Hoey, Michael et al. 2001. *Patterns of text: in honour of Michael Hoey*. John Benjamins Pub. Co.

[137]

Hoey, Michael 2007. Text, discourse and corpora: theory and analysis. Continuum.

[138]

Hoey, Michael 2007. Text, discourse and corpora: theory and analysis. Continuum.

[139]

Holme, R. and Chalauisaeng, B. 2006. The learner as needs analyst: The use of participatory appraisal in the EAP reading classroom. *English for Specific Purposes*. 25, 4 (2006), 403-419. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2006.01.003>.

[140]

HOLMES, J. 1988. Doubt and Certainty in ESL Textbooks. *Applied linguistics*. 9, 1 (1988), 51-44.

[141]

HOLMES, JANET Doubt and Certainty in ESL Textbooks. *Applied Linguistics*. 9, 1, 21-44-21-44.

[142]

Hopkins, D. and Nettle, M. 1994. Second language acquisition research: a response to Rod. *ELT journal*. 48, 2 (1994), 157-161.

[143]

Hu, G. 2005. 'CLT is best for China'-- an untenable absolutist claim. *ELT Journal*. 59, 1 (Jan. 2005), 65-68. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci009>.

[144]

Hu, G. 2005. 'CLT is best for China'— an untenable absolutist claim. *ELT journal*. 59, 1 (2005), 65–68.

[145]

Huang, L.-S. 2011. Corpus-aided language learning. *ELT Journal*. 65, 4 (Oct. 2011), 481–484. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccr031>.

[146]

Hutchinson, Tom and Waters, Alan 1987. *English for specific purposes: a learning-centred approach*. Cambridge University Press.

[147]

Huth, T.T. and Taleghani-Nikazm, C.C. 2006. How can insights from conversation analysis be directly applied to teaching L2 pragmatics? *Language teaching research*. 10, 1 (2006), 53–79.

[148]

Hyland, K. 2003. Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 12, 1 (2003), 17–29.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00124-8).

[149]

Hyland, Ken 2004. *Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing*. University of Michigan Press.

[150]

Hyland, Ken 2000. *Disciplinary discourses: social interactions in academic writing*. Longman.

[151]

Hyland, Ken 2009. Teaching and researching writing. Longman.

[152]

Hyland, Ken 2002. Teaching and researching writing. Longman.

[153]

Jane Willis and Dave Willis – Willis-ELT: <http://www.willis-elt.co.uk/conferences.html>.

[154]

Johnson, Robert Keith 1989. The second language curriculum. Cambridge University Press.

[155]

Johnston, B. and Peterson, S. 1994. The program matrix: A conceptual framework for language programs. System. 22, 1 (1994), 63–80.

[156]

Johnston, B. and Peterson, S. 1994. The program matrix: A conceptual framework for language programs. System: an international journal of educational technology and applied linguistics. 22, 1 (1994), 63–80.

[157]

Johnstone, R. 2002. Research on language teaching and learning: 2001. Language Teaching. 35, 03 (Jul. 2002). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444802001799>.

[158]

Johnstone, R. 2002. Research on language teaching and learning in 2001. Language teaching. 35, 3 (2002), 157–181.

[159]

Jones, J.F. 1995. Self-access and culture: retreating from autonomy. *ELT Journal*. 49, 3 (Jul. 1995), 228–234. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/49.3.228>.

[160]

Jones, J.F. 1995. Self-access and culture: retreating from autonomy. *ELT journal*. 49, 3 (1995), 228–234.

[161]

Keck, C. 2006. The use of paraphrase in summary writing: A comparison of L1 and L2 writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 15, 4 (2006), 261–278. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.09.006>.

[162]

Kim, E.-Y. 2011. Using translation exercises in the communicative EFL writing classroom. *ELT Journal*. 65, 2 (Apr. 2011), 154–160. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccq039>.

[163]

Kim McDonough and Wanpen Chaikitmongkol 2007. Teachers' and Learners' Reactions to a Task-Based EFL Course in Thailand. *TESOL Quarterly*. 41, 1 (2007), 107–132.

[164]

Koprowski, M. 2005. Investigating the usefulness of lexical phrases in contemporary coursebooks. *ELT Journal*. 59, 4 (Oct. 2005), 322–332. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci061>.

[165]

Koprowski, M. 2005. Investigating the usefulness of lexical phrases in contemporary coursebooks. *ELT journal*. 59, 4 (2005), 322–332.

[166]

Kroll, Barbara 2003. Exploring the dynamics of second language writing. Cambridge University Press.

[167]

Lee, H.-C. 2013. The reading response e-journal: An alternative way to engage low-achieving EFL students. *Language Teaching Research*. 17, 1 (Jan. 2013), 111–131. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168812457539>.

[168]

Lee, I. 1998. Supporting greater autonomy in language learning. *ELT Journal*. 52, 4 (Oct. 1998), 282–290. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/52.4.282>.

[169]

Lee, I. 1998. Supporting greater autonomy in language learning. *ELT journal*. 52, 4 (1998), 282–290.

[170]

Lee, W.Y. -c. 1995. Authenticity revisited: text authenticity and learner authenticity. *ELT Journal*. 49, 4 (Oct. 1995), 323–328. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/49.4.323>.

[171]

Lee, Y.-J. 2006. The process-oriented ESL writing assessment: Promises and challenges. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 15, 4 (2006), 307–330. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.09.003>.

[172]

Liao, X. 2004. The need for Communicative Language Teaching in China. *ELT Journal*. 58, 3 (Jul. 2004), 270–273. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.3.270>.

[173]

Liao, X. 2004. The need for Communicative Language Teaching in China. *ELT journal*. 58, 3 (2004), 270–273.

[174]

Lier, L.V. 1998. The Relationship Between Consciousness, Interaction and Language Learning. *Language Awareness*. 7, 2–3 (Jun. 1998), 128–145.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09658419808667105>.

[175]

Lightbown, P. 2000. Anniversary article. Classroom SLA research and second language teaching. *Applied Linguistics*. 21, 4 (Dec. 2000), 431–462.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/21.4.431>.

[176]

Lightbown, P. 2000. Anniversary article. Classroom SLA research and second language teaching. *Applied linguistics*. 21, 4 (2000), 431–462.

[177]

Lin, B. 2003. English in Singapore: an Insider's Perspective of Syllabus Renewal Through a Genre-Based Approach. *RELC Journal*. 34, 2 (Aug. 2003), 223–246.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/003368820303400206>.

[178]

Lin, B. 2003. English in Singapore: an Insider's Perspective of Syllabus Renewal Through a Genre-Based Approach. *RELC journal*. 34, 2 (2003), 223–246.

[179]

Littlewood, W. 2009. Process-oriented pedagogy: facilitation, empowerment, or control? *ELT Journal*. 63, 3 (Jul. 2009), 246–254. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccn054>.

[180]

Littlewood, W. 2004. The task-based approach: some questions and suggestions. *ELT Journal*. 58, 4 (Oct. 2004), 319–326. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.4.319>.

[181]

Littlewood, W. 2004. The task-based approach: some questions and suggestions. *ELT journal*. 58, 4 (2004), 319–326.

[182]

Lockyer Willis, Jane 1996. *A framework for task-based learning*. Longman.

[183]

Lockyer Willis, Jane and Willis, Dave 1996. *Challenge and change in language teaching*. Heinemann.

[184]

Lockyer Willis, Jane and Willis, Dave 1996. *Challenge and change in language teaching*. Heinemann.

[185]

Lockyer Willis, Jane and Willis, Dave 1996. *Challenge and change in language teaching*. Heinemann.

[186]

Lockyer Willis, Jane and Willis, Dave 1996. *Challenge and change in language teaching*. Heinemann.

[187]

Lockyer Willis, Jane and Willis, Dave 1996. *Challenge and change in language teaching*.

Heinemann.

[188]

Long, Michael H. and Doughty, Catherine 2009. The handbook of language teaching. Wiley-Blackwell.

[189]

Macalister, J. 2011. Today's teaching, tomorrow's text: exploring the teaching of reading. *ELT Journal*. 65, 2 (Apr. 2011), 161–169. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccq023>.

[190]

Macaro, Ernesto 2003. Teaching and learning a second language: a review of recent research. Continuum.

[191]

Mallinson, C. et al. 2011. A Conceptual Framework for Promoting Linguistic and Educational Change. *Language and Linguistics Compass*. 5, 7 (2011), 441–453.

[192]

Mark A. Clarke 1994. The Dysfunctions of the Theory/Practice Discourse. *TESOL Quarterly*. 28, 1 (1994), 9–26.

[193]

Mark A. Clarke 1994. The Dysfunctions of the Theory/Practice Discourse. *TESOL Quarterly*. 28, 1 (1994), 9–26.

[194]

Markee, Numa 1997. Managing curricular innovation. Cambridge University Press.

[195]

Master, Peter Antony and Brinton, Donna 1998. *New ways in English for specific purposes*. Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, Inc.

[196]

McClure, J. 2001. Developing language skills and learner autonomy in international postgraduates. *ELT Journal*. 55, 2 (Apr. 2001), 142–148.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/55.2.142>.

[197]

McClure, J. 2001. Developing language skills and learner autonomy in international postgraduates. *ELT journal*. 55, 2 (2001), 142–148.

[198]

McDevitt, B. 2004. Negotiating the syllabus: a win-win situation? *ELT Journal*. 58, 1 (Jan. 2004), 3–9. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.1.3>.

[199]

McDevitt, B. 2004. Negotiating the syllabus: a win-win situation? *ELT journal*. 58, 1 (2004), 3–9.

[200]

McDevitt, B. 2004. Negotiating the syllabus: a win-win situation? *ELT Journal*. 58, 1 (Jan. 2004), 3–9. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.1.3>.

[201]

McDevitt, B. 2004. Negotiating the syllabus: a win-win situation? *ELT journal*. 58, 1 (2004), 3–9.

[202]

McDonough, K. and Chaikitmongkol, W. 2007. Teachers' and Learners' Reactions to a Task-Based EFL Course in Thailand. *TESOL quarterly*. 41, 1 (2007), 107–132.

[203]

McGrath, Ian 2002. *Materials evaluation and design for language teaching*. Edinburgh University Press.

[204]

McGroarty, M. 2004. EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*. 24, (Mar. 2004). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190504000182>.

[205]

Mei-Yun Ko A case study of an EFL teacher's critical literacy teaching in a reading class in Taiwan. *Language Teaching Research*. 17, 1, 91–108.

[206]

Murray, Denise E. 2008. *Planning change, changing plans: innovations in second language teaching*. University of Michigan Press.

[207]

Myskow, G. and Gordon, K. 2010. A focus on purpose: using a genre approach in an EFL writing class. *ELT Journal*. 64, 3 (Jul. 2010), 283–292.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccp057>.

[208]

Ning, H. 2011. Adapting cooperative learning in tertiary ELT. *ELT Journal*. 65, 1 (2011), 60–70. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccq021>.

[209]

Nitta, R. 2005. Consciousness-raising and practice in ELT coursebooks. *ELT Journal*. 59, 1

(Jan. 2005), 3–13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci001>.

[210]

Nitta, R. 2005. Consciousness-raising and practice in ELT coursebooks. *ELT Journal*. 59, 1 (Jan. 2005), 3–13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci001>.

[211]

Nitta, R. and Gardner, S. 2005. Consciousness raising and practice in ELT coursebooks. *ELT journal*. 59, 1 (2005), 3–13.

[212]

Nitta, R. and Gardner, S. 2005. Consciousness-raising and practice in ELT coursebooks. *ELT journal*. 59, 1 (2005), 3–13.

[213]

Norton, Bonny and Toohey, Kelleen 2004. *Critical pedagogies and language learning*. Cambridge University Press.

[214]

Nunan, David 1988. *Syllabus design*. Oxford University Press.

[215]

Nunan, David 1988. *The learner-centred curriculum: a study in second language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.

[216]

Nunan, David and Carter, Ronald 2001. *The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages*. Cambridge University Press.

[217]

Nunan, David and Carter, Ronald 2001. The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages. Cambridge University Press.

[218]

O'Brien, T. 2004. Writing in a foreign language: teaching and learning. Language Teaching. 37, 1 (Jan. 2004), 1-28. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444804002113>.

[219]

O'Brien, T. 2004. Writing in a foreign language: teaching and learning. Language teaching. 37, 1 (2004), 1-28.

[220]

Ortega, Lourdes 2009. Understanding second language acquisition. Hodder Education.

[221]

Palfreyman, David et al. 2003. Learner autonomy across cultures: language education perspectives. Palgrave Macmillan.

[222]

Palfreyman, David et al. 2003. Learner autonomy across cultures: language education perspectives. Palgrave Macmillan.

[223]

Palfreyman, David and Smith, Richard C. 2003. Learner autonomy across cultures: language education perspectives. Palgrave Macmillan.

[224]

Palfreyman, David and Smith, Richard C. 2003. Learner autonomy across cultures:

language education perspectives. Palgrave Macmillan.

[225]

Paltridge, Brian 2012. Discourse analysis: an introduction. Bloomsbury Academic.

[226]

P.C So, B. 2005. From analysis to pedagogic applications: using newspaper genres to write school genres. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 4, 1 (2005), 67–82.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2004.02.004>.

[227]

Peacock, M. 1997. The effect of authentic materials on the motivation of EFL learners. *ELT Journal*. 51, 2 (Apr. 1997), 144–156. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/51.2.144>.

[228]

Peacock, M. 1997. The effect of authentic materials on the motivation of EFL learners. *ELT journal*. 51, 2 (1997), 144–156.

[229]

Peter Antony Master Responses to ESP: English for Specific Purposes. San José State University, 1998.

[230]

Porto, M. 2010. Culturally responsive L2 education: an awareness-raising proposal. *ELT Journal*. 64, 1 (Jan. 2010), 45–53. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccp021>.

[231]

Pride, J. B. and Holmes, Janet 1972. Sociolinguistics: selected readings. Penguin.

[232]

Purcell-Gates, V. et al. 2007. Learning to Read and Write Genre-Specific Text: Roles of Authentic Experience and Explicit Teaching. *Reading research quarterly*. 42, 1 (2007), 8-45.

[233]

Rao, Z. 2002. Chinese students' perceptions of communicative and non-communicative activities in EFL classroom. *System*. 30, 1 (2002), 85-105.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(01\)00050-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(01)00050-1).

[234]

Rao, Z. 2002. Chinese students' perceptions of communicative and non-communicative activities in EFL classroom. *System: an international journal of educational technology and applied linguistics*. 30, 1 (2002), 85-105.

[235]

Rao, Z. 2007. Training in brainstorming and developing writing skills. *ELT Journal*. 61, 2 (Apr. 2007), 100-106. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm002>.

[236]

Reid, J. 1992. A computer text analysis of four cohesion devices in english discourse by native and nonnative writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 1, 2 (1992), 79-107.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743\(92\)90010-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743(92)90010-M).

[237]

Ricento, T.K. and Hornberger, N.H. 1996. Unpeeling the Onion: Language Planning and Policy and the ELT Professional. *TESOL quarterly*. 30, 3 (1996), 401-427.

[238]

Richards, J.C. 2006. Materials Development and Research--Making the Connection. *RELC Journal*. 37, 1 (Apr. 2006), 5-26. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688206063470>.

[239]

Richards, J.C. 2006. Materials Development and Research—Making the Connection. *RELC journal*. 37, 1 (2006), 5–26.

[240]

Roca de Larios, J. et al. 2008. The foreign language writer's strategic behaviour in the allocation of time to writing processes. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 17, 1 (2008), 30–47. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2007.08.005>.

[241]

Rocha-Schmid, E. 2010. Participatory pedagogy for empowerment: a critical discourse analysis of teacher–parents' interactions in a family literacy course in London. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*. 29, 3 (May 2010), 343–358. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02601371003700659>.

[242]

Rogers, Everett M. 2003. *Diffusion of innovations*. Free Press.

[243]

Schleppegrell, Mary and Colombi, Cecilia 2002. *Developing advanced literacy in first and second languages: meaning with power*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[244]

Schleppegrell, Mary and Colombi, Cecilia 2002. *Developing advanced literacy in first and second languages: meaning with power*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[245]

Scott A. Crossley, Max M. Louwerse, Philip M. McCarthy and Danielle S. McNamara 2007. A Linguistic Analysis of Simplified and Authentic Texts. *The Modern Language Journal*. 91, 1 (2007), 15–30.

[246]

SCOTTON, CAROL MYERS; BERNSTEN, JANICE Natural Conversations as a Model for Textbook Dialogue. *Applied Linguistics*. 9, 4, 372-384-372-384.

[247]

SCOTTON, CAROL MYERS; BERNSTEN, JANICE 1988. Natural Conversations as a Model for Textbook Dialogue. *Applied linguistics*. 9, 4 (1988), 372-384.

[248]

Seedhouse, P. 1995. Needs analysis and the general English classroom. *ELT journal*. 49, 1 (1995), 59-65.

[249]

Seidlhofer, Barbara 2003. *Controversies in applied linguistics*. Oxford University Press.

[250]

Silva, T. 1993. Toward an Understanding of the Distinct Nature of L2 Writing: The ESL Research and Its Implications. *TESOL quarterly*. 27, 4 (1993), 657-677.

[251]

Silva, T. and Brice, C. 2004. Research in Teaching Writing. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*. 24, (Mar. 2004). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190504000042>.

[252]

Silva, T. and Brice, C. 2004. Research in Teaching Writing. *Annual review of applied linguistics*. 24, (2004), 70-106.

[253]

Spelman Miller, Kristyan et al. 2002. Unity and diversity in language use: selected papers from the annual meeting of the British Association for Applied Linguistics held at the University of Reading, September 2001. Continuum.

[254]

Spelman Miller, Kristyan et al. 2002. Unity and diversity in language use: selected papers from the Annual Meeting of the British Association for Applied Linguistics, held at the University of Reading, September 2001. British Association for Applied Linguistics in association with Continuum.

[255]

Spolsky, Bernard 1989. Conditions for second language learning: introduction to a general theory. Oxford University Press.

[256]

Stapleton, P. and Radia, P. 2010. Tech-era L2 writing: towards a new kind of process. *ELT Journal*. 64, 2 (Apr. 2010), 175–183. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccp038>.

[257]

Stevenson, M. et al. 2006. Revising in two languages: A multi-dimensional comparison of online writing revisions in L1 and FL. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 15, 3 (2006), 201–233. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.06.002>.

[258]

Stevick, Earl W. 1989. Success with foreign languages: seven who achieved it and what worked for them. Prentice Hall International.

[259]

Swales, John Episodes in ESP: a source and reference book on the development of English for science and technology. Pergamon.

[260]

Swales, John M. 1990. *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge University Press.

[261]

Swan, M. 2005. Legislation by hypothesis; the case of task based instruction. *Applied linguistics*. 26, 3 (2005), 376–401.

[262]

Swan, M. 2005. Legislation by hypothesis; the case of task based instruction. *Applied linguistics*. 26, 3 (2005), 376–401.

[263]

Swan, M. 2005. Legislation by Hypothesis: The Case of Task-Based Instruction. *Applied Linguistics*. 26, 3 (Sep. 2005), 376–401. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ami013>.

[264]

Swan, M. 2005. Legislation by Hypothesis: The Case of Task-Based Instruction. *Applied Linguistics*. 26, 3 (Sep. 2005), 376–401. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ami013>.

[265]

Taki, S. 2008. International and local curricula: The question of ideology. *Language Teaching Research*. 12, 1 (Jan. 2008), 127–142.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168807084503>.

[266]

Tan, K.E. and Miller, J. 2007. Writing in English in Malaysian High Schools: The Discourse of Examinations. *Language and Education*. 21, 2 (Mar. 2007), 124–140.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2167/le663.0>.

[267]

Thomas K. Ricento and Nancy H. Hornberger 1996. Unpeeling the Onion: Language Planning and Policy and the ELT Professional. *TESOL Quarterly*. 30, 3 (1996), 401–427.

[268]

Thorsten TH Huth , Carmen CT Taleghani-Nikazm How can insights from conversation analysis be directly applied to teaching L2 pragmatics? *Language Teaching Research*. 10, 1, 53–79.

[269]

Tomlinson, B. and Dat, B. 2004. The contributions of Vietnamese learners of English to ELT methodology. *Language Teaching Research*. 8, 2 (May 2004), 199–222.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168804lr140oa>.

[270]

Tomlinson, B. and Dat, B. 2004. The contributions of Vietnamese learners of English to ELT methodology. *Language teaching research*. 8, 2 (2004), 199–222.

[271]

Tomlinson, Brian 2013. *Developing materials for language teaching*. Bloomsbury Academic.

[272]

Tomlinson, Brian 2008. *English language learning materials: a critical review*. Continuum.

[273]

Tomlinson, Brian 2010. *English language learning materials: a critical review*. Continuum.

[274]

Tomlinson, Brian 2008. English language learning materials: a critical review. Continuum.

[275]

Tomlinson, Brian 2010. English language learning materials: a critical review. Continuum.

[276]

Tomlinson, Brian 2011. Materials development in language teaching. Cambridge University Press.

[277]

Tomlinson, Brian 1998. Materials development in language teaching. Cambridge University Press.

[278]

Tony Silva 1993. Toward an Understanding of the Distinct Nature of L2 Writing: The ESL Research and Its Implications. TESOL Quarterly. 27, 4 (1993), 657-677.

[279]

Tribble, C. and British Council 2012. Managing change in English language teaching: lessons from experience. British Council.

[280]

Van Lier, L. 1998. The Relationship Between Consciousness, Interaction and Language Learning. Language awareness. 7, 2-3 (1998), 128-145.

[281]

Victoria Purcell-Gates, Nell K. Duke and Joseph A. Martineau 2007. Learning to Read and Write Genre-Specific Text: Roles of Authentic Experience and Explicit Teaching. *Reading Research Quarterly*. 42, 1 (2007), 8–45.

[282]

Wallace, Catherine 2003. *Critical reading in language education*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[283]

Wang, W. and Wen, Q. 2002. L1 use in the L2 composing process: An exploratory study of 16 Chinese EFL writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 11, 3 (2002), 225–246.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00084-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00084-X).

[284]

Waters, A. 2009. Managing innovation in English language education. *Language Teaching*. 42, 04 (Oct. 2009). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S026144480999005X>.

[285]

Waters, A. and Vilches, Ma.L.C. 2008. Factors Affecting ELT Reforms: The Case of the Philippines Basic Education Curriculum. *RELC Journal*. 39, 1 (Apr. 2008), 5–24.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688208091138>.

[286]

Weber, J.-J. 2001. A concordance- and genre-informed approach to ESP essay writing. *ELT Journal*. 55, 1 (Jan. 2001), 14–20. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/55.1.14>.

[287]

Weber, J.-J. 2001. A concordance- and genre-informed approach to ESP essay writing. *ELT journal*. 55, 1 (2001), 14–20.

[288]

Wedell, Martin 2009. Planning for educational change: putting people and their contexts first. Continuum.

[289]

Wedell, Martin 2009. Planning for educational change: putting people and their contexts first. Continuum.

[290]

Wette, R. 2011. Product-process distinctions in ELT curriculum theory and practice. *ELT Journal*. 65, 2 (Apr. 2011), 136–144. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccq022>.

[291]

Wharton, S. 2011. Critical text analysis: linking language and cultural studies. *ELT Journal*. 65, 3 (Jul. 2011), 221–229. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccq068>.

[292]

Wharton, S. 2012. Epistemological and interpersonal stance in a data description task: Findings from a discipline-specific learner corpus. *English for Specific Purposes*. 31, 4 (2012), 261–270. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2012.05.005>.

[293]

Wharton, S. 2007. Social identity and parallel text dynamics in the reporting of educational action research. *English for Specific Purposes*. 26, 4 (2007), 485–501. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2006.09.003>.

[294]

Wharton, S. 2006. Ways of constructing knowledge in TESOL research reports: The management of community consensus and individual innovation. *IRAL – International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*. 44, 1 (Jan. 2006), 23–48. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1515/IRAL.2006.002>.

[295]

Wharton, S. 2006. Ways of constructing knowledge in TESOL research reports: The management of community consensus and individual innovation. *IRAL*. 44, 1 (2006), 23–48.

[296]

White, Ronald V. 1988. *The ELT curriculum: design, innovation and management*. Basil Blackwell.

[297]

Widdowson, H. G. 1990. *Aspects of language teaching*. Oxford University Press.

[298]

Widdowson, H. G. 2003. *Defining issues in English language teaching*. Oxford University Press.

[299]

Widdowson, H. G. 2003. *Defining issues in English language teaching*. Oxford University Press.

[300]

Widdowson, H. G. 2003. *Defining issues in English language teaching*. Oxford University Press.

[301]

WILLIAMS, M. 1988. Language Taught for Meetings and Language Used in Meetings: Is there Anything in Common? *Applied linguistics*. 9, 1 (1988), 45–58.

[302]

WILLIAMS, M. 1988. Language Taught for Meetings and Language Used in Meetings: Is there Anything in Common? *Applied Linguistics*. 9, 1 (Mar. 1988), 45–58.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/9.1.45>.

[303]

WILLIAMS, M. 1988. Language Taught for Meetings and Language Used in Meetings: Is there Anything in Common? *Applied linguistics*. 9, 1 (1988), 45–58.

[304]

WILLIAMS, MARION Language Taught for Meetings and Language Used in Meetings: Is there Anything in Common? *Applied Linguistics*. 9, 1, 45-58-45–58.

[305]

Wong Fillmore, L. and E. Snow, C. What Teachers Need to Know About Language. *Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics*.

[306]

Wong, J. 2002. 'Applying' conversation analysis in applied linguistics: Evaluating dialogue in English as a second language textbooks. *IRAL*. 40, 1 (2002), 37–60.

[307]

Wong, Jean 2002. 'Applying' conversation analysis in applied linguistics: Evaluating dialogue in English as a second language textbooks. *IRAL: International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*. 40, 1 (2002), 37–60.

[308]

Wong Mei Ha, H. and Storey, P. 2006. Knowing and Doing in the ESL Writing Class. *Language Awareness*. 15, 4 (Nov. 2006), 283–300. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2167/la365/0>.

[309]

Wong Mei Ha, H. and Storey, P. 2006. Knowing and Doing in the ESL Writing Class.

Language awareness. 15, 4 (2006), 283–300.

[310]

Wong, V. et al. 1995. The use of authentic materials at tertiary level. *ELT journal*. 49, 4 (1995), 318–322.

[311]

Wong, Viola; Kwok, Peony; Choi, Nancy The use of authentic materials at tertiary level. *ELT Journal*. 49, 4, 318-322-318–322.

[312]

Woodward, Tessa 2001. *Planning lessons and courses: designing sequences of work for the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press.

[313]

Xu Fang and Mark Warschauer 2004. Technology and Curricular Reform in China: A Case Study. *TESOL Quarterly*. 38, 2 (2004), 301–323.

[314]

Xuesong, G. 2006. Strategies Used by Chinese Parents to Support English Language Learning: Voices of 'Elite' University Students. *RELC Journal*. 37, 3 (Dec. 2006), 285–298. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688206071302>.

[315]

Xuesong, G. 2006. Strategies Used by Chinese Parents to Support English Language Learning Voices of 'Elite' University Students. *RELC journal*. 37, 3 (2006), 285–298.

[316]

Yi, Y. 2007. Engaging literacy: A biliterate student's composing practices beyond school. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 16, 1 (2007), 23–39.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2007.03.001>.

[317]

Young, J. 2000. Comment: who needs analysis? *ELT Journal*. 54, 1 (Jan. 2000), 72–74.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.1.72>.

[318]

Young, J. 2000. Comment: Who needs analysis? *ELT journal*. 54, 1 (2000), 72–74.

[319]

Yuk-chun Lee, W. 1995. Authenticity revisited: text authenticity and learner authenticity. *ELT journal*. 49, 4 (1995), 323–328.

[320]

1997. *Applied languages*. Universitat de València, Servei de Publicacions.

[321]

1998. Orders of reality: CANCODE, communication, and culture. *ELT journal*. 52, 1 (1998), 43–56.