

PH212:Applied Ethics (Term 2)

By David Woods

View Online



1

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A companion to applied ethics. Oxford: : Blackwell 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

2

Singer P. Applied ethics. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1986.

3

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

4

LaFollette H. The Oxford handbook of practical ethics. Oxford: : Oxford University Press
<https://go.exlibris.link/RV7rDtbY>

5

Lantz G. Applied Ethics: What Kind of Ethics and What Kind of Ethicist? Journal of Applied Philosophy 2000;**17**:21-8. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00137

6

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A companion to applied ethics. Oxford: : Blackwell 2005.

<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

7

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

8

Benatar D. Better never to have been: the harm of coming into existence. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 2013. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664047

9

David Benatar. Still Better Never to Have Been: A Reply to (More of) My Critics. The Journal of Ethics 2013;**17**

:121-51.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/42630950>

10

Elizabeth Harman. Reviewed Work: Better Never To Have Been: The Harm of Coming into Existence by David Benatar. Noûs 2009;**43**

:776-85.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40660445>

11

Benatar D. To Be or Not to Have Been? International Journal of Applied Philosophy 2001;**15**:255-66.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=02f32382-9cf4-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

12

Bradley B. Benatar and the Logic of Betterness. Journal of ethics & social philosophy 2010;**4**.<http://mail.jesp.org/articles/download/BenataronBetternessNote.pdf>

13

Len Doyal. Is Human Existence Worth Its Consequent Harm? *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2007;**33**:573–6. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/27719952>

14

Roberts MA, Wasserman DT. *Harming future persons: ethics, genetics and the nonidentity problem*. Dordrecht: : Springer 2009.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2507751

15

Roberts MA. Can it Ever Be Better Never to Have Existed At All? Person-Based Consequentialism and a New Repugnant Conclusion. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* 2003;**20**:159–85. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00244

16

Roberts MA. An Asymmetry in the Ethics of Procreation. *Philosophy Compass* 2011;**6**:765–76. doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2011.00435.x

17

Smilansky S. Morally, should we prefer never to have existed? *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 2013;**91**:655–66. doi:10.1080/00048402.2013.775168

18

Smuts A. To Be or Never to Have Been: Anti-Natalism and a Life Worth Living. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 2014;**17**:711–29. doi:10.1007/s10677-013-9461-0

19

Satz D. *Why some things should not be for sale: the moral limits of markets*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2010.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2629862

20

Rippon S. Imposing options on people in poverty: the harm of a live donor organ market. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2014;**40**:145–50. doi:10.1136/medethics-2011-100318

21

Markets without Symbolic Limits. *Ethics* 2015;**125**:1053–77. <https://0-www-journals-uchicago-edu.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1086/680907>

22

Sandel MJ. What money can't buy: the moral limits of markets. London: : Allen Lane 2012.

23

Caney S. Markets, Morality and Climate Change: What, if Anything, is Wrong with Emissions Trading? *New Political Economy* 2010;**15**:197–224. doi:10.1080/13563460903586202

24

Freiman C. Vote Markets. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 2014;**92**:759–74. doi:10.1080/00048402.2014.892147

25

Panitch V. Surrogate Tourism and Reproductive Rights. *Hypatia* 2013;**28**:274–89. doi:10.1111/hypa.12005

26

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

27

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

28

Pummer T. Whether and Where to Give. Philosophy & Public Affairs 2016;**44**:77–95.
doi:10.1111/papa.12065

29

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A companion to applied ethics. Oxford: : Blackwell 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

30

Brian McElwee. Impartial Reasons, Moral Demands. Ethical Theory and Moral Practice 2011;**14**:457–66.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/41472612>

31

Unger P. Living high and letting die: our illusion of innocence. New York: : Oxford University Press 1996. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2662628

32

Wolf S. Moral Saints. The Journal of Philosophy 1982;**79**. doi:10.2307/2026228

33

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3090093

34

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3090093

35

Kagan S. What's Wrong with Speciesism? (Society for Applied Philosophy Annual Lecture 2015). Journal of Applied Philosophy 2016;**33**:1–21. doi:10.1111/japp.12164

36

Michael Fox. 'Animal Liberation': A Critique. Ethics 1978;**88**:106–18.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2379979>

37

LaFollette H. The Oxford handbook of practical ethics. Oxford: : Oxford University Press
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2665854

38

Lomasky, Loren. IS IT WRONG TO EAT ANIMALS? Social Philosophy & Policy;**30**:177–200.<https://0-search-proquest-com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/1497161654/A6482670A892452BPQ/8?accountid=14888>

39

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A companion to applied ethics. Oxford: : Blackwell 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

40

Peter Singer. Utilitarianism and Vegetarianism. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1980;**9**:325–37.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265002>

41

Guerrero AA. Don't Know, Don't Kill: Moral Ignorance, Culpability, and Caution. Philosophical Studies 2007;**136**:59–97. doi:10.1007/s11098-007-9143-7

42

Campbell JK, O'Rourke M, Silverstein H, et al. Action, ethics, and responsibility. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2010. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2948215

43

Tooley M. An Irrelevant Consideration: Killing versus Letting Die. In: Killing and letting die. New York: : Fordham University Press 1994.
103-11.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a32bc724-3b13-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

44

Shelly Kagan. The Additive Fallacy. Ethics 1988;**99**
:5-31.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380927>

45

Woollard F. The Doctrine of Doing and Allowing I: Analysis of the Doing/Allowing Distinction. Philosophy Compass 2012;**7**:448-58. doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2012.00491.x

46

Woollard F. The Doctrine of Doing and Allowing II: The Moral Relevance of the Doing/Allowing Distinction. Philosophy Compass 2012;**7**:459-69.
doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2012.00492.x

47

Bennett J. The act itself. Oxford: : Clarendon 1995.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664823

48

Steinbock B, Norcross A. Killing and letting die. 2nd ed. New York: : Fordham University Press 1994.

49

Kagan S. The limits of morality. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1989.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664863

50

Harris J, editor. Bioethics. New York: : Oxford University Press 2001.

51

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A companion to applied ethics. Oxford: : Blackwell 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

52

Nagel T. Mortal questions. [Cambridge]: : Cambridge University Press 1979.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2790398

53

Kagan S. Death. New Haven: : Yale University Press 2012.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2966499

54

Feldman F. Some Puzzles About the Evil of Death. The Philosophical Review 1991;**100**.
doi:10.2307/2185300

55

Johansson J. Past and Future Non-Existence. The Journal of Ethics 2013;**17**:51-64.
doi:10.1007/s10892-012-9137-3

56

SMUTS A. LESS GOOD BUT NOT BAD: IN DEFENSE OF EPICUREANISM ABOUT DEATH. Pacific Philosophical Quarterly 2012;**93**:197-227. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0114.2012.01423.x

57

LaFollette H. Ethics in practice: an anthology. Fourth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley Blackwell 2014.

http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/search/C__SEthics%20in%20practice%20%3A%20an%20anthology%20LaFollette__Ff%3Afacetmediatype%3Ah%3Ah%3AE-Book%3A%3A__Orightrightresult__U__X0?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

58

Ronald Dworkin, □Thomas Nagel, □Robert Nozick, □John Rawls, and Judith Jarvis Thomson, et al. □Amos Elon □Murray Kempton □Amy Knight □Roderick MacFarquhar Lisa Appignanesi □Ian Johnson □Zia Haider Rahman □Tom Vanderbilt □Mark Greenberg. Assisted Suicide: The Philosophers' Brief. The New York Review of Books Published Online First: 1997.<http://0-www.nybooks.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/articles/1997/03/27/assisted-suicide-the-philosophers-brief/>

59

Kamm F. A Right to Choose Death. Boston Review 1997;**22**.
<http://bostonreview.net/archives/BR22.3/Kamm.html>

60

Velleman JD. A Right of Self-Termination? Ethics 1999;**109**:606–28. doi:10.1086/233924

61

Glover J. Causing death and saving lives. Harmondsworth: : Penguin 1977.

62

Singer P. Applied ethics. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1986.

63

Kamm FM. Physician-Assisted Suicide, the Doctrine of Double Effect, and the Ground of Value. *Ethics* 1999;**109**:586–605. doi:10.1086/233923

64

Shand J. A Reply to Some Standard Objections to Euthanasia. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* 1997;**14**:43–7. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00038

65

Thomson JJ. Physician-Assisted Suicide: Two Moral Arguments. *Ethics* 1999;**109**:497–518. doi:10.1086/233919

66

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A companion to applied ethics. [Paperback ed.]. Malden, MA: : Blackwell 2005. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2830440

67

Singer P. Applied ethics. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1986.

68

Parfit D. Chapter X - Overpopulation and the Quality of Life. In: *Applied ethics*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1986. 145–64. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0afb4592-9fed-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

69

Parfit D. Reasons and persons. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1986. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664869

70

Parfit D. Can We Avoid the Repugnant Conclusion? *Theoria* 2016;**82**:110–27. doi:10.1111/theo.12097

71

Broome J. Should We Value Population?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2005;**13**:399–413. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00230.x

72

Harris J, editor. *Bioethics*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2001.

73

Greaves H. Population axiology. *Philosophy Compass* 2017;**12**. doi:10.1111/phc3.12442

74

HARE RM. POSSIBLE PEOPLE. *Bioethics* 1988;**2**:279–93. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.1988.tb00055.x

75

Michael Huemer. In Defence of Repugnance. *Mind* 2008;**117**:899–933.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20532700>

76

Review by: Jefferson McMahan. Review: Problems of Population Theory. *Ethics* 1981;**92**:96–127.<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380707>

77

Tannsjo T. Why We Ought to Accept the Repugnant Conclusion. *Utilitas* 2002;**14**:339–59. doi:10.1017/S0953820800003642