

PH212:Applied Ethics (Term 2)

By David Woods

View Online



-
1.

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A Companion to Applied Ethics. Blackwell; 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

 2.

Singer P. Applied Ethics. Oxford University Press; 1986.

 3.

LaFollette H. Ethics in Practice: An Anthology. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

 4.

LaFollette H. The Oxford Handbook of Practical Ethics. Oxford University Press
<https://go.exlibris.link/RV7rDtbY>

 5.

Lantz G. Applied Ethics: What Kind of Ethics and What Kind of Ethicist? Journal of Applied Philosophy. 2000;17(1):21-28. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00137

 6.

Frey RG, Wellman CH. A Companion to Applied Ethics. Blackwell; 2005.

<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

7.

LaFollette H. Ethics in Practice: An Anthology. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

8.

Benatar D. Better Never to Have Been: The Harm of Coming into Existence. Clarendon Press; 2013. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664047

9.

David Benatar. Still Better Never to Have Been: A Reply to (More of) My Critics. The Journal of Ethics. 2013;17(1):121-151.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/42630950>

10.

Elizabeth Harman. Reviewed Work: Better Never To Have Been: The Harm of Coming into Existence by David Benatar. Noûs. 2009;43(4):776-785.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/40660445>

11.

Benatar D. To Be or Not to Have Been? International Journal of Applied Philosophy. 2001;15(2):255-266.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=02f32382-9cf4-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

12.

Bradley B. Benatar and the Logic of Betterness. Journal of ethics & social philosophy. 2010;4(2). <http://mail.jesp.org/articles/download/BenataronBetternessNote.pdf>

13.

Len Doyal. Is Human Existence Worth Its Consequent Harm? *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2007;33(10):573-576. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/27719952>

14.

Roberts MA, Wasserman DT. *Harming Future Persons: Ethics, Genetics and the Nonidentity Problem*. Vol v. 35. Springer; 2009.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2507751

15.

Roberts MA. Can it Ever Be Better Never to Have Existed At All? Person-Based Consequentialism and a New Repugnant Conclusion. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 2003;20(2):159-185. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00244

16.

Roberts MA. An Asymmetry in the Ethics of Procreation. *Philosophy Compass*. 2011;6(11):765-776. doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2011.00435.x

17.

Smilansky S. Morally, should we prefer never to have existed? *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. 2013;91(4):655-666. doi:10.1080/00048402.2013.775168

18.

Smuts A. To Be or Never to Have Been: Anti-Natalism and a Life Worth Living. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 2014;17(4):711-729. doi:10.1007/s10677-013-9461-0

19.

Satz D. *Why Some Things Should Not Be for Sale: The Moral Limits of Markets*. Oxford University Press; 2010. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2629862

20.

Rippon S. Imposing options on people in poverty: the harm of a live donor organ market. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2014;40(3):145-150. doi:10.1136/medethics-2011-100318

21.

Markets without Symbolic Limits. *Ethics*. 2015;125:1053-1077.
<https://0-www-journals-uchicago-edu.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/doi/10.1086/680907>

22.

Sandel MJ. *What Money Can't Buy: The Moral Limits of Markets*. Allen Lane; 2012.

23.

Caney S. Markets, Morality and Climate Change: What, if Anything, is Wrong with Emissions Trading? *New Political Economy*. 2010;15(2):197-224.
doi:10.1080/13563460903586202

24.

Freiman C. Vote Markets. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. 2014;92(4):759-774.
doi:10.1080/00048402.2014.892147

25.

Panitch V. Surrogate Tourism and Reproductive Rights. *Hypatia*. 2013;28(2):274-289.
doi:10.1111/hypa.12005

26.

LaFollette H. *Ethics in Practice: An Anthology*. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

27.

LaFollette H. *Ethics in Practice: An Anthology*. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell;

2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/warw/detail.action?docID=7104082&query=Ethics+in+Practice+%3A+An+Anthology>

28.

Pummer T. Whether and Where to Give. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2016;44(1):77-95. doi:10.1111/papa.12065

29.

Frey RG, Wellman CH. *A Companion to Applied Ethics*. Blackwell; 2005. <https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

30.

Brian McElwee. Impartial Reasons, Moral Demands. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*. 2011;14(4):457-466. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/41472612>

31.

Unger P. *Living High and Letting Die: Our Illusion of Innocence*. Oxford University Press; 1996. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2662628

32.

Wolf S. Moral Saints. *The Journal of Philosophy*. 1982;79(8). doi:10.2307/2026228

33.

LaFollette H. *Ethics in Practice: An Anthology*. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3090093

34.

LaFollette H. *Ethics in Practice: An Anthology*. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb3090093

35.

Kagan S. What's Wrong with Speciesism? (Society for Applied Philosophy Annual Lecture 2015). *Journal of Applied Philosophy*. 2016;33(1):1-21. doi:10.1111/japp.12164

36.

Michael Fox. 'Animal Liberation': A Critique. *Ethics*. 1978;88(2):106-118.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2379979>

37.

LaFollette H. *The Oxford Handbook of Practical Ethics*. Oxford University Press
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2665854

38.

Lomasky, Loren. IS IT WRONG TO EAT ANIMALS? *Social Philosophy & Policy*.
30(1-2):177-200.
<https://0-search-proquest-com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/docview/1497161654/A6482670A892452BPQ/8?accountid=14888>

39.

Frey RG, Wellman CH. *A Companion to Applied Ethics*. Blackwell; 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9lLqW0mj>

40.

Peter Singer. Utilitarianism and Vegetarianism. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*.
1980;9(4):325-337. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2265002>

41.

Guerrero AA. Don't Know, Don't Kill: Moral Ignorance, Culpability, and Caution.
Philosophical Studies. 2007;136(1):59-97. doi:10.1007/s11098-007-9143-7

42.

Campbell JK, O'Rourke M, Silverstein H, Inland Northwest Philosophy Conference. Action, Ethics, and Responsibility. MIT Press; 2010.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2948215

43.

Tooley M. An Irrelevant Consideration: Killing versus Letting Die. In: Killing and Letting Die. 2nd ed. Fordham University Press; 1994:103-111.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a32bc724-3b13-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

44.

Shelly Kagan. The Additive Fallacy. Ethics. 1988;99(1):5-31.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380927>

45.

Woollard F. The Doctrine of Doing and Allowing I: Analysis of the Doing/Allowing Distinction. Philosophy Compass. 2012;7(7):448-458.
doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2012.00491.x

46.

Woollard F. The Doctrine of Doing and Allowing II: The Moral Relevance of the Doing/Allowing Distinction. Philosophy Compass. 2012;7(7):459-469.
doi:10.1111/j.1747-9991.2012.00492.x

47.

Bennett J. The Act Itself. Clarendon; 1995.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664823

48.

Steinbock B, Norcross A. Killing and Letting Die. 2nd ed. Fordham University Press; 1994.

49.

Kagan S. *The Limits of Morality*. Clarendon Press; 1989.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664863

50.

Harris J, ed. *Bioethics*. Oxford University Press; 2001.

51.

Frey RG, Wellman CH. *A Companion to Applied Ethics*. Blackwell; 2005.
<https://go.exlibris.link/9ILqW0mj>

52.

Nagel T. *Mortal Questions*. Cambridge University Press; 1979.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2790398

53.

Kagan S. *Death*. Yale University Press; 2012.
http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2966499

54.

Feldman F. Some Puzzles About the Evil of Death. *The Philosophical Review*. 1991;100(2).
doi:10.2307/2185300

55.

Johansson J. Past and Future Non-Existence. *The Journal of Ethics*. 2013;17(1-2):51-64.
doi:10.1007/s10892-012-9137-3

56.

SMUTS A. LESS GOOD BUT NOT BAD: IN DEFENSE OF EPICUREANISM ABOUT DEATH. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly*. 2012;93(2):197-227. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0114.2012.01423.x

57.

LaFollette H. *Ethics in Practice: An Anthology*. Vol 38. Fourth edition. Wiley Blackwell; 2014.

http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/search/C__SEthics%20in%20practice%20%3A%20an%20anthology%20LaFollette__Ff%3Afacetmediatype%3Ah%3Ah%3AE-Book%3A%3A__Orighresult__U__X0?lang=eng&suite=cobalt

58.

Ronald Dworkin, □Thomas Nagel, □Robert Nozick, □John Rawls, and Judith Jarvis Thomson, et al. □Amos Elon □Murray Kempton □Amy Knight □Roderick MacFarquhar Lisa Appignanesi □Ian Johnson □Zia Haider Rahman □Tom Vanderbilt □Mark Greenberg. *Assisted Suicide: The Philosophers' Brief*. *The New York Review of Books*. 1997;(March 27, 1997). <http://0-www.nybooks.com.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/articles/1997/03/27/assisted-suicide-the-philosophers-brief/>

59.

Kamm F. *A Right to Choose Death*. *Boston Review*. 1997;22. <http://bostonreview.net/archives/BR22.3/Kamm.html>

60.

Velleman JD. *A Right of Self-Termination?* *Ethics*. 1999;109(3):606-628. doi:10.1086/233924

61.

Glover J. *Causing Death and Saving Lives*. Penguin; 1977.

62.

Singer P. *Applied Ethics*. Oxford University Press; 1986.

63.

Kamm FM. Physician-Assisted Suicide, the Doctrine of Double Effect, and the Ground of Value. *Ethics*. 1999;109(3):586-605. doi:10.1086/233923

64.

Shand J. A Reply to Some Standard Objections to Euthanasia. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* . 1997;14(1):43-47. doi:10.1111/1468-5930.00038

65.

Thomson JJ. Physician-Assisted Suicide: Two Moral Arguments. *Ethics*. 1999;109(3):497-518. doi:10.1086/233919

66.

Frey RG, Wellman CH. *A Companion to Applied Ethics*. [Paperback ed.]. Blackwell; 2005. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2830440

67.

Singer P. *Applied Ethics*. Oxford University Press; 1986.

68.

Parfit D. Chapter X - Overpopulation and the Quality of Life. In: *Applied Ethics*. Oxford University Press; 1986:145-164. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0afb4592-9fed-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

69.

Parfit D. *Reasons and Persons*. Oxford University Press; 1986. http://encore.lib.warwick.ac.uk/iii/encore/record/C__Rb2664869

70.

Parfit D. Can We Avoid the Repugnant Conclusion? *Theoria*. 2016;82(2):110-127.

doi:10.1111/theo.12097

71.

Broome J. Should We Value Population?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2005;13(4):399-413. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2005.00230.x

72.

Harris J, ed. *Bioethics*. Oxford University Press; 2001.

73.

Greaves H. Population axiology. *Philosophy Compass*. 2017;12(11). doi:10.1111/phc3.12442

74.

HARE RM. POSSIBLE PEOPLE. *Bioethics*. 1988;2(4):279-293. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.1988.tb00055.x

75.

Michael Huemer. In Defence of Repugnance. *Mind*. 2008;117(468):899-933. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/20532700>

76.

Review by: Jefferson McMahan. Review: Problems of Population Theory. *Ethics*. 1981;92(1):96-127. <http://0-www.jstor.org.pugwash.lib.warwick.ac.uk/stable/2380707>

77.

Tannsjo T. Why We Ought to Accept the Repugnant Conclusion. *Utilitas*. 2002;14(03):339-359. doi:10.1017/S0953820800003642